GBS8SAB1

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

23

24

1

2

3

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

(Trial resumed; jury not present)

THE COURT: Good morning, everyone.

I had just a few things I wanted to address. And since everybody is standing, it looks like you do as well.

MR. LIND: We were just waiting for the please be seated.

> THE COURT: Please be seated. Nice to see you all. Mr. Frackman.

MR. FRACKMAN: I am just standing here because that's where I am starting.

THE COURT: Let me rule on a few things.

One is you had given me deposition designations. One for Richard Lowry. Sabre's motion to exclude counterdesignations and US Airways' motion to exclude designations are denied, except as follows with respect to Lowry: Page 42, line 13, to page 43, line 14; 48, line 20, to 49-01; 49-20 to 49-23; 114-09 to 115-02. In other words, those should -- my note is confusing me. Let me just a take a look and make sure.

For example, 42-13 to 43-01 should not be played. Let me give you the rulings with respect to Mr. Berman. Everything should be played, except for the following: Page 12, line 18, to 13, line 24; 231, line 10, to 232, line 18; 268, line 6, to 270, line 18; and 297, line 1 to 5.

> SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C. (212) 805-0300

Sabr-Transcript-000006194

3417

Sabr-Transcript-000006195

3418

GBS8SAB1

1

2

3

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

I also received Sabre's letter dated November 22 regarding either sealing the courtroom or limiting the cross-examination of witnesses from American Express and BCD.

SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

(212) 805-0300

I had my law clerk send an e-mail to you over the weekend just in case you needed it for planning purposes. I am denying that application. The reason is familiar to you probably by now. As you know, in the Second Circuit, a balancing test applies, at least with regard to sealing. And on the one hand, the question is essentially the need for disclosure versus the privacy or whatever other interests. And in this case, because this is a trial, as I have held with respect to other witnesses, I think it's important that what is presented at the trial be public and accessible to the public.

The privacy interests, which is what I think are at stake, seem to be weaker than the need for public disclosure for various reasons. One is that, like other information that has been presented in the case, the information is not current information, as I understand it. It goes from, for example, 2006 to 2012, and as I understand it, Mr. Frackman, nothing more recent than 2012.

Also, in addition, as I understand it, some of this information has been disclosed. And there is also the issue of Sabre's standing to request sealing of other information, although that's not a ground for my ruling because my ruling would be the same even if the application had been made by the

> SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C. (212) 805-0300

GBS8SAB1

two companies in question.

And I do note and credit US Airways' argument that these are two witnesses or companies that are outside the subpoena power of the parties. They would be appearing voluntarily. Sabre would be calling them voluntarily, I understand, and we have already seen deposition testimony from them. So it seems unfair, with regard to Sabre's request to limit the cross-examination, for Sabre on the one hand to voluntarily call the witnesses and at the same time say that US Airways is limited.

So that's my ruling with respect to that.

MR. LIND: May I address that very briefly? I understand your ruling, and I appreciate the limitation with the 2012 cutoff, which I think narrows some of the issues.

With respect to what goes forward, we may ask for some very targetod redactions, such that have been, for instance, accommodations made for other third parties as well. What we will try to do is go back and regroup and see if we can make some targeted things that I think would be easy to accommodate.

THE COURT: Maybe you can talk to each other and agree on that based on the types of rulings I have made in the past and what you can anticipate.

MR. LIND: Yes.

MR. FRACKMAN: The only caveat I would add is that we have produced in the litigation data only up to, I think, 2012.

> SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C. (212) 805-0300

> > DEPOSITION **EXHIBIT**

Sabr-Transcript-000006197

2

3

5

7

8

12

13

14

15

16

17

16

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

So we have no granular information about incentives for the travel agents after that time, consistent with what the Court just said. However, we will want to ask them in a more general sense whether they atill receive incentives, whether they are still receiving them today, whether the amounts are in the range of what they have received in the earlier period. Because that goes to their self-interest, and I don't want the

jury to be under the impression that this incentive ended. 9 THE COURT: I am fine with your asking if they still 10 get incentives, but I don't want to get into what the current 11 incentives are. It seems to me that that is treading closer

and your case isn't about today in any event.

MR. FRACKMAN: If I may, their credibility is. They are testifying today so that it does make a difference if, for instance, they are receiving no incentives today or whether they are --

and is not as relevant. The jury can make whatever inferences

THE COURT: I said you can inquire whether they are still receiving incentives, but not as to the amount.

All right. A few other things.

The deck that Sabre used for the argument last week, I would like a digital copy of that. I know you marked it as an exhibit so it's in the record, but I don't have a copy, and if it's on paper, I will lose it. Actually, it looks like my law clerk is telling me we have a digital copy.

> SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C. (212) 905-0300

> > Sabr-Transcript-000006198

Sabr-Transcript-000006199

3421

GBS8SAB1

underlying materials or economic theory or anything else on that subject, I am happy to hear from you.

MR. GRIMSLEY: I just wondered if a response would be better for you because you might have two ships passing in the night. It may just be better for everybody if we can say here is our response to what they have supplied.

THE COURT: Helpful is good. Sometimes a response is helpful. But then I am going to a surreply. Why don't we just start this. Why don't you just both give me something by, say, Wednesday close of business or 6:00, and then I will take it from there. If I need anything else, I will let you know. Or if you think something will be helpful, tell me, and then we will talk about it.

MR. GRIMSLEY: Thank you, your Honor.

THE COURT: There are a couple of other things I would like to talk about.

One is, even though it's a little early, materials for jury deliberations. You may have all of this planned and thought out. Here are my thoughts. If you have better ones, that's fine.

I think we probably don't want to give them paper copies. I do send all of the exhibits in evidence back to the jury. So what I am thinking is if you could load a laptop with all of the exhibits that are admitted, not demonstrative, just admitted exhibits, and also put on the laptop a list of them so

> SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C. (212) 805-0300

GBS8SAB1

GBS8SAB:

that?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

10

19

20

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

they can find it, and also give them a hard copy of the list. Maybe a couple of hard copies of the list.

Also, I suspect they will be asking for witness testimony, and of course in a shorter trial we would aak the court reporter to find it and then we would argue about it and it would take forever in this case. So what I am thinking is that we should load the testimony onto a laptop and it needs to have deleted from it material from when the jury was not present and also if there is any extended lawyer colloquy. If it's just back and forth that they heard, that's not a big deal, but if there is any extended lawyer colloquy. And, also, it should have deleted the struck answers. And we should also give them a witness list so that they can find it with dates and page numbers. So all of that would be on the laptop. Then if you could give me a copy of evorything you give them on a CD, because a CD is the only kind of external media our system will take. OK?

Maybe two laptops. Mr. Street suggested it might be logistically easier.

MR. DIAMOND: Would you like us to arrange for some technology that the jury can use in the jury room, for example, a projector and screen, if they want to put exhibits up and discuss them, as opposed to all gathering around a laptop.

THE COURT: That makes sense.

MR. DIAMOND: We are happy to take the lead on that.

SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C. (212) 805-0300

Sabr-Transcript-000006200

Sabr-Transcript-000006201

3422

MR. GRIMSLEY: We filed a letter this morning.

HR. GRIMSLEY: We attached it as an exhibit.

revised request that you had sent, and I would like -- let me

consistent with Professor Stiglitz's testimony. But I didn't

see any either law or other sources cited in connection with

that. And what I would like from you is authority for that

charge, which could come from any of three buckets, but tell me

which one it is: First, the AmEx case or other Second Circuit

case law; second, materials cited by the AmEx case -- there is

Sabre has citations to the AmEx case and to Professor

Stiglitz's testimony after its request for the two-sided market

instruction, but if there is anything else you would like to

add, including -- I don't want a response to their letter, but

you can file it at the same time as their letter. So anything

SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

(212) 805-0300

that you anticipate or that you would like to say about the

a long footnote with two-sided market material cited; and

third, any other literature that would be helpful. OK?

MR. FRACKMAN: In what form?

THE COURT: A lotter is fine.

Your request regarding the two-sided market is

THE COURT: Thank you.

direct this request first to US Airways.

THE COURT: I saw the letter. Was it attached to

On that subject, I did have a chance to look at the

GBS8SAB1

1

2

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

THE COURT: Those are my suggestions for what you put on the laptop. If you talk to each other and decide something else, let me know.

The final thing I would like to talk about, this is very premature, is posttrial actions.

I am assuming that there will be a renewal of a Rule 50 motion. I am assuming, for purposes of this discussion, that there is a jury verdict. And I am assuming there will be posttrial motions.

So the reason I tell you all of this now, and this is just to give you a little inside information, I hire my law clerks on two cycles. They are the March law clerks and the August law clerks. Ms. Rebert is a March law clerk, and Ms. Rebert is the fourth law clerk I have had on this case, and I do not want another law clerk starting who hasn't sat through the trial to help me with the motions. So that means we need to finish up the motions by March, which means I need them from you well in advance of that.

So I am not sure of the schedule yet. It depends, of course, on when we end, but it will be compressed. So if you want to start working on your posttrial motions now, I think, regardless of what happens, there are many things in it that you can already include.

The other thing is that I will want, and I have heard this has been done successfully before, I would like hyperlinks

> SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C. (212) 805-0300

> > Sabr-Transcript-000006202

Sabr-Transcript-000006203

3426

3425

GBS8SAB1

and we will be having a process where we will want to put in a full proposal of what the evidence would show on things like that sign and aue issue as well as potentially a couple of other issues.

THE COURT: When you have a sense of how long you think it will take, let me know, and then we will figure out when to do it.

MR. LIND: The last thing for the record is they got those Kirby designations last Tuesday, not last night. I just need to make that clear.

THE COURT: Thank you.

So we have a couple of minutes. Do whatever you like. MR. FRACKMAN: There are three exhibits that have been identified by Sabre that they plan to use with Mr. Klein this afternoon, I gather, when we get to him, that we have objected

THE COURT: OK.

MR. FRACKMAN: Do you want me to hand them up? THE COURT: Sure.

MR. FRACKMAN: DX 1317, DX 1439, and DX 1452.

MR. MacNALLY: Let me save the Court the trouble. We are not going to show DX 1439. The other two we are.

MR. FRACKMAN: We have hearsay and foundational objections.

MR. MacNALLY: Both these were e-mails received by Mr.

SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C. (212) 805-0300

GBS8SAB1

GBS8SAB1

find it. OK?

else we need to talk about right now?

1

2

3

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

23

24

25

Klein in the ordinary course of business. One is a calendar invite, number 1452, standard course of business for Sabre, basic information where the meeting is. Then the second ia a --

to the cases, exhibits and testimony in your memos of law,

deposition designations. We received the Kirby proposed

designations from Sabre last evening. They once again have

simply to preserve their record, but I feel like they are

standing stipulation that their objections are preserved.

ruling on it, that will be great. Is that acceptable?

set aside time, however your Honor wishes to do that.

You mean offer of proof on sign and sue?

sign-and-sue questions and answers. I assume they are doing it

playing Whac-A-Mole on this issue. I am happy to agree to the

One thing we will have to talk about is our series of offers of

proof that we are going to make, and we are going to need to

THE COURT: If it will save me the time of every time

MR. LIND: We will keep preserving the record on that.

THE COURT: Tell me a little bit more on the offer.

MR. LIND: There may be a couple of issues. That's

one that pops to mind. There will be issues where we will want

to make an offer of proof, and typically that's done outside

the presence of the jury, either after court or on a day off,

SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

(212) 805-0300

which will just make it a lot easier so that every time I need

to look at an exhibit I don't have to go into my hard drive and

So those are just planning ahead. Is there anything

MR. DIAMOND: I do have one issue with respect to

THE COURT: Let's just talk about 1452 first. Is Mr. Klein on this?

MR. MacNALLY: Yes, he is. This is taken from his files. Ke is one of the required attendees.

THE COURT: I see he is listed as a required attendee. The purpose of this is to show?

MR. MacNALLY: Just that the meeting took place, your Honor. Mr. Klein is going to talk about the meeting. This just shows the jury that the meeting took place and gives some context, date of the meeting, location.

THE COURT: Normally calendar invites aren't offered for the truth anyway. I would allow that.

And the other one being?

MR. MacNALLY: The second e-mail is 1317. This is an e-mail to Mr. Klein from one of the negotiators at Sabre, and this is just providing him information about the meeting attendees from two meetings that Sabre had following the 2006 Regotiations, including a meeting with US Airways. Again, this is just going to be used to provide context around when the meeting took place, not for the truth, and the time.

THE COURT: Well, this seems to me to be hearsay

SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

(212) BOS-0300

Sabr-Transcript-000008204

information from him.

2 3

1

5 6 7

8

9 10

11 12 13

hearsay.

15 16

17

14

18 19

20

21 22

23 24

25

2

5

6

7

8

9

11

15

16

17

18

24

25

SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C. (212) 805-0300

Sabr-Transcript-000006206

3429

Webb - Cross

it's a Sabre document. You can, of course, elicit this

about the details. This is just to orient the jury.

We are ready. Let's get the jury.

(Continued on next page)

MR. MacNALLY: I think we just want to use the

document for context for timing. He is going to testify that

the meeting took place. He was there. He is going to testify

use it to let him refresh his recollection, if there is any

question about the date and who was there, but it seems to me

THE COURT: I am not going to admit 1317, but you can

Q. It included the issue of the genies, G2 Switchworks and ITA, right?

A. I think Nike was broader than that.

A. It included a whole bunch of things, but one of the discussions was about alternate forms of distribution.

Q. That included G2 and ITA?

A. Yes.

GBS8SAB1

Q. Then we talked about the increased use of direct connects,

like the America West agency portal, right?

10 A. We spoke about direct connect.

Q. Including the America West agency portal, right?

12 A. I don't recall specifically talking about that.

13 Q. And that was also in the 2005 time period we talked about?

14 A. I think that's correct, yes.

> Q. And we talked about the Sabre passive segment policy that was implemented in 2005, in part to charge for passive segments on the Sabre system, made in order to record reservations outside of the GDS, including reservations made through a

19 direct connect, right?

20 A. We changed the policy so that if you used system but didn't

21 book in the system, then you paid a charge for that.

22 Q. That's a yes? You recall we talked about it?

23 A. Yes.

> Q. Then we talked about you how that was followed by the 2006 full content TMA agreements with all the major airlines, right?

> > SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C. (212) 805-0300

GBS8SAB!

1

2

3

8

9

15

16

17

18

20

23

(Jury present)

THE COURT: Welcome back, everyone. It's nice to see you all. I hope you are all rested and did some interesting things unrelated to this case. But we will do some interesting things related to this case today.

Webb - Cross

6 Why don't we get the witness. Mr. Street.

7 GREG WEBB, resumed.

THE COURT: You understand you're still under oath.

THE WITNESS: I do?

CROSS-EXAMINATION (Cont'd)

10 THE COURT: You may proceed, Mr. Frackman.

BY MR. FRACKHAN: 12

13 Q. Good morning, Mr. Webb.

A. Good morning. 14

> Q. Let's just orient ourselves because we have had a few days off, and I could use the refreshing.

> > Last Tuesday we talked about deregulation in 2004,

right?

19 A. We did.

Q. You recall that.

21 And we talked about the emergence of genies in 2004 22 and 2005, right?

A. Yes.

24 Q. And the formation of Project Nike by Sabre to address that 25 issue?

> SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C. (212) 805-0300

Sabr-Transcript-000006207

3430

GBS8SAB1

1

3

9

Webb - Cross

A. That's correct.

Q. We also talked about the third-party aggregator party that

Sabre put in place around that same time, the deal with

third-party aggregators such as BookingBuilder, right?

5 A. That's correct.

Q. And Farelogix?

7 A. Yes.

8 Q. And we also covered the consideration by Sabre of a

multi-source aggregator desktop, right?

10 A. Yes. We talked about the fact that we looked into

11 multi-aggregation sources and looked at how we might adjust the

12 Sabre desktop.

13 Q. You recall that Sabre viewed such a desktop as technically

14 achievable, right?

15 A. Yeah. Generally considered most technologies to be

achievable in time. 16

17 Q. And you decided not to do it, not because of technological

18 barriers, but as a business decision, right?

19 A. Yeah. We came to the conclusion that no agencies wanted

20 them. So that's correct.

21 Q. So that brings us close to 2010, which I am going to auk

22 you about. Before I get to that, I wanted to cover a couple of 23 other points.

24 You made a big deal -- you spoke about the fact that 25 Sabre has over 400 airline suppliers. Do you remember that?

SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

(212) 805-0300

GBS8SAB1

A. I don't.

Minbets?

all there.

Mr. Webb.

A. I have it.

with me there?

A. Yes.

space. I will take them back.

I don't have it.

Q. You have it or you don't?

Q. So in there you should see 691H.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

24

25

Hebb - Cross

It's on the screen. It's probably easier.

Q. So you have in front of you the January 2012 Book of

MR. FRACKMAN: Excuse me one second.

Let's go to 6914.96 Trevor.

Let's rotate that, if you would.

Q. I'm sorry. Our numbering got screwed up. Here is 2012.

Q. So this is the listing of carrier, airline carrier bookings

Q. And you see the third column is 2011 bookings. Are you

SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

(212) 805-0300

So 6911, the January 2012 Book of Numbers. Now we are

We are on page dot 96. Lot me know when you're there,

I guess it's 6911 now.

in order of largest to smallest, right?

A. Yes, it appears that's true.

As I said, this is the January 2012 Book of Numbers?

3432

1 A. We did. Q. But in 2011, the big four US legacy carriers -- those are 2 3 the airlines American, United Delta, US Airways -- accounted for more than 50 percent of Sabre's total worldwide bookings, 5 right? 6 A. I'm not sure. Q. You're familiar with the Book of Numbers? 7 A. I am. Q. We spoke about that I think. The Book of Numbers, again, 10 for the jury's benefit, is the monthly set of financial reports 11 generated internally at Sabre? 12 A. It was at one point, yes. 13 Q. And you reviewed them in your capacity as president? A. That's correct. 14 Q. Even before then you reviewed them, right? 15 16 A. That's correct. Q. So let's look at one of these. It's the January 2012 Book 17 18 of Numbers that contains year end 2011 data. It's PX 691H in evidence. 19 20 MR. FRACKMAN: Trevor, pull up the front page of that 21 first. 22 Q. We have given you a another binder there. 23 A. Volume 5? 24 MR. FRACKMAN: Mr. Street, I think we can take the 25 others out of Mr. Webb's way for now, just to give him some

Webb - Cross

GBS8SAB1

SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C. (212) 805-0300

Sabr-Transcript-000006210

Sabr-Transcript-000006211

3433 GBS8SAB1 Webb - Cross Q. Your largest customer in 2011 was American Airlines, right? 1 A. It looks like bookings would have been Delta, then United, 2 3 then American, then US. Q. So the largest was Delta. And if you go to the second to 5 the right column, 2011 percentage of bookings, Delta had 16.4 6 percent of your total? A. That's what it says. Q. And United had 14 percent, right? 8 9 A. That's correct. 10 Q. Is that United plus Continental by now? 11 12 Q. And American had 10 and a half percent, right? A. That's correct. 13 Q. US Airways was 9.1 percent? 14 15 A. That's correct. Q. If you add those four together, you get to 50 percent of 16 17 your total 2011 bookings, right? A. Yes, although I'm not sure - because it's not labeled, I 18 19 am not sure whether this is US point of sale or whether this is 20 total bookings. That certainly is what it says on the table. 21 Q. 50 percent just for those four legacy airlines by 22 themselves, right? 23 A. That's correct. 24 2. If you go down there, you see all the foreign airlines

SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C. (212) 805-0300

follow. So it stands to reason this is worldwide and not just

25

3434 GBS8SAB: Webb - Cross US point of sale, right? A. It's just not labeled so I am not sure. 2 Q. 326 million bookings. Did you have more than that? A. I assume that's worldwide, but I'm not sure. 4 Q. Just bear with me for a second. If these four airlines 5 represented 50 percent of your worldwide total of bookings, you 6 will agree that they represented far more than 50 percent of your US point of sale bookings, right? 8 A. They would have represented more than 50 percent of our US 9 point of sale bookings. 10 Q. And you know that the same logic holds for the big four 11 global TMCs for their US of point of sale bookings, that is, 12 the vast majority of them are going to be bookings on these 13 14 four airlines, right? A. I'm not sure, but I would assume it would be close. 15 16 Q. And it would make sense because almost all of their 17 business consists of business travel, right? A. A large majority of their business is business travel. 18 Q. And the only other US carriers on your list here are 19 Southwest and Air Tran and Frontier, which are primarily 20 leisure, right? 21 22 A. Alaska Airlines. 23 Q. And Alaska, which are primarily leisure right?

SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C. (212) 805-0300

A. Alaska has a decent mix of business travelers.

Q. The others are primarily leisure, right?

GBS8SAB1

1

2

3

5

8

9

12

14

17

19

20

22

23

24

25

Q. So if these four represented most of your US point of sale, they also represented most of your major customers, the four

Webb - Cross

big global THCs US point of sales, right?

A. I believe that would be correct.

Q. Now, would it surprise you to know that over 80 percent of the big four TMC bookings are on these four to five, if you

10 count Continental as a separate airling, airlines?

11 A. For the US point of sale?

Q. For the US point of sale.

13 A. 80 percent would surprise me.

Q. Higher than 50 wouldn't surprise you, right?

15 A. I think it should be around a similar amount. I think this 16 probably reflects -- because it reflects Sabre as a whole, it

would probably reflect their booking mix as well.

18 Q. Because most of your biggest travel agent customers'

bookings in the US are on only four airlines, with four direct connects and an aggregation tool, they could replace the

21 majority of their US point of sale airline bookings, right?

A. Well, they drive up their costs significantly having to use

different systems and other things. So it still wouldn't make

sense for them to operate in an environment where they were

25 using multiple systems.

> SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C. (212) 805-0300

> > Sabr-Transcript-000006214

GBS8SAB1 Webb - Cross 1 address American Airlines? 2 A. I don't resall. 3 Q. I am going to hand up to you PX 291 for identification. It's in the binder. 5 So take a lock at this. This is an e-mail, as you will see, from Nadja Killisly to you, dated September 17, 2007? 6 A. That's correct. 8 Q. Why don't you tell us what her position was. 9 A. I have no idea. 10 Q. She worked at Sabre, right? 11 A. She did. 12 MS. GIULIANELLI: Objection, your Honor. This is 13 subject to a motion in limine, and this was a specific document 14 that you kept out on the other disputes issue. 15 THE COURT: CK. It's the area, not this particular 16 document. 17 He hasn't offered it yet, but I understand you have an 18 objection. 19 Q. This is an e-mail that she sent to you on September 17 with 20 an attached PowerPoint presentation, right? 21 A. That's correct. 22 MR. FRACKMAN: I am going to offer it in evidence, 23 your Honor. 24 MS. GIULIANELLI: That's the objection.

> SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C. (212) 905-0300

THE COURT: Can I see it?

3437

Q. Let's just go over my question again. 1 2 Because your biggest travel agent customers, the big 3 four global TMCs, have most of the US point of sale bookings on 4 these four carriers, they could substitute for the GDS with 5 direct connects to the big four and an aggregation tool, at 6 least electrically, right? A. Technically, they could -- well, I don't know whether they 7 8 technically could do it. I don't know whether they have the 9 technical capability to do it, but you could have five 10 different systems sitting on your desktop and use five 11 different systems to book four carriers and then one system to 12 book everybody else. 13 Q. You could have one system aggregating those four, such as 14 Farelogix, right? 15 A. You could use a third party to aggregate. If you wanted to 16 creato another aggregator, you can certainly create a new 17 aggregator. 18 Q. And that would take care of well over 50 percent of their 19 US point of sale airline bookings, right? A. If they did all four, that would likely be around 50 20 21 percent. 22 Q. Now, you recall Project 99, right? A. I'm not sure exactly which one that is so you will have to 24 remind me. 25 Q. Project 99 was the project that Sabre put in place to SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

Nebb - Cross

(212) 805-0300

Sabr-Transcript-000006215

3438

GBS8SAB1

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

19

20

21

25

GBS8SAB1

Webb - Cross

MS. GIULIANELLI: The objection is this is particularly a document on the strategy and targets of other issues.

MR. FRACKMAN: I am focusing on page dot 42, your Honor.

THE COURT: I will admit it for the purpose of displaying page 42, but not the rest. So if you're not using the rest, you just have to redact it.

MR. FRACKMAN: I think this is consistent with the Court's order that it relates to airlines generally and not any one specific one.

Q. Let's look at PX 291.

THE COURT: In terms of admitting it, what I would admit is the cover and page 42, just so the jury knows what it is.

(Plaintiff's Exhibit 291, cover page and page 42, received in evidence)

18 BY MR. FRACKMAN:

> Q. In fact, in this strategy deck, the prospect of your TMC customers establishing direct connects with this small number, less than a handful of US carriers, thereby bypassing the GDSs

22 including Sabre was an issue, right?

after I ask the question.

23 A. I'm sorry. Am I looking at a page here? 24 Q. I am not looking at the page yet. I will use the page

SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

(212) 805-0300

GBS8SAB!

1

2

3

5

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

24

25

1

2

3

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

January 21, 2014?

A. I do.

Hebb - Cross

My question was, back at this time, the concern about your biggest travel agency customers forming a direct connect with just a small handful of four legacy carriers that constituted over 50 percent of their business in the US, was an issue that you discussed?

- A. It looks like this document is talking about six or seven different possible outcomes, different potentials, different scenarios. So this is a scenario planning document where we looked at the overall industry, landscape, and tried to do kind of worst-case scenario in every case, and then figure out how we would react to that.
- Q. One of the issues that you were worried about, sufficiently to address in this deck, was the top TMCs forming direct connects with this small number of US carriers that represented most of their business, right?
- A. There is a section called direct connect for megas.
- Q. Let's look at that. Page 291.42.

The scenario that was sufficient for you and your team to address was the top six North American carriers and the top TMCs realize their reliance on one another for the vast majority of business and determine to work directly, right? A. That's what it says.

- 23 Q. That is directly through a direct connect, right?
 - A. I assume that's what it's talking about, yes.
 - Q. And that would cost you 70 to 80 percent of your GDS

SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C. (212) 905-0300

Sabr-Transcript-000008218

Sabr-Transcript-000006219

3441 GBS8SAB! Webb - Cross right? A. Again, we would have to plan and figure out what steps we would take, whether we would change our business model, structurally would we change the technology. That's what scenario planning is. Q. You would lose the control that was at the heart of your business model, right? A. We didn't come to any conclusion around those things. What we were looking at is what actions we might take given shifts in the industry. Q. Let me turn to another topic. Sabre has approximately 80 percent of the business travel network, BTN, largest corporations measured by business spent? A. I'm not sure if that's accurate. Q. Let me show you to refresh your recollection the SEC filing by Sabre in 2012 that has been marked as PX 3086. It should be in your binder. I am going to direct your attention to 3086.148. A. I certainly don't want to read the entire document.

> SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C. (212) 805-0300

MR. FRACKMAN: I offer it in evidence, your Honor.

Q. You recognize this as the S-1 filing by Sabre, dated

MS. GIULIANELLI: No objection.

THE COURT: It's admitted.

of the bookings, it represented an even greater share of your revenue because of the high price business travel, right, high value business travel, right? 7 A. No. I think this is -- that's actually not accurate. I 8 think this assumes that, because of the shift or section into 9 direct, that other airlines potentially would stop 10 participating, that agencies would move away from us, smaller 11 agencies would move away. So, again, I don't know what all 12 went into this, but that wouldn't be accurate. 13 Q. And the concern was that the global THCs and the major 14 carriers build direct technical links, right? 15 A. That's what it says. Q. Just like American was threatening at that time with their 16 direct connect, right? 17 A. That's what it says. This was us trying to figure out what 18 would have happen in the industry if different trends moved 19 20 forward. Q. And if your four biggest travel agency customers that 21 constitute over 50 percent of your business in the US got 22 23 together with four airlines that constitute over 50 percent of their business and your business on the other side of your 24 25 business, you would no longer have control over the desktop, SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C. (212) 805-0300 3442

Webb - Cross

Q. Because not only did it represent greater than 50 percent

A. Yeah. Again, this is the worst-case scenario.

GBS8SAB1

1

2

3

4

5

6

12

16

17

18

19

20

22

23

24

25

GBS8SAB:

revenue, right?

1

2

3

Webb - Cross

(Plaintiff's Exhibit 3086 received in evidence) MR. FRACKMAN: Let's first show the front page, Trevor, to orient ourselves.

Q. If you look at the top of the page, you see that this is the Form S1 that was filed in connection with a securities offering by Sabre, right?

7 A. That's correct.

Q. It's dated January 21, 2014?

A. That's correct.

Q. So let's turn to 3086.48. And if you go to the second 10

11 bullet in the middle of the page, you see this filing says,

Over 80 percent of the BTN 100, which are the corporations with

the largest travel expenditures as measured by their 2012 13

US-booked air volume. Do you see that? 14

A. I do. 15

Q. Sabre had over 80 percent of the BTN 100, right?

A. I'm not sure if this is specifically GDS bookings, because there are some corporations that we have a relationship with that use our corporate online booking tool, but don't use the underlying Sabre GDS. So I am not sure whether this was

21 bookings or whether this is related to relationships with the

top 100.

Q. You testified that TMCs tend to use a single GDS in the United States for any given corporate customer, right?

A. Say that again.

SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C. (212) 805-0300

GBS8SAB1

GBS8SAB1

23

24

25

A. It does.

1

2

3444

GBS8SAB1 Webb - Cross 1 Q. You testified that travel management companies or travel 2 agents use a single GDS within a given geographic area, like the United States, for any individual corporate customer? A. Or a single customer, generally. 5 Q. So that in order to get to 80 percent of the BTN 100, an 6 airline has go through Sabre and the Sabre travel agencies that service those 80 percent, right? A. They don't have to, but it is an efficient way for them to reach those customers. 10 Q. And you agree that the TMCs tend to single-home, right? 11 A. I agree that TMC generally like to manage single 12 corporation on a single GDS in a geography. 13 Q. So that means that for any one of those 80 out of 100 top 14 companies measured by business spent, their travel management 15 company, their travel agent, is going to use a single GDS in 16 the United States, right? 17 A. It generally makes it more efficient for them to do that. 18 Q. In fact, global, multi-national corporations are 19 increasingly consolidating their global travel programs to a 20 single GDS booking tool, right? 21 A. Again, it varies depending on where the corporation 22 operates. 23 Q. Why don't you take a look at PX 472 in your binder, not in 24 evidence yet. 25 Oh, no, it is in evidence. PX 472 in evidence.

> SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C. (212) 805-0300

> > Sabr-Transcript-000008222

3446

3445 GBS8SAB1 Webb - Cross 1 multiple GDSs at some point and then consolidated to a single 2 GDS. Q. And that Sabre was well positioned to benefit in the future by increased consolidation, right? 5 A. Yea. We put specific tools in place to help corporations 6 manage their travel and so we felt we were well positioned in the corporate space. Q. Because increased consolidation meant more business in the 9 handful of large TMCs, right? 10 A. It was a double-edged sword actually, which is the 11 consolidation helped us drive volume, but it also was more 12 expensive because incentives increased because we got leveraged 13 in those discussions. Q. And since you have over 50 percent of the TMC volume in the 14 15 United States, that meant more consolidation in the Sabre 16 platform, right? 17 A. The hope was that we would drive more volume. 18 Q. How about my question. Because you have more than 50 19 percent of the TMC volume in the United States, greater 20 consolidation in the TMCs meant greater consolidation in Sabre, 21 right? 22 A. I'm not sure I would follow it exactly like that, but there 23 was certainly a hope that we would increase volume. 24 Q. Let me turn to another topic. 25 Do you recall you gave some testimony on direct about

> SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C. (212) 805~0300

3 Wallingford to you, among others. Do you see that? 4 A. I do. 5 Q. Who is Scott Wallingford? 6 A. He used to work at Sabre. He ran corporate strategy at one 7 point. Q. So he forwarded this e-mail and attached the PowerPoint 8 9 presentation to you, right? 10 A. He did. 11 Q. Take a look at PX 472.14. This is what he wrote to you and 12 to Sam Gilliland, the chairman of the board, and Tom Klein, the 13 president. 14 He wrote, "Global, multi-national corporations 15 increasingly are consolidating global travel programs to a 16 single GDS, " right? 17 A. That's what it says. 18 Q. And a single booking tool, right? 19 A. Yes, that's correct. 20 Q. And a single agency? 21 A. Yes. 22 Q. So there is consolidation that he is observing? 23 A. That's what he wrote. 24 And he said that Sabre benefits from this, right? 25 Yeah. Those in particular were ones that had been on SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C. (212) 805-0300 Sabr-Transcript-000006223

Webb - Cross

Q. So this is an e-mail, dated May 3, 2010, from Scott

MR. FRACKHAN: We can put that up on the screen.

Webb - Cross

your phone app Tripcase? 2 A. Yes. 3 MR. FRACKMAN: Why don't we put up Sabre Demonstrative 4 123 for a moment, Trevor. Q. So this is a shot of a phone with your Tripcase app, right? 6 A. That's correct. 7 Q. So let me ask you a few questions about this. 8 First of all, this is not a booking tool, right? A. It is not. 9 10 Q. Unlike Concur, you can't book through this TripCase app, 11 A. I'm actually not sure if that is still true, but it was at 12 13 14 Q. It's not an aggregation tool, in the sense that it doesn't 15 include any non-Sabre content, right? 16 A. It can. 17 Q. If there is a passive segment included in Sabre? 18 A. Not even if there is not a passive segment. Travelers 19 e-mail their itineraries; if they book outside, they just 20 e-mail their itinerary to Tripcase, and then Tripcase adds it 21 into their trip fee. So it has both GDS content and non-GDS 22 content.

> SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C. (212) 805-0300

Q. Now, you testified that it provides realtime data feeds for

information like gate changes and cancellations, right?

GBS8SAB1

contract with.

place with every airline.

Q. You were president?

2

3

S

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

A. I was.

A. I was.

A. I was.

A. He did.

A. Correct.

Webb - Cross

regulations associated with every airline that we have a

that you were using their data on Tripcase?

Q. So is it your testimony that you have told the airlines

A. I assume that we have had conversations with them about

that, but we very specifically have GDS data regulations in

A. I have haven't because Tripcase was not in my area.

Q. And Chris Wilding and Jason Toothman reported to David?

Yes. They reported to David and David reported to me.

SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

(212) 805-0300

You had just taken over as president of the Travel Network

Q. I am going to now turn to the last topic, 2011.

negotiations with US Airways, right?

David Gross reported to you?

Q. You were running the GDS at that point?

Q. And, therefore, indirectly to you, right?

business at the beginning of 2010, right?

Q. Have you had any conversations with any airline about that?

You testified that you were involved in Sabre's 2010

3448

1 Q. You get that information from the airlines, right? 2 A. Some of it comes from the Sabre system, some of it comes 3 from the airlines, some of it comes from hoteliers. 4 Q. But when we are talking about airline departure S information, gate information, cancellations, that doesn't come 6 from hoteliers, that comes from the airlines, right? 7 A. Certainly, if it's around airlines, it comes from the 8 airlines. 9 Q. To the extent it comes from the Sabre system as opposed to 10 directly from the airlines, you only have it because you got it 11 from the airlines, right? 12 A. We have a relationship with the airlines where we share 13 data. That's the way that it works. 14 Q. And this updated information on gates and cancellations and 15 arrival times and the like is also information that's contained on the airline's own phone apps, right? 16 A. I would assume they have apps that update their travelers. 17 18 Q. Certainly American does, right? 19 A. I assume so, yes. 20 Q. You don't use it? 21 A. I use Tripcase. 22 Q. So you don't tell the airlines that you're including their 23 data on Tripcase, right? 24 A. The airlines are very specific. We have relationships with 25 a number of airlines. We absolutely have data restrictions and

Webb - Cross

GBS8SAB1

SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C. (212) 805-0300

Sabr-Transcript-000006226

Sabr-Transcript-000006227

3449 GBS8SAB1 Webb - Cross 1 A. That's correct. Q. One of your key objectives -- let me start again. 2 3 It wasn't just US Airways' contract that was coming up for renewal. All of the 2006 TMA agreements with the legacy 4 5 carriers -- at least most of them were coming up for renewal, 6 right? 7 A. I'm not sure it was most, but there were a number. Q. Most of them were five-year contracts, right? 8 A. That's correct. 9 10 Q. Delta had a slightly longer one? A. That's correct. 11 12 Q. And one of your key objectives with respect to all of these 13 renegotiations was to extend the full content provisions that you had obtained in the 2006 TMA? 14 15 A. Yes, it was certainly very important. That's what all of 16 the agencies look for, full content. So it was certainly an 17 objective. Q. That's a yes? 18 19 20 Q. And It was also to maintain the traditional booking fee 21 business model, right? A. It was to maintain the structure that we had in place, yes. 22 23 Q. In fact, that's what you reported to the board of directors 24 in early 2010. Do you recall that? 25 I don't recall. It wouldn't surprise me though.

SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C. (212) 805-0300

3450 GBS8SAB1 Webb - Cross Q. Take a look at PX 197. It's not yet in evidence. 1 This is a presentation that you made to the Sabre 2 Holdings board of directors in February 2010, right? 3 A. That's correct. 4 MR. FRACKMAN: I offer PX 197 in evidence. 5 MS. GIULIANELLI: No objection. 7 THE COURT: It's admitted. (Plaintiff's Exhibit 197 received in evidence) 8 Q. This set forth your airline negotiation strategy, right? 9 10 A. That's correct. Q. This was your first presentation to the board as president 11 of the Travel Network, right? 12 A. I'm not sure if it was the first, but yes, it would have 13 been early on. 14 MS. GIULIANELLI: Excuse me. I think there may be no 15 16 objection subject to certain redactions in here with respect to other airlines. 17 THE COURT: CK. Are the redactions already in the 18 19 document? HS. GIULIANELLI: No, they are not. And I don't know 20 that Mr. Frackman is going there, but I just wanted to reserve 21 our rights to go back, if it's in evidence, and look at any 22 redactions. 23 THE COURT: CK. 24 25 Q. You pointed out to the board that parity with direct

SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C. (212) 805-0300

Sabr-Transcript-000006228

GBS8SAB1

Choice Seats?

1

2

3

4

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

content.

Webb - Cross

effectively recapping for the board the full content provisions

of the former contracts and saying that it continues to be

Q. But parity, product parity -- seat maps, frequent flyer

A. No, we would have put Choice Seats into content parity, not

product parity. That's like saying we wanted to make sure that

people that booked through Sabre would still get frequent flyer

they participated in the seat so we can share the seat maps,

Q. So in any event, the ancillary services, such as seats,

Choice Seats or premium -- whatever the airline might have

called it, economy plus, you would view that as being captured

Q. And you told the board that this was a requirement in the

A. Yes. If we were going to provide the ongoing structure

as part of what we would be negotiating for, certainly full

Q. It doesn't say anything about negotiation or being the

your words. That is your word, Mr. Webb.

around discounting for full content, that we would include that

subject of negotiation. It says it's a requirement. Those are

SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

(212) 805-0300

awards, etc. So that's different than content parity.

by the content parity requirement?

A. That's correct.

negotiations, right?

awards, etc. -- in your view included ancillaries such as

important in the next generation of contracts.

GBS8SAR1 Webb - Cross 1 connects or with the airlines' own Neb sites continued to be a critical requirement, right? 2 3 A. Where am I looking? Q. Put that aside for a moment. We will deal with the 4 5 document, but let's see if you recall first. 6 Do you recall that one of the issues that you 7 presented to the board was that parity with the direct channel, 8 that is with the airline's own Web sites and with the other GDSs continued to be a critical requirement? 10 A. I don't recall specifically, but we certainly wanted full 11 content and that included parity with the direct channels. 12 Q. Let's look at 197.4. 13 This is a page from your presentation to the board, 14 right? A. It is. 15 16 Q. In which you were setting out your strategy for the 17 negotiations, right? 18 A. This appears to be background, yes. 19 Q. And you put down that you told them that parity with the 20 direct channel continued to be a critical requirement, right? 21 A. Yes. This is talking about the full content agreements. 22 Q. Not only did you need content parity on inventory and 23 fares, but you also needed product parity, that is, parity on

SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C. (212) 805-0300

A. This is broader than that, but this was -- this is

24

25

ancillaries, right?

Sabr-Transcript-000006230

Sabr-Transcript-000006231

3453 GBS8SAB1 Webb - Cross 1 A. Yeah. The whole thing is airline negotiation strategy. 2 This entire document is about a negotiation. This is our 3 strategy for negotiation. 4 Q. Your strategy was to make full content a requirement? 5 A. For discounts. So we had to negotiate for full content. 6 Q. Which was a requirement in your view? 7 A. It was important. 8 Q. Not just important, it was a requirement. That's your 9 word. 10 A. It was very important. I wrote that, but it was very 11 important. 12 Q. It wasn't a requirement? 13 A. What we are talking about is, again, the background of what 14 we have been able to do to provide full content, trade-offs for 15 pricing, and so I am pointing out to the board it continues to 16 be important. 17 (Continued on next page) 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25

SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C. (212) 805-0300

3454 Gbslsab2 Webb - Cross BY MR. FRACKHAN: 1 2 Q. Did you tell the board in your maiden presentation as president of Travel Network that full content continued to be a 4 requirement, in your view? 5 A. Yes, what I said was that parity with the direct channel and GDS continues to be a critical requirement. That's what 6 7 the bullet says. It was very important to us in our 8 negotiations with the airlines that we achieve a full content 9 outcome. 10 Q. So you also set out your key objectives for the renewal negotiations with the Big 5, US Airways, and you told the board 11 12 that one of the key objectives was to extend the full content 13 agreements, right? A. I don't recall, but I'm sure that I said something along 14 15 those lines. 16 Q. Let's turn to PX 197.15. 17 So you see here on this page, you set out the -- the 18 title of the slide is Key Airlines - North America, right? 19 A. It is. 20 Q. And you listed there -- it's hard to read -- the largest US 21 legacy carriers, including Delta, American, US Airways, United, 22 Continental, right? 23 A. Yes, this was the North America. 24 Q. And you told the board that the key objective in the 25 negotiations, the number one key objective, the first bullet,

SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C. (212) 805-0300

Gbslsab2

A. He did.

2

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

24

25

course of those negotiations?

language. You were frustrated, right?

take US Airways f'ing dark, right?

A. Hold on. I'm on the wrong one.

eventually signed the new agreement.

That's correct.

of the negotiations?

A. I was frustrated.

Or capability. Right?

Webb - Cross

Q. Did Mr. Gross keep you regularly informed of the progress

Q. Now I'd like to work a little bit backwards here and start

with your testimony that when you wrote to Mr. Gross in January

that you were going to take US Airways f'ing dark, to use your

evidence. This is the January 26, 2011 email from you to David

Q. And just to orient ourselves, let's look at PX 125 in

Gross and Chris Wilding in which you said you were going to

Q. And you said you were going to also make them do a press

release, saying that Sabre had great ancillary capacity, right?

A. Yeah, again, this is when, out of nowhere, they've told us

they're not going to be able to sign a new contract, the day

instead, what we did was send them a 30-day extension and we

Q. And Mr. Gross agreed that -- he promised you that he'd get

SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

(212) 805-0300

before it's going to expire, and I was frustrated, and so

A. Mr. Nocella and I spoke on the phone one other time.

3456

Gbs1sab2 Webb - Cross 1 was to extend the full content agreements with traditional 2 booking fee model, right? 3 A. That's correct. 4 Q. Now although you testified you had some involvement in the 5 negotiations with US Airways in 2010, you had very limited 6 involvement, right? 7 A. The teams handled most of it. 8 Q. Most of it was handled by David Gross, "The Enforcer," to 9 use his own term? 10 A. Mr. Gross was responsible for portions of the negotiation, 11 as was Mr. Wilding and Mr. Toothman. 12 Q. Mr. Gross is the one who calls himself "The Enforcer," right? 13 14 A. I don't think he calls himself "The Enforcer." As we saw 15 in his joke presentation, that was what was up there. He also 16 called himself "The Real Robert Palmer," as I recall, and David 17 couldn't sing if his life depended on it. 18 Q. So you had one meeting, a lunch meeting with Andrew Nocella 19 and John Gustafson early in the process, right? 20 A. That's correct. 21 Q. And you testified you received an email from Mr. Nocella in 22 October 2010. Do you recall that? 23 A. I do. 24 Q. Other than these two direct interactions, you didn't have

SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C. (212) 805-0300

any direct discussions or correspondence with US Airways in the

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Sabr-Transcript-000006234

Sabr-Transcript-000006235

3458

3457 Gbs1sab2 Webb - Cross a good press release from them, right? A. He says he'll get it done. Q. Now although you testified that you used this language about your good customer, US Airways, because you were, quote, extraordinarily frustrated, in your words, that type of language is commonplace at Sabre, right? At least when you're talking about airlines. A. I don't believe that's true. Q. Well, let's look at just two examples. PX 441 in evidence. This is the email in July 2009 from David Gross to you, in which he reported to you that he kicked Andrew Nocella square in the balls, right? A. I don't have it in my mind, so, but --Q. Let's look up here. We'll blow it up for you. PX 441. Do you see at the top, David Gross to Greg Webb, "Looks like they launched the no publicity"? That's referring to Choice Scats, right? A. I believe that's Choice Seats, yes.

SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C. (212) 805-0300

A. He wasn't actually talking about Mr. Nocella. He was using

Q. That's how he was talking about Andrew Nocella, the head of

2. "So agents may not even know this is available on the

kicked him square in the balls for this." Right?

A. That's what it says.

distribution at US Airways, right?

website, but as you can see, " David Gross speaking, "I have

Webb - Cross Gbslsab2 it to be descriptive. 1 Q. And let's look at another example. PX 479 in evidence. 2 This is another of "The Enforcer's," David Gross's, emails, to 3 Carter Davis and Chris Wilding. And for the jury's benefit, Carter Davis was the head of Travelocity, right? 6 λ. Νο. 7 Q. He was a senior person at Travelocity, wasn't he? A. I think he did distribution contracts. I'm not sure. 8 Q. He was at Travelocity? 9 A. He was at Travelocity. 10 Q. He was involved in the negotiations with US Airways on 11 12 behalf of Travelocity, right? A. I don't recall, but --13 Q. In any event, David Gross wrote to Carter Davis and Chris 14 Wilding, his lieutenant on the US Airways negotiations, "Chris, 15 please call John and tell him we are going to effing stick it 16 17 to US Airways if they are even thinking about following American Airlines." Right? 18 A. He was clearly being very descriptive. 19 20 MS. GlULIANELLI: And your Honor, again, we just 21 request that there be actual questions about documents, 22 especially that Mr. Webb is not on. I think we'll get to see 23 Mr. Gross too.

SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C. (212) 805-0300

we've heard a line of questions about language that was used.

THE COURT: And I think we've seen these documents and

Sabr-Transcript-000006236

Webb - Cross

Q. And you said, "We had never had a conversation about

Q. "And we had never had a conversation about higher rates

of shock that Mr. Nocella had raised a nonfull-content deal,

the reality is that full content was one of the key issues in

dispute between you and US Airways from the beginning of the

A. The very first conversation I had with Mr. Nocella was

around, beginning with the 2006 agreement, the full content

provisions, and which was why I was so -- felt so comfortable

A. I mean, certainly full content was in discussion because it

was in discussion as a tradeoff to price, so I'm sure we were

discussing content, and we were certainly discussing Choice

Q. Full content was a critical issue, if not the critical

issue, in the negotiations with US Airways from the beginning.

A. Certainly we wanted full content, yea. It was part of the

SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

(212) 805-0300

So let's look at the first of those. Your expression

associated with a nonfull-content deal." Right?

Q. That was your testimony under oath.

about the initial start of the negotiations.

Gbs1sab2

A. I do.

A. Correct.

A. Yes.

negotiations, right?

Q. Well --

Seats.

operating on the PCA, * right?

1

2

3

4

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Webb - Cross Gbslsab2 So probative value is slight. So let's move on. 1 2 BY MR. FRACKHAN: Q. The point is that your use of the term is not unique among 3 the Sabre team when talking about airlines, right? 4 A. It appears US Airways made more than me just frustrated. 5 Q. Okay. So let's put aside the language that you guys use at 6 7 Sabre when talking about airlines and let's look at the asserted explanation that is for your use of that term, your 8 asserted frustration. 10 You testified that, among other things, you were 11 shocked when you had received an email from Andrew Nocella just 12 a few days before that email of yours, right? 13 A. I didn't receive it. The one that Mr. Gross received. Q. Yeah. So let's just pull up your testimony for a second. 14 15 This is trial testimony, page 3227, line 18. And following.? MS. GIULIANELLI: Objection. Improper impeachment. 16 17 THE COURT: I'll allow it. You can ask your question. Q. So you testified that, shortly before your f'ing dark 18 19 email, you were shocked when you learned of an email from 20 Mr. Nocella to Mr. Gross, right? 21 A. That's correct. 22 Q. And you said, "I was shocked," that's your term, "because 23 we had been negotiating for at this point ten months, and we

> SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C. (212) 805-0300

> > Webb - Cross

had never had a conversation about a nonfull-content deal." Do

24

25

you see that?

Gbslsab2

Sabr-Transcript-000006238

3461

Sabr-Transcript-000006239

discussion from the beginning. 1 2 Q. You knew it was going to be an issue because you told the 3 board, in that presentation we just looked at, that full content was a requirement, right? 5 A. We were negotiating a full content agreement, the entire 6 time. 7 Q. And almost every discussion, almost every negotiation, В email, as we're going to see, with US Airways involved full 9 content. 10 A. I'm sure it was around the definition of full content. 11 Q. It was around full content because you guys viewed Choice 12 Seats as a violation of your full content agreement, right? 13 A. We believed Choice Seats was covered in the full content 14 agreement, yes. 15 Q. And therefore, when US Airways said that they wanted to 16 distribute Choice Seats on their own website, if Sabre was 17 unable to do it, you guys believed that was a violation of the 18 full content term, right? 19 A. We believed that the original full content agreement 20 included all seats and all inventory and all availability and 21 so we would have considered Choice Seats to be part of that. 22 Q. From May 2010, you guys were negotiating the very term full 23 content that you said you were shocked Mr. Nocella had raised 24 in early January, isn't that right? 25 A. Again, in 2006 we created a full content agreement that we

SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C. (212) 805-0300

Gbsloab2 Webb - Cross 1 thought would have covered everything including Choice Seats. US Airways came to us in the middle of 2010 and said they believed that Choice Seats fell outside that agreement, and so certainly what we were doing in the 2010 time frame was clarifying what full content meant and what was in and what was out of that, and so there was -- yes, it was a discussion because we were trying to clarify the definition of full content. Q. Let me show you PX 113 in evidence. So if you lock at 113 with me, you see this is an exchange between Jason Toothman and John Gustafson way back, May 20, 2010, early in the negotiations. Do you see that? A. I do. Q. And if you look at PX 113, at the bottom of PX 113.1, do you see that email from -- do you see an email from Toothman to Gustafson, right? A. I do. Q. And that carries over to the second page, PX 113.2, and if you go to the second to last paragraph of Mr. Toothman's email, you see that way back in May, he was already negotiating full content and parity with Mr. Gustafson. A. Again, we were trying to clarify where Choice Seats fell. This entire document is a document discussing technical specifications for how we'd implement Choice Seats, again trying to get US Airways to move off their one-off solution,

3462

SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C. **{212}** 805-0300

Gbs1sab2

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Seats.

Webb - Cross

which we were going to spend millions of dollars to develop that we were never going to be able to use for anybody else to move to the industry standard, and this is almost entirely a discussion around the technology aspects of that agreement. But yes, we were trying to ensure that Choice Seats was included and documented in the contract because obviously we had a dispute about how it was categorized in the 2006 agreement. Q. Let me try my question again. From early on in the negotiations, as early as May, US Airways and Sabre were negotiating full content and parity because Sabre took the position that, at a minimum, Choice Seats violated those terms, right? A. From the beginning, we were clarifying whether or not Choice Seats would be included in full content. Absolutely. That was part of the discussion. Q. So that's a yes. A. That was the only component of full content that we were discussing at that point. Q. So that's a yes to my question. You were discussing full content and parity with US Airways from early in the negotiations, certainly as early as May 2010, as demonstrated by Mr. Toothman's email, PX 113, right?

> SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C. (212) 805-0300

Q. And in fact, Mr. Toothman said that, "If we are unable to

A. We were discussing Choice Seats, yes.

Sabr-Transcript-000006242

1

2

9

16

19

24

25

Sabr-Transcript-000006243

3466

3464

3465 Gbslsab2 Webb - Cross emails on July 7 and 8, 2010, and at the bottom of PX 381R.1, you see there's an email from David Gross to you? A. There is. Q. And when we go to PX 381R.2, you see the report from David Gross to you and Tom Klein about his conversation with Andrew Nocella, right? A. 1 do. Q. You've seen this before, you remember it, right? A. I have. Q. And he reported to you, in July, in case you didn't know about the Toothman-Gustafson correspondence in May, that Andrew Nocella said to him, way back in July, "We needed to talk about full content." Do you see that? A. Yes. What he's talking about, again, is the discrepancy of Choice Seats being included in the definition in 2006. You can read right there, he says -- he said he wants us to sell it so he wants a solution that promises flexibility, explain the problem with their business design. It's talking about Choice

SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

Q. And the other issue that he told you about at this time was

that Andrew Nocella told him that he didn't want to do a deal

(212) 805-0300

Q. And that Mr. Gross told him that full content meant all

content agents need to serve as customers, right?

A. That would have been the definition from 2006.

that included Travelocity, right?

Webb - Cross Gbs1sab2

discussion. Do you recall that?

A. Where's that?

Q. He wrote, Nocella, he said he wanted to distinguish between

Webb - Cross

get aligned on this initiative, " Choice Seats, "and US Airways

pursues this current course, it appears that Sabre may not have

A. Yes, he's stating the point that we believe it's included

A. We had to get clarity around full -- around Choice Seats in

Q. The point is that full content was not something that arose

order for us to get to a new agreement on full content, yes.

out of the blue in January 2011 because your team had been

negotiating it, at a minimum, with respect to Choice Seats,

A. We had never talked about a nonfull content agreement. We

had been clarifying whether or not Choice Seats was included in

the previous definition in 2006 or whether we needed to clarify

frustration as to use the "f'ing dark" language, because David

Q. You knew that full content was not something that had

arisen out of the blue in January to cause you such great

Gross reported to you back in July that he had spoken with

A. I don't, but I assume, again, it was around Choice Seats.

Q. Take a look at PX 381R in evidence. This is an exchange of

SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

(212) 805-0300

Andrew Nocella and that full content had arisen in that

since at least May, eight months earlier, right?

Q. "And that outcome could hinder our ability to get an

Gbslsab2

full content and parity. Right?

in the 2006 agreement.

extension, " right?

that in 2011.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

37

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

3 corporate and online. Do you see that, in No. 5?

A. I see that.

Q. That referred to the fact that US Airways was pushing back

on distributing to Travelocity, right?

A. I'm not sure about that. It may have been -- we talked

about value-based pricing with them before, so differing the 8

price based on online or off line. So I'm not sure what this

is referring to. 10

Q. Well, in any event, after receiving that report from David 11

Gross, you wrote back -- you go up, Trevor, you said, "So what 12

about the pending launch?* That means their pending launch of 13

14 Choice Shate; elekt?

15 A. Correct.

Q. And he responded to you, and you said, at the top of

47 381R.2, that Gross and Nocella could continue to have a

18 conversation but Toothman should be telling his guy, I quess

that meant John Gustafson, we will be going to court in a

20 hurry. Right?

21 A. If they violated their agreement.

Q. So your view was, what you were saying here, is that 22

23 Toothman should threaten John Gustafson with legal action if US

Airways went forward with the launch of Choice Seats, which you

viewed as a violation of the full content agreement, right?

SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C. (212) 805-0300

Webb - Cross

dispute between us and US Airways as to the definition of full

agreement, six months after they launched Choice Seats and we

Q. Full content continued to be the subject of negotiations

A. It was only of -- we never discussed anything other than

Q. Take a look at PX 285 in evidence. This is another of

article about US Airways distributing Choice Seats, and he

wrote at the top, "BS. They will give us full content, and

Q. So again, full content in May in the Toothman email, in

A. Chris Kroeger didn't -- Chris Kroeger was a peer of his and

content, I understand you say limited to Choice Seats, but full

SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

(212) 805-0300

be participating in Sabre at all." Do you see that?

July in your exchange with Mr. Gross, in October - in

November, when David Gross was giving direction to his

Q. All of these used the term full content because full

lieutenant Chris Kroeger, all of these --

David Gross's emails dated November 23, 2010, in response to an

they will give us scats in a way that we can sell or they won't

with US Airways through the fall of 2010, right?

A. Choice Seats was an ongoing discussion.

Choice Seats in association with full content.

Q. Not just Choice Seats, full content.

A. I see. That's what it says.

ran product marketing.

content in the 2006 agreement, which we fixed in the 2011

Gbslsab2

did nothing.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Gbslsab2

1

2

5

6

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

24

25

24

25

do you see that?

A. It's what it says.

Webb - Cross

A. We -- we did believe that it was in violation of the full content agreement, and of course we never went to court and they did launch and we did nothing, and so that's how it resolved itself, which is a resolution with no resolution, and then we fixed it in the 2011 agreement, because full content was important.

Q. The point is, Mr. Webb, that back in July you yourself were engaged in emails with your team about full content as it applied to the US Airways negotiation. That's what the emails say, right?

A. It's all about Choice Seats. You can continue to position it that way, but it was a discussion of Choice Seats. We never discussed whether or not full content was in question. It was merely a question as to how we defined Choice Seats in the new agreement.

Q. Mr. Gross used the term full content, right?

A. Because in the two thousand --

Q. Answer my question. He used the term full content in the email report to you, right, Mr. Webb?

MS. GIULIANELLI: Objection. The witness should be able to answer.

THE COURT: Sustained.

23 Q. He -- Mr. Gross used the

THE COURT: Let him finish his answer.

A. He used the term full content because, again, this was a

SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C. (212) 805-0300

Sabr-Transcript-000006248

3469

Sabr-Transcript-000006247

Gbs1sab2 Webb - Cross 1 content, that language was repeatedly the subject of the 2 negotiation throughout 2010 between Sabre and US Airways, 3 right? A. You're going to make me say it again. Because of the 2006 5 agreement, we had a discrepancy with US Airways around Choice 6 Seats. Again, this email is talking about Choice Seats, the 7 article below it's talking about Choice Seats, every email you 8 showed mg is talking about Choice Seats. That's all we were 9 talking about. That was the only discussion. 10 Q. This email talks about full content. Those are David 11 Gross's words, right? 12 A. Yes, because he believed it included Choice Seats. 13 Q. And the next month, in December, David Gross again talked 14 about full content in the email we just looked at when he said, 15 "we're going to effing stick it to US Airways," PX 479. And just to -16 17 MS. GIULIANELLI: Your Honor, this is just getting 18 repetitive. Objection. This is the third time. 19 THE COURT: I understand. I'll allow it. 20 Ask your one question and then move on. 21 Q. And just to put this in context, I'm actually not asking 22 about the language this time; I'm asking about the content. 23 This is an email from Gross referencing Delta and US Airways,

SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C. (212) 805-0300

3470 Gbslaab2 Webb - Cross Q. Dated December 8, 2010, right? 2 A. Yes. 3 Q. And when he talked about effing sticking it to US Airways, 4 it was in response to Carter Davis's email below, right? 5 A. It appears to be. 6 Q. And the issue — and what Carter Davis was referring to is the American dispute that was out there at the time, right? 7 A. I'm not sure, but - I don't know what he's referencing. Q. Well, take a look at the last paragraph, where Mr. Cavis 9 10 wrote, "Feels like a lot of people are sitting on the side 11 watching American Airlines/Orbitz and don't want to move until 12 that plays out. Do you see that? 13 A. Yeah, I do. 14 Q. And that issue was a full content issue because American 15 had pulled content, right, from Orbitz? 16 A. No. American stopped participating in Orbitz in its 17 entirety. It wasn't -- they just stopped working together. 18 Q. So Orbitz did not have American full content, didn't have 19 any content from American, right? 20 A. They didn't have an agreement in place. 21 Q. You guys had an issue with American over potential direct 22 connects, right? 23 A. I don't recall. 24 Q. You also viewed that as a violation of full content. don't 25 you?

SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C. (212) 805-0300

Gbslaab2

Kebb - Cross

A. I don't recall.

1

2

3

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

14

15

16

17

22

MS. GIULIANELLI: Objection to other disputes that we have.

THE COURT: Suatained.

Q. So we've seen a number of examples, repeated references to full content in the negotiations between US Airways and Sabre through all of 2010. So your testimony that you were shocked that full content came up again in January, the following month, seems a little implausible, isn't that a fact? A. No. I'm shocked you continue to assert that we weren't talking about Choice Seats. We were talking about Choice Seats. That's the only thing we talked about the entire year was one product, and that product, which we already thought was included in the choice -- in the full content definition, so

the only thing we were doing was clarifying language around Choice Seats, for the entire year.

Q. Now you also testified that you were shocked because US Airways had raised the possibility of reinstating the PCA

19 agreement. Do you recall that testimony? 20

A. Reinstating. That's the same email I think you're talking about.

Q. Yeah. Well, yes, it's the same email and it's the same

testimony you gave. That was another reason why you were so shocked as to use -- I'm going to take the "f'ing dark"

language. Right?

SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C. (212) 805-0300

Sabr-Transcript-000006250

Sabr-Transcript-000006251

3474

3472

Gbslaab2 Webb - Cross Q. The subject is US, right?

A. It is.

Q. And then David Gross flips it to you on October 28, 2010, right?

A. Looks like, yup.

MR. FRACKMAN: I offer PX 120 in evidence.

MS. GIULIANELLI: No objection.

THE COURT: It's admitted.

(Plaintiff's Exhibit 120 received in evidence)

Q. So here we are in October, not January, three months later, but October, and Chris Wilding reports on the discussion he had with John Gustafson at US Airways, right?

A. Correct. 13

> Q. And he reported that in that conversation Mr. Gustafson raised questions about the possibility of reinstating the PCA beyond January 28th without a new TMA. Do you see that sentence?

A. I do. 18

19 Q. The third bullet?

20 A. Yeah, I see it.

21 Q. So in fact, months before your reaction in January 2011,

your team had in fact had discussions with US Airways about

23 reinstating the PCA. Right?

24 A. It looks like, from the sentence below that, that what's 25 happening is, the discussion about extending on the PCA was if

> SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C. (212) 805-0300

3473

Gbslsab2

Gbs1sab2

agreement."

A. Correct.

A. Correct.

content.

A. Thank you.

A. It is.

it should be a problem.

2

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

7

16

17

22

23

24

25

Hebb - Cross

Hebb - Cross

A. Again, those were two separate events, but the -- I'm not

sure exactly what it said in the email or what I said about

PCA, but the assumption was that they -- after talking for,

discussion about content being Choice Seats, all of a sudden

them saying, hey, we'd like to know about not doing a full

Q. Your testimony was, "I was shocked because we'd been

negotiating for at this point ten months, we never had a

Q. Do you recall we just looked at that testimony?

Q. Okay. Well, the fact is that your team had discussed

a proposal sent by US Airways that was anything but full

operating on the PCA with US Airways prior to January 2011.

A. I don't know if there was a discussion, but there was never

Q. Let me show you PX 120 for identification. I don't think

Q. So take a look at PX 120 with me, Mr. Webb. Do you see the

SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

(212) 805-0300

bottom email is from Chris Wilding to David Gross, right?

conversation about operating on the PCA, participating carrier

again, ten months about a full content deal, the only

content deal at all, start from scratch.

for some reason we weren't done with the deal, we hadn't gotten to a new deal, and it looks like we're talking about a time period, meaning if we weren't done with the negotiation, could we -- would we just move to the PCA on the 28th after the contract expires, and Chris just tells him, "I told him if there's a deal to be done, we should be able to make it happen before January 28th.

Q. Well, how about my question? Three months before your 8 expression of shock in January, your team had had a discussion 9 with Mr. Gustafson at US Airways about -- I'm using 10

Mr. Wilding's words -- the "possibility of reinstating the 11 PCA. Do you see that? 12

A. Yeah. That's just if we didn't get the deal done on time, 13 14 could it revert to the PCA.

Q. Well, the fact is you had a discussion about reinstating the PCA long before your expression of surprise in January, right?

18 A. Not really.

MS. GIULIANELLI: Objection. 19

THE COURT: Overruled. 20

21 A. Not really.

Q. Now another reason you testified you were so shocked by Mr. Nocella's January email so as to use that expletive is, I'm quoting you, "We never had a conversation about higher rates associated with a nonfull-content deal." Do you remember that

SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

(212) 805-0300

Webb - Cross

Q. Well, do you have any understanding of what the rack rates

A. I don't recall, but they would have been probably in that

Q. So back in December, long before your expression of shock,

John Gustafson and Chris Wilding had discussion about rack

Q. Let me show you PX 3063, which should be in your binder.

Q. So this is an email exchange between Chris Wilding, on your

MR. FRACKMAN: I offer PX 3063 in ovidence.

SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

(212) 805-0300

MS. GIULIANELLI: No objection.

THE COURT: It's admitted.

A. Oh, wait, wait. I do. Sorry. It was hidden.

double what they were paying, right?

rates. Do you recall that?

team, and John Gustafson, right?

Q. Entitled Contingency, right?

Q. Dated December 7 and 8, 2010, right?

For identification.

A. I don't have it.

Q. Okay.

A. It 4s.

A. It is.

A. Yes.

A. Not sure exactly, but they're more expensive.

Gbslsab2

wore in 2011?

range.

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Webb - Cross Gbslsab2 1 testimony? A. I'm sure I said that. 2 3 Q. Isn't it a fact that US Airways asked Sabre about rack rates at least as early as December, right? 5 A. I'm not sure. That could have happened. 6 Q. And just so that we all know, rack rates are the nondiscounted, full-price rates that Sabre would charge if an airline, if US Airways, refused to provide what, full content? 9 Well, let me ask the question differently, because you wouldn't 10 do a deal without full content under any circumstances. But 11 the rack rate is the nondiscounted rates in your system, right? 12 MS. GIULIANELLI: Objection to the lawyer preamble, as opposed to the question. 13 14 THE COURT: Well, yes, sustained. Just ask the 15 question. 16 Q. Rack rates refers to the nondiscounted rates in the Sabre 17 system, right? 18 A. Yes, rack rates refers to when we're unable to negotiate 19 for full content and so the discounts don't apply and so 20 there's a higher cost for that. 21 Q. So they're the nonnegotiated rates or -- and they're higher 22 than the rates in the TMA agreements, right? 23 A. Generally the tradeoff is price for full content, so yes, 24 generally those rates are higher. 25 Q. In the case of the US majors, the rack rates are at least

SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C. (212) 805-0300

Sabr-Transcript-000006254

3477

Sabr-Transcript-000006255

Gbslsab2 Webb - Cross 1 (Plaintiff's Exhibit 3063 recoived in evidence) 2 Q. So back in early December, Chris Wilding and John Gustafson 3 had discussed rack rate pricing, right? A. Yeah, it appears to be. 5 Q. And Chris Wilding had sent to Mr. Gustafson the Sabre rack 6 rate pricing, which is on PX 3063.2 and 3, right? You see at 7 the top there it says rack rate pricing? A. I see that. Q. So at a minimum, a full month before your expression of 10 surprise, shock, that the rack rate issue had arisen, the 11 parties had in fact discussed rack rates, right? 12 A. Yeah. I wasn't included on this email, but it's very 13 clearly titled Contingency; in case we didn't get the deal done 14 by the 28th of January, what would happen, how would we still 15 have a relationship without having a new contract. 16 Q. So contrary to your testimony, Sabre had in fact had 17 conversations about rack rates prior to January 2010, when you 10 said the topic shocked you, right? 19 A. We had never had a -- any negotiation around operating in a 20 nonfull content agreement, none. 21 Q. So, but what about my question? A. Yes, there is a contingency plan. Both emails you showed 22 23 me were contingency, in case the deal didn't get done on the 28th of January. 24

SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C. (212) 805-0300

Q. So you couldn't have been shocked that rack rates arose in

25

```
3478
      Gbslsab2
                               Webb - Cross
 1
      January, as you testified, because your team had discussed
 2
      them, had even sent to US Airways the rack rate schedule a full
 3
      month before, right?
      A. Every proposal we got from US Airways was a full content
 4
 5
      proposal, so I was shocked that, out of the blue, again, 13
 6
      days before the contract was going to expire, we got a note
 7
      saying, hey, we'd like to start from scratch. Yes, I'm still
 8
      shocked.
 9
      Q. Your testimony -- well, you don't look like the type of man
10
      that gets shocked very easily, Mr. Webb. You were president of
11
      the Travel Network.
12
      A. Thank you.
13
      Q. Your testimony, "We never had a conversation about higher
      rates associated with the nonfull-content deal," is false
14
15
      because your team had exchanged rack rate information a month
16
      earlier, right?
17
      A. For contingency planning purposes.
18
               THE COURT: Mr. Frackman, let's move on. You've asked
19
      this question 17 different ways. He's answered it. The jury
20
      gets your point. And let's move on.
21
      Q. Now in fact, Sabre had threatened US Airways with rack rate
22
     pricing if US Airways did not agree to a deal with Travelocity
23
      months earlier, right?
24
     A. I'm not sure what the discussion was around Travelocity.
25
     Q. Take a look at Defendant's Exhibit 392, which is the
```

SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C. (212) 805-0300

Webb - Cross Gbs1sab2

A. Yes, let me just --

structure to continue to operate.

but that we wouldn't rebate back.

on Travelocity as well, right?

A. Yes, that's correct.

1

2

3

4

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

25

January 28, right, second paragraph under the scenario 2?

done with the agreements, we'd go rack rate pricing. As soon

as we signed the agreements, then we would rebate the money,

operating on the PCA. This was just to give us a contractual

Q. And what you said -- what he said here was that if you had

for the Travel Network, that is, the GDS, you had a signed term

an agreement on distribution with US Airways on distribution

sheet, but if US Airways did not agree to distribute through

Travelocity, you would take them to the rack rate, right?

Not terribly clear to me. But it appears that if -

A. Not sure exactly what -- how this was supposed to work.

Travelocity, not agreed -- yoah, just -- this one says that it

looks like we wouldn't -- we wouldn't be rebating the component

back if they didn't sign a deal with Travelocity, so during the

eventually move to the new contract terms on the Sabre period,

Q. So there would be rack rate unless there was an agreement

SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

(212) 805-0300

time period that we were operating on the PCA, then wo'd

the difference back for the time period that they were

Q. And scenario 3 also referred to rack rates, right?

Yeah, this was -- effectively says that if we weren't

Webb - Cross Gbs1sab2 version of this correspondence that Ms. Giulianelli used so I'm 1 2 using it for the same purpose, and --A. 392? 3 Q. Yeah. And take a look at DX 392.2 and 3. 5 This is an email from Chris Wilding to John Gustafson dated December 20, 2010, right? A. Yes. Q. And he set forth four different pricing scenarios for US 8 9 Airways, right? Take a look at 392.3. 10 A. Yos, just give me a second. It's a long email. 11 THE COURT: Is this in evidence? 12 MR. FRACKMAN: Yes, it is, your Honor. 13 THE COURT: Okay. MR. FRACKMAN: Could you -- I assumed it was in 14 15 evidence because Ms. Giulianelli used it. If not 16 MR. MacNALLY: It's in evidence. 17 BY MR. FRACKMAN: Q. Are you with me at 392.3? 18 19 A. I am. Q. So Mr. Wilding sent to Mr. Gustafoon four options on 20 pricing if a signed agreement had not been reached, right? 21 22 A. Yeah, this is, again, the kind of the scenario planning of, depending on where the negotiations are, what contingency would 23 happen if we weren't done by the 28th of January. 24 25 Q. And in scenario 2, he refers to rack rate effective

> SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C. (212) 905-0300

> > Sabr-Transcript-000006258

3481

3479

Sabr-Transcript-000006259

3480

Gbslsab2 Webb - Cross A. Rack rate for a period, it looks like. 1 2 Q. And scenario 4 is the scenario if there's no agreement with the Travel Network and no agreement with Travelocity, right? A. Yeah. We haven't agreed on a new relationship so we wouldn't work together anymore. 5 Q. Under that circumstance you would take them f'ing dark. That's what it says here. Sabre will not extend beyond termination date, right? 8 A. If we didn't --9 10 MS. GIULIANELLI: Objection. I don't believe the 11 document says that. THE COURT: Well, he's asking a question. 12 13 You may answer. 14 No speaking objections, please. Go ahead. 15 A. Yeah, this is just -- neither -- neither -- we don't agree 16 with US Airways on a new contract and Travelocity doesn't agree 17 with them on a new contract and so we no longer have an 18 Q. As of January 28th, US Airways would be dark in Sabre, 19 20 21 A. We would no longer have a contract. I'd no longer have the 22 right to distribute their content. 23 Q. Well, they could give you the right, but you wouldn't, 24 right? 25 A. Well, they'd have to sign a new contract to give me the

> SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C. (212) 805-0300

3482 Gbs1sab2 Webb - Cross right, so I --Q. Exactly. Now your threat to take US Airways dark unless it agreed to your terms -- full content, parity, Travelocity -was not something that arose for the first time in your now belabored email of January when you were shocked, right? A. Yeah. Q. This was a constant theme throughout the negotiations. A. First of all, I didn't say any of those things in January based on any of that. I said -- I sent that frustration email because we got, the day before the contract was terminating, a need to extend for 30 days because, for the first time in 11 months, I heard that they had to get board approval for the deal and they weren't going to be able to do that for a full 28 days from the time we signed the contract, and so I was, again, frustrated, and, again, we sent them a 30-day extension about an hour later. At the current pricing. Q. You made it clear months earlier that unless US Airways reached an agreement with Travolocity, there would be no deal with the GOS, right? A. I don't recall. Q. Take a look at PX 148 for identification. This is an October 25, 2010 email from you to Tom Klein and Sam Gilliland and Hugh Jones. Are you with me? A. I am. MR. FRACKMAN: I offer PX 148 in evidence.

24

SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C. (212) 805-0300

Sabr-Transaript-000006260

Gbslsab2

1

```
Gbs1sab2
                               Webb - Cross
 1
               MS. GIULIANELLI: No objection.
 2
               THE COURT: It's admitted.
 3
               (Plaintiff's Exhibit 148 received in evidence)
      Q. So the bottom email here is an email from Carter Davis, who
 5
      was the managing director, airline relations at Travelocity at
 6
      the time, right?
      A. Yes.
      Q. And he was updating Hugh Jones and others about the
      negotiations between Travelocity and US Airways, right?
10
      A. Yes. It appears US Airways terminated the contract.
11
      Q. And that Hugh Jones flipped that to you, right?
12
      A. He did.
13
      Q. And you reported to the chairman of Sabre, Sam Gilliland,
14
      and the president, Tom Klein, that you had told US Airways,
15
      quote, that they had to reach agreement with Travelocity or no
16
      Travel Network deal. Right?
17
      A. That's what it says.
18
               THE COURT: Are you nearing the end?
19
               MR. FRACKMAN: I'd say maybe ten minutes.
20
               THE COURT: It's our break time, so why don't we take
21
      our break, and we'll be back in 15 minutes. Thank you.
22
               THE DEPUTY CLERK: All rise.
23
               (Jury not present)
24
               THE COURT: Okay. We're adjourned for 15 minutes.
25
               (Recess)
```

SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

(212) 805-0300

2 MS. ROBSON: Your Honor, while we're waiting, we have 3 met and conferred on two video plays, and we have the 4 objections and counterdesignations here. 5 THE COURT: Okay. 6 THE DEPUTY CLERK: Jury entering. 7 (Continued on next page) 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25

Webb - Cross

(In open court; jury not present)

SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C. (212) 905-0300

Sabr-Transcript-000006262

Sabr-Transcript-000006263

3486

3485 Gbs1sab2 Webb - Cross 1 (Jury present) THE COURT: All right. You may be seated. 2 3 Mr. Frackman, you've made your fifteen minutes into five, right? Or your ten minutes into five. 5 MR. FRACKMAN: Let's see how good I am. Let's go for 6 it. 7 THE COURT: All right. 8 BY MR. FRACKMAN: Q. So Mr. Webb, we were just looking at PX 148, your email 9 10 where you said that unless US Airways agreed to do a deal with 11 Travelocity, there would be no deal with the Travel Network, 12 right? 13 A. That's -- it says that we told them something -- it says we 14 told them that. 15 Q. That's your email. 16 A. It is. 17 Q. So that wasn't the first time or the only time that you 18 threatened US Airways with either agreeing or being out, right? 19 A. I didn't threaten US Airways. 20 Q. Woll, you told them that if they didn't agree on a deal 21 with Travelocity, they would be out, right? 22 A. That's explaining, that's not threatening. 23 Q. You recall you testified on direct about the proposal that 2.4 you received from US Airways in October 2010? 25 A. Yes, we did.

SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

(212) 805-0300

Gbs1sab2 Webb - Cross 1 Q. And the next day after receiving that proposal, you 2 terminated, you gave notice of termination, right? 3 A. Yes. Normal course of business. Q. And David Gross told you, in response to that proposal from US Airways, that he was going to tell US Airways that they had to make it better or they would be out, in January, right? A. I don't recall. Q. Take a look at PX 118 in evidence. I'm sorry, 118. MR. FRACKMAN: Your Honor, there's a redacted version of this that was admitted. I'm going to offer the full document. Tell you the truth, I can't remember why we redacted it. It's a long time ago. But, counsel? Let me just lay the foundation in any ovent. Q. So Mr. Webb, you see this is an email from David Gross to you on October 15, 2011, right? A. I see it. (Continued on next page)

> SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C. (212) 805-0300

Q. Well, it was a requirement?

e-mail we looked at earlier?

couldn't participate.

November 23, 2010?

Webb - Cross

A. It's what we had been discussing was a full content deal.

A. It was part of what we had been negotiating the entire

Q. In November, as we saw earlier, David Gross said that

unless US Airways gives full content, they will be out, they

won't be participating in Sabre at all. Do you recall that

A. I don't, but if we didn't have a new agreement, then they

This an e-mail to David Gross to Chris Kroeger,

MS. GIULTANELLI: Objection. This is the same

MS. GIULIANELLI: This is at least the third time.

Q. That's PX 285 in evidence. Let's just look briefly at

MR. FRACKMAN: It's not this one.

THE COURT: Let's see what it is.

Q. That's what David Gross said -- not only did David Gross

tell you that in October, that US Airways would be out, that he

SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

(212) 805-0300

He has already said what he said. Let's move on.

Q. That was a requirement, as you told the board of directors,

THE COURT: We have been over and over again.

GBS8SAB3

right?

time.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

that.

document.

BY MR. FRACKMAN: 1 2 Q. And he was passing on to you the notice of termination that 3 Chris Wilding had sent to Mr. Gustafson earlier that day, right? 5 A. Yes, it appears that's what it was. 6 MR. FRACKMAN: I offer PX 118, your Honor. 7 THE COURT: Any objection? 8 MS. GIULIANELLI: No objection. 9 THE COURT: It's admitted. 10 (Plaintiff's Exhibit 118 received in evidence) 11 Q. So Mr. Gross told you, after receiving the US Airways 12 proposal, that he was going to tell Mr. Gustafson that the 13 offer is not anything we can work with, and he will have to try 14 again or be terminated in January, right? 15 A. He is just merely pointing out what would happen if we 16 didn't get to a new agreement. And he says, I don't consider 17 this to be a serious offer. I'm going to tell John that it's 18 not anything we can work with, and they need to try again or it will be terminated because we already sent termination. 19 20 Q. You sent a notice of termination and unless US Airways 21 agreed to your terms, that termination would become effective. 22 right? 23 A. Unless we jointly agreed on a new deal. 24 Q. And one of the things they had to agree to was full 25 content, right?

Hebb - Cross

GBS8SAB3

SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C. (212) 805-0300

Sabr-Transcript-000006266

3467

Sabr-Transcript-000006267

3489 GBS8SAB3 Webb - Cross 1 would tell them that they would be out, he repeated it in 2 November: They will give us full content and they will give us seats in a way we can sell or they won't be participating in Sabre at all. Right? 5 MS. GIULIANELLI: Objection. Same document. Same 6 questions. 7 THE COURT: Why are we doing this again? MR. FRACKMAN: We are doing it for a different 8 9 purpose. We are doing it for the point that the threat to take 10 US Airways dark was --11 THE COURT: So ask your question. Q. Your threat to terminate US Airways, unless US Airways 12 13 agreed to your terms, was a long-standing threat, right? 14 A. I never threatened US Airways, nor did the team. This is, 15 again, an internal document talking about the fact that if we 16 didn't get Choice Seats -- this is again a Choice Seats 17 conversation, and if we didn't get to a new agreement, they 18 wouldn't be a participant in Sabre. Q. And David Gross raised this with you again in January. He 19 20 raised the question of, Don't we have to at least tell US 21 Airways that unless they agree, they will be out of Sabre? 22 Do you recall that? 23 A. I don't. 24 Q. Take a look at PX 124 for identification. 25 MS. GIULIANELLI: Objection, your Honor. This is the

SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C. (212) 805-0300

3490 GBS8SAB3 Webb - Cross exact document that raises the "other disputes" issue on the 1 MIL. 2 3 THE COURT: What is the exhibit number? MR. FRACKMAN: PX 124. THE COURT: Is it in evidence? 5 6 MR. FRACKMAN: It is not in evidence. 7 This has to do with US Airways. THE COURT: OK. Could I see it? 8 4 HR. FRACKHAN: I'm sorry. THE COURT: Thank you. 10 11 THE WITNESS: You bet. 12 MS. GIULIANELLI: The objection is that questioning on 13 this document would require a full inquiry --14 THE COURT: I understand. 15 Overruled. It's admitted. 16 (Plaintiff's Exhibit 124 received in evidence) 17 BY MR. FRACKMAN: 18 Q. Mr. Webb, at the bottom here there is an e-mail from David Gross to you on January 12, 2011, right? 19 A. It is. 20 Q. The subject is US Airways, right? 21 22 A. It is. 23 Q. He raised with you the following question: Don't we need 24 to tell them, and mean it, that if we don't have at least a 25 term sheet agreement on a new deal, or rack rate if no

SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C. (212) 805-0300

Sabr-Transcript-000006268

Webb - Cross

Q. Wilding reports to Gross that you had not yet "advised" our

customers that there is a real possibility that US Airways

Q. And that he told Mr. Gustafson that, although you hadn't

A. Yeah. This is, again, our customers needed to know that

there was a potential that we wouldn't be able to come to a new

agreement. So that if they were making bookings on US Airways,

that on January 28 those bookings would no longer be available

so it was going to be difficult. So as in all of these, I am

sure US Airways was going to prepare to tell the customers the

A. No. We had to communicate with travel agencies that there

was a potential US Airways would no longer participate in the

Q. You viewed going to the travel agencies as a form of

Q. You viewed it as a way to put heat on US Airways?

A. I viewed it as a necessary communication to our travel

agencies' customers that they might not be a participant in the

Q. Take a look at PX 669 in evidence. This is an e-mail from

SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

(212) 805-0300

leverage in the negotiations, isn't that right?

done it yet, you were going to have to do it soon, right?

won't be in Sabre after January 28. Can you see that?

If you look at the fourth bullet.

GBS8SAB3

A. Yes, I see it.

A. I see it.

same thing.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

system.

GBS8SAB3 Webb - Cross Travelocity deal, they will be out of Sabre? 1 2 Do you recall that? 3 A. I see that's what it says. Q. Up above there was laughter, internal laughter between David Gross and you and Wilding, because David Gross said -- if you look at the top paragraph -- "It won't take long if they go dark from Sabre, " right? 8 "It won't take long if they go dark from Sabre." 9 Right? 10 A. That's not in reference to US Airways. 11 Q. So let me go to another document. 12 In addition to threatening --13 THE COURT: Another document. 14 MR. FRACKHAN: Yes. 15 Q. In addition to threatening to take US Airways dark or 16 terminating them, you guys also threatened to go to the travel 17 agents about the dispute, right? 18 A. Again, we never threatened US Airways. 19 Q. Take a look at PX 120 in evidence. We looked at this 20 before. This is an e-mail from David Gross to you, dated 21 October 28, 2010, forwarding an e-mail from Chris Wilding to 22 David Gross, updating David Gross on Chris Wilding's discussion with John Gustafson that afternoon. Do you recall that? 23 24 A. I don't recall it. 25 Q. We just looked at it a little while ago.

SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C. (212) 805-0300

Sabr-Transcript-000006270

Sabr-Transcript-000006271

3493 GBS8SAB3 Webb - Cross 1 David Gross to you and Mr. Wilding on January 12, 2011. 2 Sorry. For identification. Just take a look at it 3 first. This is an e-mail from David Gross to you, right? 5 A. Yes. 6 MR. FRACKMAN: I offer PX 669 in evidence. 7 MS. GIULIANELLI: No objection. 8 THE COURT: It's admitted. (Plaintiff's Exhibit 669 received in evidence) 9 10 Q. What Mr. Gross told you on January 12, "It may be time to 11 tell US Airways we need a coordinated plan to communicate with 12 travel agents that US Airways may be out." And this is his 13 language: "Put the heat on." Right? 14 A. Again, it looks like we wanted to coordinate a plan with US 15 Airways. It's 17 days before the contract terminates and there 16 is a potential that they will no longer participate. 17 Q. You wanted to put the heat on US Airways in the 18 negotiations. That's what Mr. Gross wrote to you, right? 19 A. I didn't write it. And as you can see, we were looking for 20 a coordinated plan, not us doing something on our own. We 21 wanted to talk with US Airways, jointly go tell customers there 22 is a chance we are not going to get to a new contract. 23 Q. You want the jury to believe that a coordinated plan would 24 put heat on? 25 A. I am asking you to read the document. It says we need a

SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C. (212) 805-0300

3494 GB58SAB3 Hebb - Cross 1 coordinated plan to communicate. 2 Q. Mr. Webb, we have looked at a lot of documents relating to 3 the 2010 negotiations with US Airways from your board 4 presentation in February through January, almost a full year, 5 right? A. That's correct. 7 Q. There is not a reference in any of them suggesting that 8 losing US Airways, terminating US Airways, taking them f'ing 9 dark, putting them out of the system, would be a problem for 10 Sabre, isn't that a fact? 11 A. Ask that again. 12 Q. There is not a reference in any of the documents that we 13 looked at today suggesting that Sabre terminating US Airways 14 would be a problem for Sabre, right? 15 A. Well, as I mentioned before, from the very first 16 conversation with Mr. Nocella until January 14, we had made 17 significant progress toward an agreement, and I assume that we 18 would have an agreement with US Airways that was a full content 19 agreement. 20 Q. How about my question. There is not a single reference in any of these documents suggesting that losing US Airways, one 22 of your big four customers in the US, would be a problem for 23 Sabre? 24 A. I have no idea. 25 Q. We didn't see one today, right?

SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C. (212) 805-0300

Sabr-Transcript-000006272

GBS8SAB3

lose US Airways?

A. I do. It would be.

A. Not in these documents.

50 percent of our total bookings.

markets, right?

February, right?

1

2

3

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Webb - Cross

THE COURT: So you have asked that twice.

Q. - suggesting that it would be "like death" to Sabre to

Q. You testified on direct that it would be devastating to

lose one of your major air carrier clients. Remember that?

including your board presentation, referring to the loss of US

Q. Your testimony about it being "devastating" or "like death"

to Sabre in losing one of your airline clients is made up for

A. Absolutely not. It would be devastating to lose a US air

Q. Not important enough to bring to the board's attention in

SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

(212) 805-0300

THE COURT: OK. Let's move on.

THE COURT: Thank you.

(Continued on next page)

MR. FRACKMAN: I pass the witness.

carrier. As you pointed out earlier this morning, they make up

this litigation, just like your testimony about two-sided

Q. There is not a reference in any of these documents,

Airways as being devastating to Sabre, right?

THE COURT: Sustained.

MS. GIULIANELLI: Objection. Asked and answered.

GBS8SAB3 Webb - Cross 1 A. We only saw your documents today. 2 Q. It wasn't in your board presentation at the beginning of 3 the year, right? 4 A. That was a strategy document, no. 5 Q. You didn't tell the board that you guys were at risk as a 6 business if you lost any one of your big US carrier clients, 7 right? 8 A. Not in that document, but I have presented that a number of 9 times to the board and others. 10 Q. It's not in your board presentation, right? 11 A. Because that was a strict negotiation strategy for the 12 year. 13 Q. If it were an important issue, you would have informed the

14 board, right, along with the rest of your strategy for the negotiations?

15 16 A. We weren't at a point where I thought it was a problem yet.

Q. There is not a word suggesting that US Airways leaving 17 Sabre would be, to use your testimony, like death to Sabre? 18

A. I don't know. 19

22

24

25

Q. We didn't see it, right? 20

21 A. We only saw your documents today.

Q. These are your documents, nct mine, Mr. Webb. It's your

23 board presentation. It's not there.

THE COURT: What is the question?

Q. There is not a word in any of these documents

SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C. (212) 805-0300

Sabr-Transcript-000006274

Sabr-Transcript-000006275

3496

3497 GBS8SAB3 Webb - Redirect REDIRECT EXAMINATION 1 2 BY MS. GIULIANELLI: 3 Q. Almost there. Good morning. 5 We just ended on the importance of content to Sabre, and Mr. Frackman asked you about Plaintiff's Exhibit 6911. And 6 7 that's the Book of Numbers -- I'm sorry, 6911, I believe it was I, and that's the Book of Numbers. 8 I had written 691I, and it looks like 6911. 9 10 Do you remember being asked about the Book of Numbers? A. I do. 11 Q. He asked you about the four airlines, the four top airlines 12 in terms of the percentage of bookings? 13 A. Yes, I recall. 14 Q. Is US Airways included in one of these top four major US 15 legacy carriers that make up over 50 percent of Sabre's overall 16 17 bookings? 18 A. They aro. Q. How does it affect Sabre if any one of them pulled content 19 20 out of Sabre? A. We would no longer have the same level of value to our 21 22 travel agency customers. It would be impossible for them to 23 continue to service corporate customers in a way that is 24 efficient and effective. They would have to find another way 25 to do that, and most likely that means they would move business

> SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C. (212) 805-0300

3498 GBS8SAB3 Webb - Redirect from us to another GDS or another distribution partner. So it 1 would be devastating. 2 Q. Mr. Frackman was just asking you about this board 3 presentation that you gave going into the negotiations and 4 whether or not you said anything in this board presentation about the importance of content. So I am going to show you a page that Mr. Frackman did 7 not ask you about. This is page 13 of Plaintiff's Exhibit 197. 8 Do you see that bullet there that says, *Parity 9 continues to be critical to maintaining relevance. " What does 10 that mean? 11 A. Yeah. In order for us to have the content that is 12 13 important to agencies to service their customers, the thing that they have come to expect from having an efficient, 14 effective way to sell to their corporate customers is around 15 parity, and it's around the fact that we have full content that 16 gives them competence to tell the end traveler that they have 17 done their best job servicing them as a travel agency. 18 Q. Is this something you told the board in the document that 19 Mr. Frackman was just asking you about? 21 A. It is. Q. Moving on to the negotiations and some of the documents we 22 have just seen during the negotiations with US Airways for the 23 2011 deal, you were asked about Plaintiff's Exhibit 497 first. 24 And in this exhibit, you were asked about the e-mail from 25

20

SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C. (212) 805-0300

GBS8SAB3

Webb - Redirect

Mr. Gross at the top there?

A. Yes.

2

3

6

10

11

12

13

17

18

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

12

13

14

15

16

17

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Q. Where Mr. Gross says that US Airways will have "no plate pulling" in the deal. What does that mean?

A. It means that we had asked US Airways for a provision in the contract where they wouldn't pull plates of our travel agencies, and that included Travelocity, meaning that once we signed a new deal, they wouldn't terminate the relationship that they had, from an airline point of view, with our

Q. I am told that I said 497 and it's actually Exhibit 479.

In fact, did Sabro end up getting the term with respect to no plate pulling in the negotiations?

14 A. We did not.

customers.

15 Q. Did Sabre take US Airways dark as a result of that?

16 A. No, of course not.

> Q. You were also asked about Exhibit 285, and this is the exhibit with respect to -- see there you were asked about

19 Mr. Gross's e-mail at the top?

20 A. I was.

> Q. And you were asked about the sentence that says, "They will give us content and they will give us seats in a way that we can sell or they won't be participating in Sabre at all."

Do you see that?

A. I do see that.

SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C. (212) 805-0300

Sabr-Transcript-000006278

3501

Sabr-Transcript-000000279

3502

GBS8SAB3 Webb - Redirect we sent them a 30-day extension to give them time to get board approval. Q. Was US Airways taken dark? A. No. Q. Then Mr. Gross says, "We will get a good press release." Was there a press release that came out? MR. FRACKMAN: I think we are getting into the leading

again. Q. What, if anything, was issued by US Airways after this

10 e-mail?

11 A. I don't recall.

> Q. Then you were asked about full content and whether or not it was in dispute or whether or not you were negotiating for full content in the 2010 to 'll negotiations.

I want to show you the e-mail that Mr. Frackman showed, Plaintiff's Exhibit 113.

Do you remember being asked about this?

A. I do. 18

> Q. I am going to show you the sentence that Mr. Gustafson writes that you were not asked about.

What does Mr. Gustafson say to Mr. Gross there in the second paragraph of his May 20 e-mail?

A. This is exactly what I mentioned before, which is we had been talking about full content agreement from the beginning. So this is just Mr. Gustafson clarifying: "Let me be clear as

> SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C. (212) 805-0300

e-mail on January 26, what happened? A. I called Mr. Wilding and told him that we needed to go ahead and -- again, we were already done with the agreements for the most part. This was merely about when we were going to sign the agreement, and it was the day before it was to expire, and they told us that they needed another 30 days for their board to approve it. And as I mentioned, I was frustrated, but I called Mr. Wilding and had him send a 30-day extension. So SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C. (212) 805-0300

Mr. Gross says - first of all, after you sont this

Hebb - Redirect

A. This was again about -- almost all of these e-mails that we

saw are again about Choice Seats, and the discussion around

rewriting the 2006 agreement to include Choice Seats, along

with the other full content provisions, as well as the bigger

discrepancy, which we had throughout the entire process, which

was around how we were going to build out the technology and

the technical specifications for Choice Seats, because, again,

US Airways wanted to implement it in a different way than other

airlines that we were implementing ancillaries, and so we were

Q. How did Sabre end up implementing the Choice Seats?

A. We spent about \$3 million to implement it the way US

Q. You were asked about this e-mail, this other e-mail

Q. What are the seats that is being referenced?

GBS8SAB3

GBS8SAB3

concerned about the costs.

multiple times that you wrote.

Airways wanted it.

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Webb - Redirect

possible. US is willing to provide Sabre access to full content at parity with other distribution partners. The choice as to whether or not to access such full content is entirely yours."

Again, this was a discussion around how we would access their Choice Seats product. So it meant how would we build out the technology to access the Choice Seats product, because, again, they were doing it completely different from the way everybody else was doing it.

Q. What was your understanding of the statement that says, "US is willing to provide Sabre access to full content at parity with other distribution partners*?

MR. FRACKMAN: Objection, your Honor.

THE COURT: I will allow it.

A. To me this was just again another data point that we were negotiating a full content deal. There wasn't going to be a question of whether or not US Airways was going to pull content. In fact, from the beginning, we had been discussing about how to fix the 2006 agreement to include Choice Seats so there was no discrepancy between the way that we and US Airways looked at content. So it's just another access point of where I thought the negotiations were going quite well for a full content agreement.

Q. I am showing you Defendants' Exhibit 2540, which is in evidence. And this is an internal US Airways document that was

SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

(212) 905-0300

those kind of bounced around a bit.

Sabro offered to US Airways?

what I think we ultimately did.

Q. Who owned Travelocity?

around how long the new contract would go.

Travelocity during the 2010 negotiations?

does term mean?

A. I was.

A. Sabre.

contract?

to Travelocity.

Hebb - Redirect

We disagreed on price, because you always disagree on price

until it gets close to the end. So we were disagreeing on

price and on the term. We wanted a longer term during a period

of the negotiation, US Airways wanted a shorter term, and then

Q. What was your perspective with respect to the price that

A. I thought we had given them a great deal, actually, at that

point, but I realized that at some point we might have to give

Q. You mentioned that there was a discussion about term. What

A. Term is the link of the contract. So we had a discussion

Q. Moving to Travelocity, you were asked some questions about

Q. You were asked about Plaintiff's Exhibit 148 with respect

A. They realized early on that Travelocity was an important

SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

(212) 805-0300

What did US Airways do with respect to the Travelocity

a little more on price in order to close the deal, which is

GBS8SAB3

3

5

6

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

GBS8SAB3 Rebb - Redirect 1 shown earlier. The subject of this document is 2011 2 distribution goals. 3 A. Yes, I see that. 4 Q. Were you here when this document was introduced into 5 evidence? A. I was. 6 7 Q. Moving down to the goals there, it says, "Negotiate and 8 sign GDS full content agreements.* 9 Is that consistent with the way US Airways was acting 10 in the negotiations with Sabre? 11 A. Yes. Like I said, we had very good negotiations around a 12 full content agreement. There were a number of other terms 13 that we talked about. There were things we wanted, there were 14 things they wanted in the agreement. In some cases they got 15 some, in some cases we did. But, generally, we never were really discussing anything but a full content agreement. 16 17 Q. Then you were asked by Mr. Frackman about Plaintiff's 18 Exhibit 120 here, and this is, just to make it clear where we 19 are in terms of the time frame, an October 28, 2010 e-mail that 20 you were asked about. Do you see that? 21 A. I do. 22 Q. Looking at the second paragraph there, what does

SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C. (212) 805-0300

A. It's what it had been for the most part of a negotiation.

Mr. Wilding say with rospect to what the impasse was with US

23

24

25

1

2

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Airways?

Sabr-Transcript-000006282

Sabr-Transcript-000006283

3505 GBS8SAB3 Webb - Redirect business unit to us at that time. So putting pressure on Travelocity also put pressure on our Travel Network deal. So in kind of the October time frame, they terminated the Travelocity agreement. So they sent notice of termination to Travolocity in the October time frame. Q. What would the impact have been to Travelocity if US Airways no longer participated in it? A. Similar to what would happen to a GDS. If you're an online travel agent that has a large US carrier that does significant volume on the OTAs, it would be very damaging to the online travel agency in the market. Q. What would the impact have been to Sabre if US Airways no longer participated in its unit Travelocity? A. It would have been significant -- both in terms of significant to Travelocity, obviously, it would be very difficult for Travelocity to compete against the other strong DTAs, Expedia and Orbitz and others. But we would have also lost those bookings, as Travelocity used Sabre as its GDS. So we would have lost the underlying bookings in the Travel Network business as well. Q. You were asked about Plaintiff's Exhibit 118 and the c-mail that Mr. Gross wrote to you on October 14 with respect to the term sheet that Sabre had received from US Airways. A. Yes. Q. Do you remember that?

SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C. (212) 805-0300

3506 Webb - Redirect GBS8SAB3 A. I do. Q. I can show you the term sheet if you want to see it, but my question is, what did the term sheet that Sabre received from US Airways say about content? A. It was a full content agreement. Again, the negotiations had gotten serious around price and term and some of the technical pieces for Choice Seats. So this is a little bit of them -- in their full content proposal, they kind of staked out on price relatively low, which is why David is saying it looks like it's a non-workable starting position. But, again, it wasn't on full content. There was never a discussion of full content in that term sheet. It was a full content deal. Q. What did you understand Mr. Gross to be referencing when he said the proposal was not serious? A. I think that's what he expressed to Andrew or to John. Look, you can't throw something over the wall that doesn't move the ball forward at all toward getting to the resolution. So I think he was just trying to point out to US Airways that we needed something that was a little closer to where we thought we would end up around price and terms and some of those things. Q. You were asked about the termination notice that Sabre sent to US Airways, and I am showing you what is in evidence as Defendants' Exhibit 138. Were you here when this document was introduced?

SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

(212) 805-0300

Webb - Redirect

Mr. Frackman was asking you about some of the Department of

Q. What were you trying to explain when you said the DOT also

market power would go away and that's exactly what happened and

A. No matter how you think about it, despite what they put in

the deregulation component, they decided that the industry no

regulation early on was because we were airline owned, so they

longer needed to be regulated. Again, the real reason for

were concerned about the influence that airlines would have

over distribution. So ultimately they decided, once we were

anymore. And so what happened was, and as you have seen on

Q. I would like to show you Plaintiff's Exhibit 7, which you

were asked about in connection with these questions. This is

from the Department of Transportation's final rule from 2004,

1, you see there it says, "Ending the broad regulation of CRS

SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

(212) 805-0300

Looking at page 7 -- actually, it's page 978, column

several slides, over the last decade prices for airlines

distributing through the GDSs have gone down.

the same exhibit that Mr. Frackman showed you.

all not owned, that there was no reason for us to be regulated

said that over a period of time this finding with respect to

why prices went down for the airlines over the next decade?

Do you recall this question from last Tuesday where

GBS8SAB3

A. I do.

Transportation's findings?

asked.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

GBS8SAB3 Webb - Redirect 1 A. I was. Q. This is an internal US Airways e-mail from Mr. Gustafson to 2 3 Mr. Nocella sent on June 3, 2011? A. Correct. Q. Do you see that it's talking about strategy with respect to 5 6 termination followed by negotiation? 7 A. I do. This is exactly it, and I think even Mr. Gustafson 8 mentioned it before. There was the need for either of us to 9 terminate the agreement or it would just roll forward. So 10 there was a need for one of us to send a termination notice. 11 So this is, I assume, similar with what they were doing with 12 Travelport and what they were going to do with us. 13 Q. When you saw this e-mail from Mr. Gustafson that said, "We 14 did not notify the board we were intending to terminate Sabre 15 (they beat us to it), " what was your reaction? 16 A. I wasn't surprised. That's exactly what I would have 17 expected, which is both of us were going to send termination 18 notices before the agreement automatically renewed. 19 Q. Now we are going to move back five days to some of the

questions you were asked last week so bear with me. Going back to some of the questions, and just as a reminder that Mr. Frackman asked last week, regarding the Department of Transportation's conclusions when it deregulated GDSs. And since we have had Thanksgiving and it has been five days, I would like to reorient you about the question you were

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

GBS8SAB3

SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C. (212) 805-0300

Webb - Redirect

Sabr-Transcript-000006286

3509

Sabr-Transcript-000006287

practices will enable each system and each airline to bargain over the terms on which CRS services should be provided.* A. I do. That's exactly what has happened and the reason that prices have gone down. MR. FRACKMAN: I actually don't think there was a question pending. Q. I was going to ask the question, but the question was --THE COURT: That's all right. He has answered it already. Q. Turning to the last sentence there in the call out, it says, "The resulting terms under which the airlines and travel agencies obtain services will likely reflect the interests of both sides better than if we maintained broad regulations restricting the parties' behavior.* My question is, what is your understanding of who the DOT was referring to when it said "both sides"? MR. FRACKMAN: Objection. THE COURT: I will allow it. A. I think airlines and travel agencies, it's again the discussion of, the whole network structure only works if all parties involved are working effectively jointly to deliver a good experience for the end customer.

> SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C. (212) 805-0300

deregulation, you were asked about Plaintiff's Exhibit 190 last

Q. Turning to the question of Sabre's market share since

week. Do you remember that Mr. Frackman asked you some

3510 GBS8SAB3 Webb - Redirect 1 questions about this demonstrative and whether it showed --2 THE COURT: You mean Plaintiff's Exhibit 1090. 3 MS. GIULIANELLI: I have a trouble reading numbers. 4 And whether Plaintiff's Exhibit 1090, whether it showed Sabre's market share had gotten bigger since deregulation? 6 A. He did ask me that. It has. 7 Q. What data is included in this demonstrative? 8 A. This is airline bookings only. 9 Q. Does this include data from other channels other than GDSs? 10 A. I don't believe so. Keep in mind that the GDSs sell less than 50 percent of the total tickets. We certainly wouldn't 11 12 have that kind of market share if it included airline direct or 13 any of those. So this is GDS only. Q. Has Sabre's share of overall bookings, if you include all 14 15 channels, gone up or down since deregulation? A. It's gone down since deregulation. The total bookings more 16 17 go direct to the airline. 18 Q. Turning back to Plaintiff's Exhibit 7, looking at page 10 19 of that, turning back to the DOT statements that Mr. Frackman 20 asked you about, there it says, "Furthermore, airlines are 21 selling an increasingly large share of their tickets through 22 Internet Web sites and a diminishing share through travel 23 agencies using a system." 24 How does that statement align with your experience 25 over the last 15 years?

> SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C. (212) 805-0300

GBS8SAB3

A. Yes.

A. Yeah.

page.

A. Kay 2007.

bullet there on this page?

Webb - Redirect

Q. Do you recall that Mr. Frackman asked you about the first

Q. I would like to ask you about the second bullet on this

A. Again, there was a time back in the 90s and early 2000s

that all of the carriers operated on the participating carrier

agreement so it was the same contract for everybody between us.

important to another airline, things that were important to us-

Plus, the competitive environment had heated up dramatically,

and so we wore in a place where we needed to negotiate all of

our contracts, and obviously, as we moved into a free market,

presentation, Plaintiff's Exhibit 407, that Mr. Frackman didn't

SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

A. One, because that's what it is. But the structure is just

(212) 805-0300

See there in the title -- starting with the title, I

we needed to negotiate differently with different airlines

Q. I would like to show you another page from this

What happened was we got to a place where one size didn't fit

all. Things that were important to one airline weren't

Q. What does the second bullet on this page mean?

407. And what was the date of this document?

GBS8SAB3

Webb - Redirect

A. Yeah. Certainly since the advent of the Internet and since deregulation, what we have seen is, we refer to it as channel shift, which is we have seen a larger number of bookings moved to airline direct, so people that utilize the airlines on a Web site and out of the travel agency market.

Q. Turning to the topic of negotiation for the new contracts with airlines after deregulation that you were asked about last week, kind of in the 2005-2006 time frame, do you recall that Mr. Frackman was asking you about Sabre's strategy and he asked, the strategy was not just to request full content, but it was to require it, right?

A. I remember that.

Q. That was the question. To begin with, why did you say, in response to Mr. Frackman's question, that Sabre plunned to negotiate for full content?

A. All of our supply agreements are negotiations, for the most part, which means that we go in with different wants and desires, and the airlines have different wants and desires, and you end up coming to the middle. So we absolutely planned to negotiate for full content since it is the most important thing to the travel agency community. So it's important to the negotiation, and because of its importance, it's the reason that we provide discounts as well as other terms that the airlines want in their contracts.

SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C. (212) 805-0300

Q. You were asked about this presentation, Plaintiff's Exhibit

Sabr-Transcript-000006Z90

Sabr-Transcript-000006291

GBS8SAB3 Webb ~ Redirect

A. So, again, when the GDSs were regulated, there was a term an airline owned, if you were an owner carrier. So if you were an airline that owned a GDS, then you were subject to what was called the mandatory participation rule, which meant that at whatever level you participated in your own system, so meaning whatever content, whatever connectivity level, that you had to participate in all the other systems at the same level. So at the same technical level, at the same content level. So it's talking about the fact that this was part of the original regulations around owner carriers.

Q. Then turning to the conclusion there at the bottom that says, "Today, the deregulated GDSs are free to compete and airlines are no longer required to participate as they did in the past," how does that statement conform to your experience since deregulation?

A. It's exactly what happened, which is that the airlines have utilized the leverage of content which they have over us to change the environment, where they now pay less for actually more service than they received in the past.

Q. Now, do you remember when Mr. Frackman started your cross-examination and he asked you about whether you had ever used the term "two-sided market"?

23 A. I do.

Q. Why did you use that phrase "two-sided market" in describing the marketplace?

SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C. (212) 805-0300

GBS8SAB3 Webb - Redirect

guess, what does the title mean?

based on what they desired.

ask you about.

that agencies are -- and Mr. Frackman actually pointed out -both agencies are our customers and airlines are our customers,
and so we deal as an intermediary in between the two. So it is
absolutely necessary for us to provide things that are of value
to the supply side, so things that are valuable to airlines at
a price that is reasonable, and we have to provide things that
are valuable to the agencies on the other side, because losing
either side literally just doesn't allow the model to work at
all. So our value is in trying to make sure that we provide

agency side, and that we provide airlines an efficient and
effective way to distribute their product.

Q. Do you remember that Mr. Frackman represented that he had

gone through what he said were 60,000 documents produced in this case from your files to see if you had described Sabre as sitting in a two-sided market?

product, services, technology, good customer support on the

18 A. 67,000, but yes, I recall.

those two parties.

Q. What does the graphic on page 14 of Plaintiff's Exhibit 407 show there with Sabre in the middle and travel agents on one side and airlines on the other?

A. I think we have seen easily 10 or 12 different slides that show this model is made up of a buy side and a sell side, and we sit between the buy side and the sell side and interconnect

SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C. (212) 805-0300

Sabr-Transcript-000006293

GBS8SAB3 Webb - Redirect

1

2

3

6

7

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

24

25

Q. You were asked about Plaintiff's Exhibit 325 on the same topic of whether or not Sabre requires full content, and this is an airline scenario planning project. Do you remember being asked about this exhibit?

A. I do. I'm not sure I remember which one it was.

Q. I am taking you to page 32 of the deck that you were asked about. Do you remember that he asked you about the bullet point that says, "Full content is required for Sabre subscribers and their customers."

Who are the subscribers?

A. Yeah. Sabre subscribers are travel agencies, so TMCs and online travel agencies. And this was just us talking about, again, the specifics that were — again, keep in mind that in this time frame we were moving from the DCA3 agreements to the TMA agreements which were brand-new for us. So we were trying to put in place new terms, and that's why it says, in order to be on a TMA, which is a full content agreement, then full content would be a requirement. If not, you would be on a different agreement.

different agreement.

Q. I am showing you the question that you were asked about this bullet, and do you see where you were asked about whether you were going to require it from the airlines on behalf of your travel agency subscribers? And then you gave an answer that said. We are definitely negotiating for full content?

A. Yes, I recall.

SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C. (212) 805-0300

Sabr-Transcript-000006294

Sabr-Transcript-000006295

GBS8SAB3 Webb - Redirect

content agreement. Then you were asked about whether or not it says negotiate.

Do you remember being asked that?

A. I do. Again, because that line didn't say negotiate, the entire document was around the negotiation we were having with United. So literally the entire document is about negotiation.

Q. Let me show you page 4 of Plaintiff's Exhibit 554 that Mr.

Frackman did not show you.

What does this title say and how does that relate to your answer that you gave Mr. Frackman about the bullet point that you were shown on page 10?

A. This is, again, just -- as I mentioned, all of these negotiations are difficult. They go on a long time and we have a number of different terms we are negotiating. So this was the position I am sure Mr. Klein is trying to explain where the airlines are in their negotiating positions and where we are in our negotiating positions.

Q. Now, I am going to go back to one of the demonstratives that you used during your direct testimony that Mr. Frackman asked you about at the very beginning of his cross-examination.

Do you remember that Mr. Frackman started out by asking about this demonstrative?

23 A. I do.

THE COURT: Just for the record, it's Sabre 119.

MS. GIULIANELLI: Thank you. Defendants' 119.

SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C. (212) 805-0300

3517

GBS8SAB3

1

11

12

21

22

24

25

GBS8SAB3

an answer.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

I was.

about these bullets.

Webb - Redirect

Q. Then you were asked another question that says: It doesn't

subscribers, it says full content required. And then you gave

What did you mean by your answer that you were

say we are going to negotiate for full content for Sabre

interpreting the document differently than Mr. Frackman?

A. Yeah. Again, we were negotiating a new agreement with

just that it was not required of the airline, but it was a

different terms and conditions. So if an airline was going to

be on the TMA, they would be in a full content agreement, and

requirement of the Sabre subscribers and their customers. Full

content is a requirement for being effective in distributing an

airline's content, and it's absolutely critical and important

Q. Turning to the topic of the negotiations with United, do

you recall that Mr. Frackman also asked you about Sabre would

Q. You were shown this document, Plaintiff's Exhibit 554?

you were asked about -- on this page 7, and you were asked

says that Sabre's response was to require a long-term full

SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

(212) 805-0300

Q. Mr. Frackman showed you the last bullets on this page, and

Here is the question you were asked. The question

require a full content agreement from United in 2006?

to the agencies. That's what that meant.

A. I remember us having a discussion, yes.

8SAB3 Webb - Redirect

Q. I am going to show you what you were asked about.

Do you recall that Mr. Frackman said: This

Do you recall that Mr. Frackman said: Thi
demonstrative is misleading, isn't that true?

4 A. I remember him saying that.

Q. Do you recall that Mr. Frackman asked you about whether the title was misleading?

7 A. I do.

Q. Do you recall that Mr. Frackman also said the \$4 billion gigure was misleading?

10 A. I remembor him saying that.

Q. Now, this is the demonstrative that you showed. I would like to show you US Airways' own demonstrative.

Where did you get the title for your demonstrative?

14 A. From the demonstrative that had been used earlier by US
15 Airways.

Q. How does this 38 percent figure shown in US Airways'
demonstrative, Plaintiff's Exhibit 1096, compare to the figure

18 shown in your demonstrative, Defendants' Exhibit 119?

19 A. It's the same number, 4 billion.

20 Q. Moving on to the topic of G2 and ITA.

First of all, do you remember last week that you were asked about some documents including Project Nike and Project

23 Liberty presentations?

A. Yes, I recall.

Q. In connection with these new technologies or new products,

SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C. (212) 805-0300

Sabr-Transcript-000006296

Sabr-Transcript-000006297

3518

ebb - Redirect

GBS8SAB3 Webb - Redirect

G2 and ITA?

A. Yes.

1

2

3

7

8

14

21

22

24

25

1

5

6

7

9

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Q. I would like to go to Plaintiff's Exhibit 80 that you were asked about. This was a Project Nike work in progress update?

A. Yes.

Q. What was the date of this document?

A. October 4, 2004.

Q. On this document you were asked, turning to the second page, about the first set of bullets there?

10

11 Q. You were asked about this sentence that says, "Internal 12 analysis indicates G2 has a credible technical platform"?

13 A. Yes, I recall.

Q. What does the top bullet say?

15 A. Again, this was part of us looking at whether or

16 not -- this was early in their marketing of G2 and ITA, and we were trying to figure out whether or not they had a technical 17

16 capability that would allow them to do what they were saying,

19 and we were also trying to figure out whether or not they could

20 operate at the price points that they had floated in the

market. So we were trying to do some assessment of whether or not either the technical aspects or the business model actually

23 had any ability to be successful.

Q. Let's start with the technical capabilities.

What did the facts turn out to show once you learned

SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C. (212) 805-0300

Sabr-Transcript-000006298

3521

Sabr-Transcript-000006299

3522

because ITA didn't really understand what they were getting themselves into, which turned out to be the case. Then on the G2 side of things, we assumed that they were coming in lower because they were going to have significant support from the airlines. Q. I believe you were asked about something called the LCLP

Webb - Redirect

model. Do you remember that?

8 A. I was.

GBS8SAB3

Q. What is that model?

10 A. That's low cost, low price.

Q. What does that mean? 11

12 A. It meant we looked at, and this came into what eventually

Liberty became, but we looked at --13

Q. What was Project Liberty?

A. Project Liberty was a technical project to figure out - one of the things about G2 and ITA and others that we looked at was we came to realize very early on that there were only going to be a small subset of transactions that they were going to be able to do. So it was going to be simple point to point. It was going to be a very small component of the universe that we operate in, but they were likely -- and they

So what we looked at in low cost, low price was, is there a set of services that agencies would accept and that airlines would want to participate at a different level than

were, not likely, the simplest transactions.

SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

(212) 805-0300

Webb - Redirect

A. G2, again, they had done a good job of marketing their user

more about G2, with respect to the technical aspects of G2?

interface, which was kind of slick; the user interface was

good. But what we realized is they never built out the guts

a travel agency. So it wasn't really a viable travel agency

Q. With respect to ITA, what did the facts turn out to show

A. They never really built out the capability either. So they

little more straightforward in some of their marketing because

talked about building it. As a matter of fact, they were a

they kept saying they were going to build, but they never

really built past the shopping and pricing componentry, and

they never built the user interface, and they never built any

of the components. So, again, it just wasn't a product that

travel agencies would have been able to use and be successful

Q. You referred to the feasibility of the economic model. If

you could look at the second sub-bullet there called out on the

screen, what does that bullet mean that is being discussed?

A. It appeared that both ITA and G2 were going to enter the

market at a price point that would be lower than their costs.

We thought that was for different reasons. We thought it was

SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

(212) 805-0300

product. A travel agency couldn't really use it and be

underneath it to do everything you would need to do to service

GBS8SAB3

GBS8SAB3

successful.

once you learned about ITA?

in distributing tickets.

1

2

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Webb - Redirect

normal? So not get all the services we normally provide, but we would have a low cost, low price alternative model for simple transactions. We ended up not going down the low cost, low price path. We instead introduced variable pricing. So we offered to airlines the ability for them to adjust their pricing. So if they sold lower cost tickets, they would pay a lower booking fee. If they sold higher cost tickets, they would sell higher booking fees.

So that's how we addressed the low cost, low price component. And then we did build out the technical components of that, and that was Project Liberty, which was to help us lower the price to some transactions that we could do more

Q. Let me try to unpack a little bit of that.

15 A. Sorry.

> Q. When you said that there were a small subset of transactions that they were going to do, who was the "they" that you were referencing?

A. G2 and ITA, we thought they would be able to cherry pick a small portion of simple transactions and leave us with all the heavy lifting. So effectively our cost for booking would go up because we would get rid of the simple, easy ones. That was G2 and ITA that we thought were going to do the simple transactions.

Q. What do you mean by the simple transactions?

SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

(212) 805-0300

GBS8SAB3 Webb - Redirect

1

2

3

6

10

11

12

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

A. Just things that took less technical capability. It would be easier to build. It would be easier to maintain. Just very simple, noncomplex transactions.

- Q. What was Sabre's ultimate conclusion with respect to this LCLP model?
- A. That the business model around it probably didn't make sense because it was easier to address just variable pricing rather than try to build out a whole other offering. We didn't think that agencies would accept it, and we also didn't think that airlines wanted it. So instead, we created a variable pricing program, and then we also built out the technical capability of it, which is actually still used today.
- 13 Q. Why did you not think airlines wanted it?

A. It would be operating in two different environments. Again, the efficiency they get is kind of operating in a single platform, a single way for us to connect. So ultimately, even with variable pricing, we never had any real uptake on variable pricing, despite the fact we thought it gave them an opportunity to sell lower priced tickets at a low booking fee.

(Continued on next page)

SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

(212) 805-0300

Sabr-Transcript-000006302

3525

Sabr-Transcript-000006303

3526

Gbalaab4 Webb - Redirect the content that you provide; your customer service; and then ultimately incentives. And so the LTDs chose to participate on almost none of those, because they didn't have content agreements across the board, they didn't build out the technical capability that they'd need to be successful, they had a varying level of customer service, and then ultimately they decided to not compete on incentives, so the model just didn't move forward. Q. You mentioned incentives. What does the last subbullet here mean? A. Yeah, as I mentioned, they decided to not compete, not pay incentives, like the reat of the market does, and they assumed that the airlines were going to force adoption of the platform, with agency customers. Q. Now we just talked about these other technologies like G2, ITA, the services they did not provide. I'd like to turn to the question of airline websites and whether or not they provide the services of the GDS. A. You. Q. Do you remember that on your direct you showed this demonstrative? A. I do. Q. And do you remember Mr. Frackman showed you a demonstrative, this demonstrative, and he asked if you could do

> SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C. (212) 805-0300

more on the US Airways website bosides shop and book for US

5 Plaintiff's Exhibit 128, page 9 of the PowerPoint here that, 6 first of all -- well, what is an LTD product? 7 A. I think that was -- again, we're acronym heavy, but I think it was Limited Technology Distributors. 8 9 Q. And what does the first bullet mean with respect to the 10 value capabilities available in Sabre that the LTD product 11 lacked? A. Yeah, the problem was they never built out the capabilities 12 that agencies needed to operate their business and so -- which 13 14 is ultimately why we -- they ended up being called in the 15 industry Limited Technology Distributors, because they just didn't have the breadth and depth of capability that you need 16 17 to be successful in the agency environment, and so that's what 18 that's referring to. 19 Q. Looking at the second set of bullets there, it says the 20 original LTD model failed to gain travel agency participation. 21 What was Sabre's assessment as to the reasons that this limited 22 functionality model failed? 23 A. Yeah, it was -- as you mentioned, they didn't build out the 24 capability. We -- in the GDS model, you do compete on four different things: the product and the technology capability; 25

Webb - Redirect

Q. You were asked about this Plaintiff's Exhibit 128 with

that was about, and I'd like to ask you about one page in

respect to Project Liberty, which you've just explained what

Gbslsab4

Gbslsab4

4

BY MS. GIULIANELLI:

Webb - Redirect

SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

(212) 805-0300

Airways flights and that your answer was, not much more?

2 A. I recall.

1

13

16

18

17

20

3 Q. What were you trying to show the jury with this

demonstrative exhibit, DX 125?

A. Yeah. I mean, the - the key on this is just that there's

6 a -- there are a whole number of things that are -- and 7 capabilities that are specific to the travel agency community,

8 things that they require in order to efficiently and

effectively deliver on their promise to their customers, and so

10 most of the things -- not all, but most of the things on the

11 right side are things that both benefit the airline, because it

12 eliminates a customer service burden, or that are required for travel agencies to be able to deliver service to their

14 customers, whether it is corporate or leisure travelers.

15 Q. How does the USAirways.com website, or when it existed,

compare to the Sabre GDS as a tool for travel agents to search

17 for and book content?

> A. Yeah. It's a consumer tool, so the US Airways website is built and based on consumers that go direct to the airline and largely based on leisure travelers.

21 Q. Turning to another airline technology, today you were asked

22 about direct connection?

23 A. Yes.

24 Q. And you mentioned, in answering some of the questions --

25 and I don't have the transcript yet, so I'm going by my memory

> SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C. (212) 805-0300

Gbslsab4

ways. So --

links.

GDSs?

had.

2

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Webb - Redirect

A. Yeah, this was -- these are just -- again, this was a --

document has about -- looks like eight or so different trends

or things that we're talking about, and this is just saying,

this is what you'd have to believe to believe the -- that this

strategy would play out, that they would need to take actions

on it, and just says that you'd have to believe that TMCs would

be willing to do that, move to, you know, a bunch of different

business links and supplement additional content different

Q. And what was your ultimate conclusion with respect to

is that travel agencies value the efficiency of having a

week about some communication that you've had with

A. Yeah, I -- I think over a period of time what we realized

consolidated aggregation source and that it didn't make sense

for them to switch business from the current method to direct

Q. Turning to a different topic, remember you were asked last

Q. What kinds of things do you communicate about with other

whether or not this belief would occur?

representatives of other GDSs?

A. I do recall.

kind of a scenario planning thing, so there were -- this

Gbslsab4

1

2

3

6

7

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

21

22

23

24

25

this document?

Webb - Redirect

with respect to Plaintiff's Exhibit 291 -- that if five or six airlines had direct connections, there would be five or six screens or different pipes for travel agencies. Do you remember giving that answer?

- A. I do.
- Q. What would the world look like if each different airline had a separate direct connection from the perspective of your agency customers?
- A. Yeah, again, for travel agents, time is money. They spend time on the phones with their customers, and so anything that eliminates the -- any form of efficiency -- it's the reasons that travel agents have been slow to do some things, it's because they -- the costs on the call center are important to them, so anything that would cause them to bifurcate the way that they so split apart, the way that they sell, would be damaging to them.
- Q. I'm not sure I can ask you about this document because I'm not sure we have a good enough version to read.

I'm showing you the page that you were asked about carlier today in Plaintiff's Exhibit 291, and looking at 42, page 42, and what I would like to ask you about is the second bullet in the last box.

MS. GIULIANELLI: Could you pull that up for me. Q. What does that bullet mean?

I'm sorry. It was hard to read in the version that we

SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C. (212) 805-0300

Sabr-Transcript-000006306

Sabr-Transcript-000006307

3529 Gbsisab4 Webb - Redirect it's technical discussions. We -- we -- there are a couple of 1 industry groups that are around technical specifications and 2 sales structures that we all participate in, some of those 3 include airlines, and so almost all of those discussions are around how we think about the future of the technology that we 5 all connect into and that now work. Q. Do the airlines participate in industry groups? A. They do. And we participate with them in some. They also 8 9 have some that they participate in that we're not included in, so the airlines do the same thing. There's a necessity in this 10 11 industry, because of how intertwined it is, for the airlines to 12 get together and figure out how they want to talk about new 13 sets of standards, new things, and ao it's -- it's based on 14 more what we do, which is get together to discuss how we're 15 going to advance the capabilities. 16 Q. I'm showing you Plaintiff's Exhibit 3039, and this was a 17 string of emails that you were shown last week and you were aaked about whether the GDSs were going to coordinate a public 18 19 response to a statement issued by the International Air 20 Transport Association, 1ATA. Do you remember being asked about

> SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C. (212) 805-0300

world at and said a number of very disparaging things about all

A. I do. It was more specific than that. The president of

IATA stood up at a conference that had every airline in the

of the GDSs, about the model, mentioned that the airlines

25 A. It's all industry stuff, so industry standards, largely SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C. (212) 805-0300 3530 Gbslsab4 Webb - Redirect should get together and push back on the GDS structure. And so 1 it was -- it was quite disappointing. Q. Who are the members of IATA? 4 A. The airlines. Q. And you were asked about this email here from Mr. Wilson, 5 referring to the attack on our industry and companies by IATA 7 in Berlin and the at best distasteful PDF circulating. Do you remember being asked about that? 8 A. I do. Q. Okay. And I would like to show you a document. 10 MS. GIULIANELLI: And I'd like to hand up Defendant's 11 Exhibit 1490, if I may. The witness does not have it. 12 A. Thank you. 13 Q. What is this? This is not in evidence yet. But what is 14 this document? 15 16 A. It was the PDF referred to in the previous email. MS. GIULIANELLI: I move to admit it. 17 THE COURT: Any objection? 16 Any objection? 19 MR. FRACKMAN: I'm thinking, your Honor, because it 20 hasn't been shared with us. We'll let it in. 21 22 THE COURT: Okay. Admitted. (Defendant's Exhibit 1490 received in evidence) 23 BY MS. GIULIANELLI: 24 Q. Okay. And this was the PDF that the airlines at this IATA 25

> SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C. (212) B05-0300

Sabr-Transcript-000006308

Gbs1sab4

1

4

3

4

5

15

25

Gbslsab4

1

2

3

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

17

18

meeting in Berlin showed?

A. It is.

Q. Okay. And what was your reaction when you saw this

document?

A. Well, it's fairly disappointing when you have literally a conference of airlines that's looking at the GDSs in a negative way and utilizing that conference to talk about how they could come together to -- as you can see, wanted to put us in a bad light, and so we felt the need to respond to the comments that were made by the president of IATA and so we did want each of the GDSs to send a letter because we, as I mentioned before, participate in a number of IATA things as well, we provide funding for some things from time to time, so it was -- it was disappointing to hear the president of an organization that

Webb - Redirect

14 15 ultimately you should be coming together, not pushing apart. 16 Q. And you were asked about this response with respect to

Plaintiff's Exhibit 3039 and your desire -- I think you were asked about this email -- to issue a public response to IATA.

19 Do you recember that?

20 A. I do.

Gbs1sab4

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

21 Q. Now were you concerned one way or another about the

22 legality of talking to other GDSs about issuing a public

23 response to IATA's disparaging comments?

24 A. Certainly not, and on - if you look at the email. not only 25 our general counsel but the general counsels, so the lead

> SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C. (212) 805-0300

> > Webb - Redirect

Sabr-Transcript-000006310

3533

3534

Sabre's competitor GDSs? A. I do. Q. I'd like to start with Orbitz. What was your reaction to AA's pulling content from Orbitz? A. Well, keep in mind at that point in time we owned Travelocity as well, and so we were concerned about an airline beginning to discriminately -- indiscriminately pull the plates of a travel agency as part of what appeared to be a negotiation strategy associated with their negotiation with Travelport, and so -- and we thought it was a contract dispute that was going to be short and so it was -- it appeared to us to be a contract Q. You just said that you thought it was going to be a contract dispute that was going to be short. What was the significance of that, in your mind? A. Well, they -- again, we at that point likely felt that --

Q. What is that, pulling plates, just as a reminder? A. It's, again, back to the little plate thing that I talked about before. It's an industry term which means that American Airlines would decide to no longer do business with -- with an agency.

and that the same thing would eventually be brought to us,

meaning that American would begin to negotiate with Sabre based

on pulling plates with Travelocity and wo'd be down the same

SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C. (212) 805-0300

5 and was totally normal. 6 Q. Now Mr. Frackman also asked you about why Sabre did not 7 take advantage of Amadeus and Travelport when they were having public disputes with particular airlines, including American. 9 Do you remember those questions? 10 A. I dc. Q. And Mr. Frackman had asked you about three things. I'm 11 12 going to ask you about each, but just to reorient us, remember 13 he asked you about when American Airlines pulled content from 14 the online travel agency Orbitz? 15 A. I do. 16 Q. And then he asked you about when American Airlines 17 surcharged Travelport bookings? 18 A. I recall that. 19 Q. And then he asked you about when Air Italia -- I may have 20 slaughtered that. A. Alitalia. 21 22 -- Alitalia was going to pull content from Travelport? 23 A. Yes. 24 Q. And do you remember being asked about these disputes and 25 why Sabre did not take advantage of the disputes to hurt SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C. (212) 905-0300

Webb - Redirect

lawyer for all the other GDSs are included in every email, and

president of IATA seemed to be something that we all needed to

certainly responding to -- to a public statement made by the

do and was certainly not out of bounds on a legal standpoint

Sabr-Transcript-000006311

Gbslsab4 Webb - Redirect

Q. How did AA's pulling content from Orbitz affect your 1 2 thinking about Sabre's business?

A. Yeah, we thought it was a significant threat to -- to our business potentially, especially being the owner of

Travelocity. 6 Q. And how did that impact your thinking as to whether or not

7 you wanted to take advantage of Orbitz during this dispute? 8 A. Yeah, we -- again, it was -- we looked at it from an

9 industry perspective and thought it was a bad path to go down 10 if airlines started pulling plates from different people, so

11 taking any immediate action didn't make sense to us at that 12 time.

13 Q. Moving on to AA's surcharging of Travelport. What did you 14 believe would happen to Sabre if AA was successful in

surcharging Travelport agencies?

16 A. Yeah, we assumed that eventually we'd be headed down a path 17 where we'd be in a place where our customers were no longer 18 competitive with the airlines' direct websites if they were 19 successful in implementing surcharges across all the GDSs.

20 Q. Did that affect your thinking one way or another as to 21 whether or not you wanted to take advantage of the AA dispute 22 with respect to Travelport?

A. Yeah. Anything that would cause me to no longer have 23 parity with the airlines' direct websites, so as we've talked 24

> SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C. (212) 805-0300

about, full content was important, and that would have been --

Gbs1sab4

A. I recall.

scope of the cross.

airline content?

respect to the other GDSs?

2

3

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

of that have been to Sabre?

Webb - Redirect

A. Yeah, we would have wasted a bunch of money, gotten no new

advantages, Mr. Frackman asked you about whether Sabre had any

Q. Which GDSs distributed US Airways' Choice Seats product?

Q. Did Sabre being the only GDS to offer Choice Seats give

MR. FRACKMAN: I think that's probably beyond the

Q. How, if at all, did Sabre's content advantage with respect

to Choice Seats relate to your competitive offering with

A. Yes, we had a content advantage with Choice Seats for a

Q. What is your response to US Airways' claim that Sabre

conspired with other GDSs not to compete with each other for

A. It's ridiculous because we all compete to get full content

SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

(212) 805-0300

while and then ultimately still have a content advantage over

business, and that's exactly what happened, which is they

Q. Do you recall, turning to the question of content

signed a new agreement about a week later.

A. We do today and we were the first, so --

Sabre a content advantage over its competitors?

THE COURT: Sustained.

Amadeus associated with Choice Seats.

content advantages over other GDSs?

3536

1 would have been terrible. 2 Q. What would have happened if Sabre had gone out and tried to 3 get business based on AA's surcharging Travelport and then 4 American started surcharging Sabre? 5 A. Yeah, it would have been unsuccessful completely. 6 Q. Turning to Air Italia, what was that dispute with 7 Travelport about, at least as you understood it? 8 A. Yeah, my understanding was that they were just in a 9 contract renewal so they were in the middle of negotiating a 10 new deal and so they -- they were trying to -- to get to a new 11 GDS agreement. 12 Q. And you were shown Plaintiff's Exhibit 178. This is an 13 cmail -- is this an internal Sabre email? 14 A. It is. 15 Q. And I'm just going to ask you about where, looking at the first sentence, where you got this understanding from. Was it 16 17 the airline? A. Yes. The airline called to tell us that they were in 18 19 the -- they were going to make an announcement regarding 20 another GDS and so, again, as we assumed that it was with the hope that that would cause us to turn up pressure with travel 21 22 agencies and bring Travelport to the table with Alitalia. 23 Q. And again, what would have happened if Sabre had tried to take advantage of this dispute and then the dispute between 24 25 Travelport and Air Italia suddenly ended, what would the impact

Webb - Redirect

Gbslsab4

SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C. (212) 805-0300

Sabr-Transcript-000006314

353?

Sabr-Transcript-000006315

```
Gbs1sab4
                               Webb - Redirect
      so - and what happens with that is that we end up -- all three
1
 2
      of us end up dropping price to the airlines to ensure that we
 3
      have full content.
               MS. GIULIANELLI: I have one more topic. I'm happy to
 5
      continue or end, depending -
 6
              THE COURT: How long is it?
 7
              MS. GIULIANELLI: I'm thinking three minutes.
 8
              THE COURT: Let's do it.
 9
              MS. GIULIANELLI: Okay.
      BY MS. GIULIANELLI:
10
11
      Q. Mr. Frackman also --
12
               MS. GIULIANELLI: I'm sure Mr. Webb wants to end,
13
      80 ==
14
      Q. Mr. Frackman also asked you about multisource products last
15
16
      A. He did.
17
      Q. And I actually think today too. Do you remember that he
18
      asked you about whether it was a business or a technical issue
19
      that Sabre decided not to develop a separate multisource
20
      desktop aggregation tool of GDSs?
21
      A. I recall that, yes.
      Q. Now I want to ask you about a document that he showed with
22
23
      respect to the technical issues that would be involved, and
24
      that is Plaintiff's Exhibit 772. And do you remember that he
```

SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C. (212) 905-0300

asked about this Exhibit 772 in connection with the multiGDS

25

3538 Gbs1sab4 Webb - Redirect desktop -- I don't know !! it's --1 2 A. I recall, yes. Q. -- questions? Okay. And I'd like to turn you to page 3 of 3 this document here, where it's giving some background on the multiGDS solution. 6 A. Yes. 7 Q. And this document says they have made significant investments and multiGDS solutions but their efforts have largely been unsuccessful. My first question is, who is the 9 "they" referring to? 10 A. Yeah, this is talking about the major travel agencies, so 11 Amex, Carlson, BCD, they all had efforts to create multisource 12 desktop that would potentially either sit on top of the GDSs or 13 other things that could provide additional content. They spent 14 a lot of money on them but ultimately abandoned those efforts. 15 Q. What was your assessment as to whether or not there were 16 complexities involved in creating these multisource products? 17 A. Yeah, there was -- and it was the reason that ultimately it 18 drove a significant amount of cost for the agencies that they 19 20 didn't really see much benefit from it, which is why they ended up really abandoning their internal efforts. 21 22 Q. And then Mr. Frackman asked you about this page 6 of 23 Plaintiff's Exhibit 772, and it's a chart of different 24 products? 25 A. It 1s.

SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C. (212) 805-0300

Webb - Redirect

Q. Now even though Sabre did end up investing in creating its

already had, are there any developers that Sabre authorizes to

delivered the capabilities that travel agencies need.

A. Concur, we authorized AgentWare, we authorized

MS. GIULIANELLI: I have nothing else.

MR. FRACKHAN: Very short, your Honor.

very short recross, and then the jurors' questions. 2:10.

SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

(212) 805-0300

THE DEPUTY CLERK: All rise.

THE COURT: Okay. We're adjourned.

(Jury not present)

(Luncheon recess)

THE COURT: Okay. We're going to break for lunch, but

THE COURT: Okay. So we'll come back, we'll do the

Travelfusion, so there are a number.

own separate multisource product from the GDS desktop it

Gbslsab4

aggregate content?

Q. Which are they?

is there any recross?

A. There are.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

₿

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Q. Looking at the listing of different products out there, 1 2 what does this chart show? 3 A. It was just trying to show the number of third-party 4 aggregators that exist in the marketplace and kind of what they 5 do, where they're deployed, meaning which travel agencies use which of those third parties, and so it lists out kind of 6 7 the -- the structure of each one of them and then where 8 they're -- where they're deployed. Q. And how did the features and -- we don't need to go through 10 each one, but how did the features and functionality or 11 capabilities of each of these third-party products compare to 12 Sabre? 13 A. Well, they're not -- none of them compare to Sabre in terms 14 of total functionality, but they each -- they each had a 15 different kind of role in the -- in the travel distribution 16 landscape. 17 Q. Looking at the bullet here, at the top, before the chart 18 that says, "There are no robust multisource solutions in the 19 market, but various third parties sell components and a broader 20 vision. What does that mean? 21 A. Yeah, just exactly -- it's -- it means that each of those 22 players down there had some functionality that was -- since 23 they were deployed some places, had some functionality that the 24 agents found to be interesting, but in no -- no case were they

Webb - Redirect

Gbslsab4

25

SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C. (212) 805-0300

a robust, fully automated, fully accessible system that really

Sabr-Transcript-000006318

Sabr-Transcript-000006319

3541 Gbslsab4 Webb - Recross 1 AFTERNOON SESSION 2 2:10 p.m. 3 (In open court; jury present) 4 THE COURT: You may be seated. 5 Mr. Frackman. 6 CROSS EXAMINATION 7 BY MR. FRACIONAN: 8 Q. Mr. Webb, on redirect, you gave some testimony again about 9 your assertion that US Airways never asked for a full content 10 agreement. Do you recall that? 11 A. I do. 12 Q. Now when you talk about full content, you sometimes talk 13 about the full content suite of provisions, right? 14 A. I may have said that. 15 Q. And you include in those the no direct connect, right? 16 A. That's part of the overall parity provision. 17 Q. No bypass, right? 18 A. Again, it's a nondiscrimination agreement so it's on both 19 sides, yes. 20 Q. No incentives; that is, the airline can't induce a travel 21 agent to use another channel by paying them anything? 22 A. Yeah, they can't participate in the system and then go 23 around the system by inducing someone to do something else. 24 Q. So when you said that US Airways had never made a no full 25 content proposal, you know that they made a proposal that

SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C. (212) 805-0300

3542 Gb\$1sab4 Webb - Recross 1 contained a direct connect provision, right; that is, a 2 provision that permitted them to do direct connects? 3 A. I don't recall, but that may have been one of the terms 4 that we negotiated during that time period. 5 Q. And they also made a proposal that would permit them to pay 6 incentives to induce travel agents to use the direct connect, 7 right? ₿ A. They may have. 9 Q. Well, let me show you PX 117 in evidence. 10 A. Thank you. 11 Q. PX 117 is the proposal that US Airways -- a term sheet that 12 US Airways submitted to Sabre on October 14, 2010, right? 13 A. It appears to be that, yes. 14 Q. You've seen this before, right? 15 A. Yes. 16 Q. And we went over earlier today that the very next day Sabre 17 sent a termination notice, right? 10 A. Yeah, normal course. 19 Q. And if you look at page PX 117 -- well, PX 117, the front 20 page, there's an email from Mr. Gustafson to Mr. Wilding, with 21 a proposal. PX 117.2 is the beginning, or the first page of 22 the term sheet, right? 23 A. That's correct. 24 Q. And if you turn to PX 117.3 --25 A. Yes.

SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C. (212) 805-0300

Sabr-Transcript-000006320

THE COURT: Okay.

Q. And the next day Sabre terminated, sent notice of

MR. FRACKMAN: No further questions.

asked about -- I think we're turning on the system here.

A. Yeah, it's a full -- obviously it's a full content

MS. GIULIANELLI: Thank you.

(Continued on next page)

A. Yes, that the contract did not roll over automatically,

Looking at the October term sheet that you were just

Looking at Plaintiff's Exhibit 117, what are the

Q. And then what does the proposal with respect to the booking

agreement. These are the full content regional booking fees

THE COURT: All right. Jury questions. Mr. Street,

THE WITNESS: Looks like I was here too long.

SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

(212) 805-0300

Gbs1sab4

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Gbs1sab4

A. That's what it says.

termination, right?

REDIRECT EXAMINATION

BY MS. GIULIANELLI:

content terms?

Q. Okay. Two questions.

A. They're in Section 3.

that US Airways proposed.

do you want to gather them.

fees say with respect to the content?

that is correct.

Gbslsab4 Webb - Recross Q. -- you see there's item 6, Other Terms. 1 2 A. Yes. 3 Q. And in the Other Terms provision, the fifth bullet down, 4 there's a reference to paragraph 4(c). Do you see that? S A. Yes, I do. 6 Q. And in this paragraph, what US Airways was proposing to 7 Sabre, as part of the term sheet for negotiations of the 2011 8 renewal, was a provision that would permit it to utilize direct 9 connects, right? 10 A. That's what it says. 11 Q. And would permit it to induce travel agents to use a direct 12 connect as long as the inducement was not more than \$4 a 13 ticket, right? 14 A. Yeah, that's what it says. That's in the Other Terms 15 component, and item 3 was the content part of the proposal. 16 Q. Okay. So when you said that there was no full content 17 proposal from US Airways, you were using a narrow definition, you weren't using full content in reference to the full suite 18 19 of full content provisions that you just testified to a moment 20 ago, right? 21 A. Yeah, I was referring to the content that US Airways would 22 provide in the system. 23 Q. There's no dispute that US Airways proposed that it be able 24 to do direct connects and pay inducements to travel agents, 25 right?

SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C. (212) 805-0300

Sabr-Transcript-000006322

Sabr-Transcript-000006323

3546

3545 Gbs1sab4 1 (In the robing room) 2 THE COURT: Okay. So, first question: "Who or what 3 does Sabre charge when a consumer uses their consumer self-service website?" 5 MS. GIULIANELLI: I'm not sure I understand what that 6 means. THE COURT: I'm not either, but I think I'm going to 7 8 ask it because maybe it can --MS. GIULIANELLI: Clarify? 9 THE COURT: Right. 10 11 Next question: "What is higher cost technology versus lower cost technology used when booking Southwest or US 12 13 Airways?" Maybe they just mean: Is higher cost technology 14 versus lower cost technology used when booking Scuthwest or US 15 Airways? 16 MS. GIULIANELLI: That's a fair question. 17 THE COURT: "Please explain more how the participating 18 carrier agreement works." 19 MR. FRACKMAN: That could be a long answer. THE COURT: In 2010 -- I'm going to ask him to do this 20 21 briefly. Briefly explain. 22 MS. GIULIANELLI: Have you seen Mr. Webb? 23 THE COURT: Yes, for a long time. 24 "In 2010, why did Expedia split its use of GDSs

SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C. (212) 805-0300

between Sabre and another GDS? What caused that split?"

25

MR. DIAMOND: Could be a foundation issue. 1 2 MS. GIULIANELLI: I think he testified that he was the one that actually negotiated that deal with Expedia. He was 3 the lead. 4 MR. FRACKMAN: But what they would -- what Expedia 5 might have told him as the reasons would be hearsay, so I think 6 7 there is a --THE COURT: But I remember there were all sorts of 8 hearsay objections during that and he did testify about what he 9 did, what he thought, so I'll ask for a nonhearsay answer, 10 11 whatever that means. *To be clear, would an airline be permitted to enter 12 into a nonfull content agreement with Sabre in exchange for 13 higher booking fees? In 2006? 2011? And in the future?" 14 *What is Sabre's share of North American airline GDS 15 bookings today?" Irrelevant, right? 16 17 MS. GIULIANELLI: I guess we can -- I mean, I guess we 18 can ask. 19 MR. LIND: If he knows. 20 MS. GIULIANELLI: We actually showed an exhibit that 21 had this. 22 HR. DIAMOND: That was 2011. 23 MS. GIULIANELLI: Through 2011. MR. DIAMOND: As of today, it's a different question. 24 25 THE COURT: How is it relevant what it is today? I'm SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C. (212) 805-0300

"Plaintiff's 772, which addresses the lack of success

Okay. "Why is Sabre's fee structure based on booking

THE COURT: "You testified that Southwest doesn't get

of AmEx, CWT, and BCG with their efforts to develop a

multisource solution, who was the intended audience of this

a lot of the premium services that Sabre offers, which US

services actually lower? In other words, what is it about

MR. FRACKMAN: It's a good question.

MS. ROBSON: Yeah, the second one.

are buyers of your services in a two-sided market. In that

case, why do they not pay you and instead you pay them?"

Airways gets, and you know that the assets or cost of services

are lower for Southwest. Is the coat to Sabre to provide these

these other features that cost Sabre more to provide them or is

MS. GIULIANELLI: I was going to say, ask that one

THE COURT: Okay. "You testified that travel agents

*Seems as though everyone agrees that the consumer

wants access to all available content. Sounds like Sabre wants

SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

(212) 805-0300

THE COURT: I agree. It just needs clarification.

"Under the content backup agreement, if Amadeus had

"In your view what would happen to the industry --

"Mr. Webb, if Sabre had market power, why did they

THE COURT: Too many -- I'm just going to skip that.

content that Sabre did not, which GDSs would get paid for

airlines, GDSs, travel agents -- if full content provisions

want full content on the new agreement with US Airways?"

It's like if Sabre had market power -- he would say they

didn't -- why did they want full content on the new agreement

All right. "We heard many different testimonies

MR. DIAMOND: We just saw one, so it may have been

surrounding the \$3.41 booking fee that US Airways paid. During

negotiations, were there any counters to Sabre from US Airways

to pay less of a fee -- for example, 3.10, 3.20?" Okay.

THE COURT: I'm going to skip that, I think.

MS. GIULIANELLI: Good question.

MR. LIND: It assumes --

with US Airways? I'm going to skip this.

written before that last exhibit went up.

Interesting questions.

booking was made by a Sabre travel agent?"

were not allowed?" Interesting.

booking on the airline that was in Amadeus but not Sabre if the

it just that you charge more for those?" That's very similar

Gbslsab4

presentation?"

to another question.

instead. More the second one.

fees rather than subscription?

MR. LIND: Fine.

going to skip this one.

5

1

2

6 7

8 9

10 11 12

13 14 15

16 17

18 19

20

21 22

23 24

25

Gbslsab4

answer.

9 10 11

12 13

14 15

16 17 18

19 20

21 22 23

24 25

SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C. (212) 805-0300

Gbslaab4

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

to ensure that happens using provisions of its contract,

whereas others prefer an external aggregator to ensure all content is captured. Can you repeat why all content must go through Sabre versus being captured via another aggregator strategy if that's what customers prefer."

"Is there any point in Sabre's history that it did not pay agency incentives or have they been part of the business model from the start?"

I'll have to follow up.

"Plaintiff's 1339 shows the services available for corporate travelers that value them. Wouldn't it make sense for the traveler to have the ability to pay for these services if it values them by booking through a travel agency or Sabre and not pay for them if they don't need them by booking through the website minus the Sabre fee?"

"Under what circumstances would Sabre have settled for less than full content in its negotiations with US Airways? What would the terms of scenario have needed to be?"

"I still don't understand why an airline that doesn't believe it needs all of Sabre's services can't offer fares outside of Sabre. You've talked about the value of Sabre's services, but if an airline doesn't perceive that value, why force them?"

You'll get a complicated answer.

MS. GIULIANELLI: Sounds like something he should

SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C. (212) 805-0300

Sabr-Transcript-000006326

3549

Gbs1sab4 **Webb**

MS. GIULIANELLI: That's going to go through the whole

THE COURT: Yes.

MR. LIND: And they want to know if they were back and

THE COURT: Yes. I mean, I think that's a legitimate question.

(Continued on next page)

term.

forth.

1 2 3

4 5 6

12 13 14

11

16 17 18

15

19 20 21

22

23 24 25

> SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C. (212) 805-0300

Sabr-Transcript-000006328

Sabr-Transcript-000008329

Sabr-Transcript-000006327

3550

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

(In open court; jury present)

6

7 8 9

10 11

12

13 14

16 17 18

15

19 20 21

22 23

24 25

> 1 2

Gbs1sab4

9 10 11

12 13 14

16 17

18 19 20

22 23

24

8 15 21

25

THE COURT: All right. So we have a lot of good questions here. A couple of them I can't ask and a couple of them seem to be the same question in different words, so I'll just ask one of those. But most of these I will ask. Okay. You testifled that Southwest deesn't get a lot

Webb

of the premium services that Sabre offers which US Airways gets, and you noted that as such, the cost of services are lower for Southwest. Is the cost to Sabre to provide these services actually lower? In other words, what is it about these other features that cost Sabre more to provide, or is it that you just charge more for those?

THE WITNESS: That's a good question. Now the most expensive portion of the GDS functionality is low fare search, so when you do a search on a website, whether it's Expedia or American Express or BCD, the system works really hard to go through all of the fare classes and services and rules that are associated with providing that low fare search back. The level of connectivity that Southwest is -- participates at, they're not included in low fare search, so we don't search Southwest at all, so if you go out and do a low fare search on the Sabre GDS, you won't get any responses that include Southwest flights. So there's no cost associated with search.

Separately, we don't provide availability, so when you get the -- when you get the connection back after you've done a

> SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C. (212) 805-0300

> > **Hebb**

Sabr-Transcript-000006330

3553

single contract for all airlines, and that was called the participating carrier agreement. And it was standard for everybody, and it's been around for a long, long time, since the '90s. And so that agreement is still in place with every airline because all of the agreements that you've heard us talk about, whother it was the DCA3 agreement in 2003 or the TMA agreement in 2006, or the new TMA agreement in 2011, those were amendments to the participating carrier agreement, because the participating carrier agreement is like that thick, because it has all the provisions around how we interoperate and -- it's a lengthy document, and so all the others are just amendments on top of that underlying agreement.

THE COURT: And so one of the things you're saying is that at the time of the participating carrier agreement, that agreement was standard amongst all the airlines, that as the various parties have entered into successive amendments, now the same agreement does not exist with every airline.

THE WITNESS: That's correct. When we were airline owned, everybody had the same participating carrier agreement, so now that we negotiate every agreement, those additional amendments are all different.

THE COURT: But with the exception of Scuthwest and some other airlines, they all have full content?

THE WITNESS: There -- no. There are a handful of airlines that chose not to sign the TMAs that still operate on

SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

(212) 805-0300

Gbs1sab4 **Hebb** scheduled load search or those things, we don't actually check availability for Southwest so there's no availability call, there's no - nothing to do with that, and we don't show their inventory, so there's no inventory shown on the screen either. So none of those things are included in the cost that it would take to manage Southwest. Separately, they have a separate, different ticketing mechanism, so we have a different way to ticket Southwest, so there are a number of functions that Southwest just doesn't use in the systom. THE COURT: So in other words, it actually costs Sabre

less --

THE WITNESS: It does.

THE COURT: -- to service the Southwest agreement.

THE WITNESS: Absolutely. 15

> THE COURT: Next, who or what does Sabre charge when a consumer uses the consumer self-service website?

THE WITNESS: So if they're using TripCase or any of those things through the mobile device or if they're using an agency self-service website or any of those things, we wouldn't charge anybody anything for that.

THE COURT: Okay. Short answer, okay? Please explain more how the participating carrier agreement works.

THE WITNESS: Okay. The short answer is, for many, many, many years, when we were airline owned, there was a

> SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C. (212) 805-0300

> > Sabr-Transcript-000006331

3554

Gbs1sab4

Webb

the participating carrier -- the underlying participating carrier agreement.

THE COURT: Okay. And could you just remind us who those are.

> THE WITNESS: Lufthansa, Spirit, Gol, TAM --THE COURT: Okay.

THE HITNESS: -- Southwest.

THE COURT: So next question: I'll remind you of what we talked about when you were asked questions about Expedia before, that you shouldn't testify about what Expedia or any third party told you.

THE WITNESS: Okay.

THE COURT: It's really a question of what you did, or what you thought.

THE WITNESS: Okay.

THE COURT: Okay? So what caused the split when Expedia split its use of GDSs between Sabre and another GDS?

THE WITNESS: What happened was that Expedia began to operate in a two-GDS market, so they moved a portion of their bookings from Sabre to another GDS, so on any given day, about 70-ish percent of the bookings go through Sabre and about 30 percent of their book -- I'm sorry. US point of sale bookings go through another GDS, so they utilize both at the same time.

THE COURT: Okay. And in terms of why they did that,

SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C. (212) 905-0300

you would only know that from something somebody told you, is

THE WITNESS: I know why they did it, but --

we had to contract around it because the previous contract

of terms and so we had to negotiate for a dual-use model in

which -- which came with a different set of terms, and so

through that, I came to realize how they thought about the

had -- was specific to offectively a single GDS negotiated set

THE COURT: First think of how do you know why they

THE WITNESS: We had lengthy discussions about it and

THE COURT: Okay. And the different set of terms, can

THE WITNESS: Yeah, just -- there again, they're --

we've tried to set up our contracts so that again, because our

cost model and our revonue model are not always in synch, so

in the system, and so we had to set up a structure that made

bookings in the Sabre system, but if they weren't using Sabre,

they could make bookings wherever they wanted, and so it was to

SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

(212) 805-0300

make sure that for use of the system, if they were using the

system, they'd be making bookings in the system is the

sure that if they used the Sabre system, they would make

before, where they used Sabre 100 percent, there wasn't a time

when they would be utilizing the system but not making bookings

that fair?

did it.

process.

you briefly summarize that.

3

1

2

4 5 6

7 8 9

10 11 12

14 15

13

20 21

22 23 24

25

1 2

3 4 5

6 7 8

9 10

11 12

13 14

15 16

was?

SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C. (212) 805-0300

Gb\$1sab4 Webb MS. GIULIANELLI: I believe I have it.

> THE WITNESS: It's not in this one. Sorry. THE COURT: Okay.

> Okay. We have 772. THE WITNESS: Can you go to the next page?

THE COURT: Next page? Can we see the next page?

THE COURT: MultiGDS JOA.

asked about, if you'd like.

THE WITNESS: Also not helpful.

THE COURT: Okay. And the next page. MS. GIULIANELLI: Let's go to the -- I can call this out and see if that helps and then I can go to the page he was

THE WITNESS: Yeah, that doesn't give the --

MS. GIULIANELLI: Let me go to that. This is the page he was testifying about.

THE WITNESS: Yeah, obviously it's an internal document. It looks like, again, another kind of scenario planning or strategy document talking about what -- what we might do, improving sales process. It looks like it's a discussion around improving sales to deliver, you know, value to agencies.

THE COURT: So can you tell who the intended audience

THE WITNESS: It was internal, so I'm not sure what

```
Webb
Gbs1sab4
```

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

effective way, so that we had this other insurance policy that they wouldn't utilize us and then make bookings in the backup.

THE COURT: All right. Got it.

So to be clear, would an airline be permitted to enter into a nonfull content agreement with Sabre in exchange for higher booking fees? In 2006?

THE WITNESS: They would have been able to stay on the participating carrier agreement and participate with a nonfull content, but they wouldn't have gotten discounts associated with the -- the TMAs.

THE COURT: And is the answer the same for 2011?

THE WITNESS: Yes. 13 THE COURT: And how about today?

> THE NITNESS: Today, that would be true too. If they -- if they operated on -- under the underlying PCA, they'd have nonfull content but they'd have a higher booking fee.

> THE COURT: Okay. So Plaintiff's 772, which we'll give you -- we'll see if you can figure out which one it is -which addresses the lack of success AmEx, CWT, and SCG had with their efforts to develop a multisource solution, who was the intended audience of this presentation?

> > And if it helps to look at it, it's Plaintiff's 772. THE WITNESS: Let me see if I have it in my book.

THE COURT: If there's any chance we can put it on the screen --

SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

(212) 805-0300

Sabr-Transcript-000006335

3558

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Sabr-Transcript-000006334

3557

Gbslsat4 Webb

the group was.

THE COURT: Okay. Is there any indication on the document?

MS. GIULIANELLI: The only thing that we have in this exhibit that -- in the plaintiff's exhibit is the cover page.

> THE COURT: Ah, and that page. MS. GIULIANELLI: Which is why I showed it, because --

THE COURT: Okay. All right. THE WITNESS: Yeah, I'm sorry.

MS. GIULIANELLI: It went to Mr. Webb.

THE WITNESS: Yeah. I just don't know.

THE COURT: All right. Next question: Why is Sabre's fee structure based on booking fees rather than subscription?

THE WITNESS: Well, that's a great question. A couple of things. One is that we have tried over a period of time to make adjustments to pricing, the way that we price. We've done value-based pricing, we've done fee-structured pricing, we've done -- we tried a lot of things. The simplest answer is that it's the structure that's been in place for probably 25 years that was there from the time the airlines created the GDSs and so people are just familiar with it so it's -- it's simple for -- for everybody to kind of understand.

THE COURT: Okay.

THE WITNESS: But I wouldn't be opposed to a subscription fee if scmebody wanted to talk about a

> SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C. (212) 805-0300

1

2

3

5

6

7

θ

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

5

6

θ

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

subscription.

THE COURT: Okay. Juror's next question: You testified that travel agents are buyers of your services in a two-sided market. In that case, why do they not pay you and instead you pay them?

Hebb

THE WITNESS: Yeah. Again, that was structurally what happened back when we were airline owned, which is that the airlines utilized an incentive to incent agencies to utilize the GDS that they owned and so that model was in place since --since we were created, and it's become one of the ways in which we compete for agency business. There are some things that agencies pay for, so if they buy separate products that we create that are outside the standard GDS booking fee structure, then agencies pay for those products.

THE COURT: Okay. It seems as though everyone agrees that the consumer wants access to all available content. It sounds like Sabre wants to ensure that happens using provisions of its contracts, whereas others prefer an external aggregator to ensure all content is captured. Can you repeat why all content must go through Sabre versus being captured via another aggregator strategy, if that's what customers prefer.

THE WITNESS: Well, they could capture it using another aggregator. The only issues, the only provisions in our contract just say that if you're going to utilize the system, meaning if you're going to shop it, if you're going to

> SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C. (212) 805-0300

> > Sabr-Transcript-000006338

Sabr-Transcript-000006339

3562

3561

Gbs1sab4

Webb

available for corporate travelers that value them. You remember that line.

THE WITNESS: Yes, I believe so.

THE COURT: Wouldn't it make sense for the traveler to have the ability to pay for these services if it values them, by booking through a travel agency or Sabre, and not pay for them if they don't need them, by booking through the website minus the Sabre fee?

THE WITNESS: Yeah. It's -- again, it's a -- because of the -- the structure of the way that the industry works today, some of those services are things that are -- despite the fact they benefit the corporate traveler, there are things that also benefit the agency and things that also benefit the airline, and so we've made it a practice of not charging separately or not charging additional fees for new products that we create, new things, new capabilities that we put in the system. We just have them stay inside the -- the underlying booking fee structure. And so we've never thought it made sense to add additional charges, and that's the reason we don't charge for ancillaries to the airline. We don't charge for additional things. It's a bundled fee.

THE COURT: Okay. Under what circumstances would Sabre have settled for less than full content in its negotiations with US Airways?

THE WITNESS: I think if wo'd gotten to loggerheads

SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

(212) 805-0300

put bookings in the system, if you're going to utilize the underlying Sabre technology, that you actually make the bookings in the system as well, so if somebody wanted to -- and as you saw, AmEx, BCD, Carlson all had aggregator strategies, third-party or internally built aggregator strategies. They still have those today. And so the only difference being that when they utilize those aggregation capabilities, they don't use the Sabre system, they don't shop the Sabre system, they don't book in the Sabre system, they don't save data in the Sabre system. They do that elsewhere. And so you could do that and agencies could do that today if they wanted to.

Hebb

THE COURT: Okay. Maybe I'm confused, but I thought you said earlier that they abandoned their aggregator efforts.

THE WITNESS: They abandoned those specific ones that are cut there. They've created different things over a period of time, some to do with regional content gaps. But almost all of the large guys still have an ability to capture what I would call nonGDS bookings, so maybe for car service or other other forms of content.

THE COURT: Okay. Was there any point in Sabre's history that it did not pay agency incentives, and have they been part of the business model from the start?

THE WITNESS: They've for the most part been part of the business model since the beginning.

THE COURT: Plaintiff's 1339 shows the services

SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C. (212) 805-0300

Gbs1sab4

Gbslsab4

2

5

6

7

θ

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

4

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

керр

where, at the end, there was no option for a non -- for a full content agreement, it would have eventually been better for us to look to have a nonfull content agreement with US Airways and have them participate, still participate in the system so that we could still have some portion of US Airways content in the

system. However, that would have caused us a significant issue with -- with travel agents and eventually it would have caused us to lose a significant amount of business.

THE COURT: And what would the terms have been?

THE WITNESS: It would have just been the underlying participating carrier agreement, so we would have reverted to the PCA document.

THE COURT: Okay. I still don't understand why an mirline that doesn't believe it needs all of Sabre's services can't offer fares outside of Sabre. You have talked about the value of Sabre's services, but if an airline doesn't perceive that value, why force them?

THE WITNESS: Yeah. He didn't force them to participate. They would have a choice to not participate in the Sabre system, but the problem is the discrimination issue, which is, we didn't want an airline to sign up to utilize the Sabre system, be a full-term participant, so sign a long-term contract, and then spend time trying to -- the entire time they're in an agreement with us going around the system by really disadvantaging the consumers that choose to buy through

> SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C. (212) 805-0300

pay less of a fee?

prior booking fee?

Airways.

the 2006 fee?

these as Court Exhibit 12.

MR. FRACKHAN: Could I?

THE COURT: Yes, you may.

THE WITNESS: Yes.

our way back to -- to where we ended up.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Webb

THE COURT: We've heard testimony from many witnesses

surrounding the \$3.41 booking fee that US Airways paid. During

THE COURT: So how did the price negotiations go?

THE WITNESS: About what you would expect, which is

THE COURT: Okay. And how did this fee compare to the

THE WITNESS: The 3.41 -- so we're talking in 2006.

THE COURT: And then how did the 2011 fee compare to

THE WITNESS: It stayed flat, for the first year, and

negotiations were there counters to Sabre from US Airways to

our initial proposal proposed raising the fee and US Airways'

proposal proposed lowering it dramatically, and then we worked

It went down dramatically, so we reduced our fee in 2006, to US

then it had what I would call cost of living adjustments on top

THE COURT: Okay. That is it. I'm going to mark

of that. So inflationary adjustments for the outer years.

Mr. Frackman, did you want to follow up?

SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

(212) 805-0300

Gbslsab4

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

25

Webb

Sabre. So by putting lower fares on their own website or other places, we felt like they would be discriminating against the people that chose to use Sabre.

THE COURT: Under the content backup agreement, if Amadeus had content that Sabre did not, which GDS would get paid for a booking on the airline that was in Amadeus but not Sabre if the booking was made by a Sabre travel agent?

THE WITNESS: Yes. Amadeus would have gotten paid in that scenario, and that scenario was only in the case of an airline totally pulling out of one or the other. So the carrier that -- I'm sorry -- the GDS, so in that scenario, Amadeus would get paid.

THE COURT: In your view what would happen to the industry -- airlines, GDSs, travel agents -- if full content provisions were not allowed?

THE WITNESS: Yes. I think it would become a very confusing, very cost-intensive environment because you'd have scenario where consumers were no longer able to comparison shop so you wouldn't have a place to go to look at all of the fares, all of the scats in a single place, so it would cause consumers to bounce around between websites, carrier direct websites, the online booking engines, it would cause travel agencies to have to begin to search multiple places for content, it would cause them to have to begin to fulfill those things in multiple places. It would be very costly for the entire industry.

> SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C. (212) 805-0300

> > Sabr-Transcript-000006342

Sabr-Transcript-000006343

3565 Gbslsab4 Webb - Recross RECROSS EXAMINATION 2 BY MR. FRACKMAN: Q. Mr. Webb, you referred to Spirit in one of your answers to the jury's questions. Spirit has a full content agreement with 5 Sabre, right? 6 A. Oh, you're right. I misspoke. 7 Q. The only thing about the Spirit agreement, which is full 8 content, is that it permits Spirit to charge back the GDS booking fee, right -10 A. They have -11 Q. -- to the traveler? 12 A. They have a limited surcharge amount on their website. 13 Q. Now you also discussed, in connection with one of the 14 questions, the PCA agreement, and in fact, Mr. Gustafson -- you 15 testified that US Airways never suggested reverting to the PCA. 16 Is that your testimony? 17 A. I don't think I said that. 18 Q. Okay. Because you know in fact Mr. Gustafson did raise 19 going back to the PCA, right? 20 A. I think he raised the PCA as an extension, if we didn't 21 complete the contract by January 28. 22 Q. And you guys rejected that as an option. 23 A. Well, we had three more months before January 29th and so 24 we felt like we would get to a new deal.

> SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C. (212) 905-0300

Q. Right. You told him, or Mr. Wilding told him that there

```
3566
      Gbslsat4
                               Webb - Recross
      was -- if a deal was going to be done, it would have to be done
      before the 28th or that there was time to get it done before
 2
      the 28th so that you didn't need to agree to the PCA
 4
      alternative that Mr. Gustafson raised.
 5
      A. Right. We were still negotiating a full content agreement,
      and we thought we had plenty of time to get that done.
 7
      Q. But there's no question that Mr. Gustafson raised the PCA,
 8
      right?
 9
      A. As part of an extension, if we didn't have an agreement.
10
      Q. There was another question about whether Sabre would ever
11
      have agreed to a nonfull content offer, and I want to be clear
12
      on this. You never made any nonfull content proposal to US
13
      Airways, right?
14
      A. No. Mr. Nocella in our first lunch asked for a full
15
      content deal.
      Q. And in fact, as we've seen I think a couple times,
16
17
      Mr. Gross made it clear that there was no deal without full
18
      content, right?
19
      A. I think if you go back through the timeline, we were never
20
     having a negotiation about anything that wasn't full content.
21
     Q. You do recall Mr. Gross's email to Mr. Nocella that said -
22
              THE COURT: Well, whether or not he does, somebody
23
      else may, so you can continue.
24
     Q. Okay. So now --
25
              MR. FRACKMAN: I didn't want to show it again, your
                    SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.
```

Sabr-Transcript-000006344

3567 Gbslaab4

the same number.

questions.

A. I was.

REDIRECT EXAMINATION

BY MS. GIULIANELLI:

2

3

5

€

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1 Honor -2 Q. So you also gave an answer to a question about consumers in 3 the absence -- what would happen to consumers in the absence of full content on Sabre, right? A. I did. 5 6 Q. And in fact, consumers could get that information through 7 ITA Google Flights, right? 8 A. They get some of it, yes. 9 Q. They get almost all of it through ITA Google Flights, all 10 the airfares? 11 A. Dependa on who's participating with ITA. 12 Q. Which airlines don't? 13 A. I'm not sure. 14 Q. Can you name one? 15 A. I'm not sure. Southwest. 16 Q. So they could get it through Kayak, right? That doesn't go 17 through the GDS, right? A. Kayak again is US point of sale and doesn't include every 18 19 airline. Q. They could get it through Concur if their travel agent uses 20 21 Concur because Concur gets flight information outside of the 22 GDS, right? 23 A. Concur primarily uses the GDS. Q. Well, it gets Southwest outside of the GDS, right? 24

Webb - Recross

Gbslsab4

25

24

25

A. That's one.

SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C. (212) 805-0300

Gbs1sab4

3569 Webb - Redirect Gbslsab4 1 30-day extension on the current price, so we extended the deal on all the same terms for the 30 days that we did not yet have 2 3 a new signed agreement. Q. In other words, during this 30-day extension, US Airways 5 continued to pay the lower price and not the higher PCA price. A. That's correct. 6 Q. Okay. And you were asked about Kayak and what could be 7 searched through Kayak. A. Yes. 10 Q. How, if at all, does a user make a booking through Kayak? 11 A. They don't make a booking through Kayak, so even if the consumer was able to shop and have an understanding of the 12 providers, the suppliers that participate in Kayak, they would 13 14 still have to go to the airline direct website to make a 15 booking, so really not an effective model for business 16 travelers. 17 Q. One more question. You were asked about a subscription fee 18 structure as opposed to a booking fee attucture. 19 A. Yes. 20 Q. And I would just like to ask a little bit about what does 21 the booking fee structure payment model do with respect to when 22 an airline pays Sabre? 23 A. Yeah, I think that's why we've never really been asked for

```
SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.
          (212) 805-0300
```

a subscription fee from an airline because much like I've

talked about the fact that our costs and our revenue aren't

aligned, moving to a subscription fee for an airline would mean 1 2 that they had a set fee, so whether they made more bookings or whether they made less bookings, they paid the same amount, whereaa today they only pay when they sell something, and so 5 their costs and their revenues are in direct alignment, so I think it's a model that makes much more sense for them. 6 7 Q. You said the airlines had not asked you for such a structure. Did US Airways ever ask for a subscription fee 8 structure as opposed to the booking fee model? 9 A. They never asked me. 10 11 MS. GIULIANELLI: Thank you. 12 THE COURT: Okay. Thank you very much. THE WITNESS: Thank you. 13 14 (Witness excused) THE COURT: Next witness. 15 16 MR. LIND: Your Honor, the next witness we have is 17 Mr. Doug Parker, who is the CEO, the chief executive officer, 18 of US Airways, who will be testifying via videotape. It lasts 19 about 30 minutes. And then we'll have Mr. Klein after that --20 THE COURT: Okay. MR. LIND: -- live. 21 22 (Videotaped deposition of Doug Parker played) 23 (Continued on next page) 24 25

SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C. (212) 805-0300

Webb - Redirect

Q. And then the last point, which I think you answered -- I

just want to be clear on it -- that at the end of all of this

structure, a price to US Airways that was exactly the same as

A. That's correct. We traded -- we got to the exact same

Q. Mr. Nocella didn't start at 3.41, he started way below

A. We ended up moving on the same price, that's correct.

Q. You were asked about Mr. Gustafson's request for an

Q. And what happened with respect to whether there was an

extension while the deal was being negotiated after that?

extension, but we actually didn't do the 30-day extension on

the PCA, which would have been at a higher price. We did a

A. Yeah, eventually we -- we ended up doing a 30-day

extension, temporary extension to go back to the PCA.

point, which is where Mr. Nocella and I started, which is we

traded price and they traded full content and we ended up with

3.41, and you got the same price at the end of the day, right?

MS. GIULIANELLI: I have a couple of follow-up.

so-called negotiation in 2010, you ended up with a fee

the one you had charged in 2006, \$3.41, right?

THE COURT: Ms. Giulianelli?

Sabr-Transcript-000006347

3568

3570

Webb - Redirect

SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C. (212) 805-0300

Sabr-Transcript-000006348

Sabr-Transcript-000006346

TOM KLEIN

the record.

BY MR. LIND:

jury?

Sabre.

DIRECT EXAMINATION

Q. Where do you live?

teenage daughters.

(Recess)

(Jury present)

called as a witness by the defendant,

A. Thank you. It's good to be here.

Q. How long have you been with Sabre?

having been duly sworn, testified as follows:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

16

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Klein - Direct

MR. LIND: Your Honor, Sabre calls fom Klein.

THE DEPUTY CLERK: State your name and spell it for

THE WITNESS: My name is Tom Klein, T-O-M, K-L-E-I-N.

My name is Tom Klein. I am the president and CEO of

Q. Mr. Klein, we have heard your name. Nice to have you here

in person. Can you just introduce yourself briefly to the

A. I live in Dallas, Texas, with my wife Deanna and my two

A. I started at American Airlines, which owned Sabre at the

business for about 23 years now. Later this year I will be

leaving the company as I get close to my 30th year.

time, 28 years ago, and I have been with the Sabre side of the

SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

{212} 805-0300

GBS8SAB5 MR. LIND: That's it for now. Should we take our 1 break? 2 3 THE COURT: Let's take our break. 4 (Jury exits courtroom) THE COURT: Mr. Lind, what is after the break? 5 6 MR. LIND: We are going to have Mr. Klein, the 7 president and CEO of Sabre. THE COURT: How long do you think his direct will be? 8 9 MR. LIND: He will go into tomorrow, I believe, 10 considering we have an hour and a half left today. 11 THE COURT: How long do you think his direct will be? 12 MR. LIND: Probably closer to three, a little over, 13 hours. 14 THE COURT: And then Mr. Wilding. 15 MR. LIND: I think we may have a couple of shorter 16 depositions in between, but then Mr. Wilding. 17 THE COURT: Wilding on Wednesday? 18 MR. LIND: Correct. If he doesn't start tomorrow, 19 depending on what happens. 20 THE COURT: Then after that? 21 MR. LIND: Then we have depositions potentially again. 22 And then I believe we are scheduled right now to have Mr. Gross 23 and Stratford. We are figuring that out, what order. We will 24 let them know tonight. 25 THE COURT: Thank you. That's helpful.

SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C. (212) 805-0300

Sabr-Transcript-000006350

Sabr-Transcript-000006351

3573 GBS8SAB5 Klein - Direct 1 Q. What I want to do today is start with some background and 2 your work and life before Sabre real quick, and then we will 3 come back and walk through your time at Sabre in more detail. Where did you grow up? 5 A. I was born in Newark, New Jersey. I grew up about six 6 miles from the courthouse, in a little town called North Arlington, New Jersey. Q. Did you go to college? 9 A. I did. I went to Villanova University just outside of 10 Philadelphia. 11 Q. What did you do after college? 12 A. I came back home. I worked in the air cargo industry. 13 When I was growing up, I had worked in the freight industry; I 14 loaded trucks, had driven trucks, knew that business a little 15 bit, and I got a job with a company called CF Air Freight and 16 sold air cargo services in the Newark, New Jersey and then 17 later New York City area. 18 Q. How did you end up in at Sabre? 19 A. I followed one of my colleagues to American Airlines in the 20 same capacity. I was in the cargo business at American for a 21 short time, went over to the passenger sales business, met a 22 gontleman there who was my boss, and he later ran a big 23 division at Sabre and asked me to come work at Sabre for him 24 after a short time.

SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C. (212) 805-0300

Q. I want to put on a screen a demonstrative with some of your

25

3574 GBS8SAB5 Klein - Direct employment history at Sabre. 2 This is Sabre Demonstrative Exhibit 144. We are not going to walk through everything on here, but let's touch on a 4 few highlights. 5 You list here that you were a manager of passenger 6 sales in American, your second job at American. Just briefly 7 tell us what that was. 8 A. I was out in the Bay area. I was responsible for a toam 9 that would deal with our travel agency sustemers at American 10 Airlines. We would provide them various services. We would 11 negotiate the commission contracts with the travel agents, and 12 we would also negotiate with corporations on discounts if they 13 put a lot of their travelers on American. And we also worked 14 hand-in-hand with our Sabre colleagues to promote the Sabre 15 system and travel agents across the Bay area as well. Sabre was part of the airline. They also paid commissions to the 16 17 same customers that we did business with at the airline. 18 Q. You mentioned marketing to Sabre to travel agents at that 19 time and the commission structure. We have heard a lot about 20 this sort of payment flow where the airline would pay Sabre and 21 Sabre passed on commissions to the travel agents. Who put that 22 system in place? 23 A. When I worked for the airline back in the late 80s, that 24 was in place already. So American Airlines had put it in place 25 carlier.

SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C. (212) 805-0300

Sabr-Transcript-000006352

GBS8SAB5

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

5

6

7

8

9

10

12

13

14

16

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Klein - Direct

Q. Let's walk through some of your time now when you moved over to Sabre. What was your first job at the Sabre part of American?

A. AMR, the parent of American, and Aeromexico and Mexicana formed a joint venture to distribute the Sabre system in Mexico, and I went down there and I was director of Sabre de Mexico.

Q. Next, it looks like you went to become vice president of Sabre product marketing in the late 90s there. Tell us what you did in that role.

A. There I was responsible for all of our travel agency products. So our technology products for our travel agencies, including the travel agency desktops where they did all their work every day, and also online products. It was the early stages of the Internet and a lot of the travel agencies were developing online sites at the time. I was responsible for

Q. Did you develop any specific sites for travel agency use? A. Yeah. The agency desktop that I was responsible for back then was called Planet Sabre. It was the first graphical user interface for travel agents. It was Internet enabled. It was really a first generation Internet product. And that was really the core of my responsibilities. We also developed what were the first Web sites, first OTA Web sites for some of the travel agents, like Cheap Tickets, which was later bought by

> SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C. (212) 805-0300

> > Sabr-Transcript-000006354

3577

2

3

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

у.

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Sabr-Transcript-000006355

3578

GBS8SAB5 Klein - Direct

are a lot of just visual clues across the interface to make it easier for a travel agency to learn how to use the system. Q. I think we learned that Sabre had already launched

Travelocity, the online travel site, previously. Was this just sort of Travelocity in another form?

A. No. We took some of the lessons we learned on the design side from Travelocity, but this was specific to the travel agency workflow and how they used the system every day.

Q. Who would use this system, Planet Sabre?

A. Travel agents would.

11 Q. What happened to Planet Sabre?

A. The good news was, as I said, it got great reviews on the design side. The bad news was our customers didn't use it very

Q. The customers being the travel agents? 15

A. Yes.

much.

17

Q. Why didn't the travel agents use it? A. Travel agents, they have a pretty specific workflow, and they think about travel in a different way and what they are booking in a different way. Much like if I tried to take the court reporter's tool and change it into a graphical user interface, I am sure that it might slow her down. Or if we tried to create a graphical interface of a piano, a virtuoso piano player wouldn't use a computer graphical interface. It

> SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C. (212) 805-0300

would just slow him down. That's what we found with travel

1 Orbitz, and Travel Escape, which was later purchased by 2 Expedia. 3 Q. We have heard some testimony earlier in this trial from 4 Mr. Davidson, who was at Amadeus back at the time, that in the 5 late 90s Amadeus had decided not to develop a graphical user interface, he said to make it harder for travel agents to 6 7 switch. What was Sabre doing in regard to that graphical user 8 interface back in the late 90s? 9 A. That was -- well, it was by far the biggest project that I 10 worked on at that time, and we were deploying a graphical user 11 interface -- again, it was called Planet Sabre -- at the time. 12 And we did roll out that product to travel agencies across the 13 world. 14 Q. Was Planet Sabre this green screen thing we have heard 15 about? A. No, it wasn't. It was a graphical user interface. 16 Q. I have put up Defendants' Demonstrative 113. Just briefly, 17 tell us what we see here. 18 A. So maybe not as rich and exciting as some of the user 19 20 interfaces you see today, but in 1999, this was state of the 21 art. It won design awards. It was in design textbooks regarding software design. Down the left-hand side there's 22 23 marketing spaces for suppliers to put marketing offers. Then the interface itself, it gives time demonstrations and bars. 24 Connections is a broken line. Nonstops is a solid line. There 25

Klein - Direct

SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C. (212) 805-0300

Klein - Direct GBS8SAB5

agents. We had the workflow right. The graphical user interface worked great, it represented the product great, but the travel agents didn't find it efficient for the business that they did using the green screen.

As president and CEO now, have you continued to invest and develop new technology over the last decade? 6

A. We have had a few that worked a little better than Planet

Sabre, but I have a passion about design user experience for customers. We have tripled that investment while I have been CEO. And where we see opportunities to invest in innovation, we do, and that's how you win in the technology business.

Q. Has Sabre continued to develop a graphical user interface for those travel agencies that prefer it, right up through today?

A. Yes. Today it's called the Sabre Red Workspace and every travel agency in the world that uses Sabre has access to that product.

Q. Let's go back to your work history for one second, back to Demonstrative 144.

Why don't you briefly walk us through sort of the next couple of roles that you had here. Again, we don't have to walk through all of them, but just briefly, from '98 right up until 2004, what were you doing at Sabre?

A. The Sabre Travel Network jobs in Latin America and North America was really responsible for the travel agency cuatomers.

> SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C. (212) 805-0300

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

S

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Klein - Direct

In Latin America, it was from Puerto Rico down to the tip of South America, so all of the Latin American countries. Then I moved over to North America, which is our biggest market, to service our travel agency customers.

I did a short stint in something called emerging businesses, which was a bunch of start-up ideas that we had inside the company during the dot-com boom. Some of those became products, others failed, some we sold.

Then I became president of Sabre Airline Solutions, which is our broad portfolio of technology services that we sell to airlines, everything from passenger reservation systems that about 90 airlines around the world use to, a couple of examples, a United Airlines pilot uses our flight planning system to see his flight plan on his iPad every day, or the jetBlue flight attendants that are able to do their cruise schedule on their telephone.

So that's the story on those three jobs.

- Q. Prior to becoming CEO, you have run both the airline systems or airline solution side of the business as well as the GDS side?
- A. That's correct.
 - Q. Let's skip ahead now to the time period that most of the issues in the case revolve around, which is this 2005 into 2011 time period. What were your responsibilities at Sabre then?
 - A. I was responsible for the operation and all of our customer

SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C. (212) 805-0300

Sabr-Transcript-000006358

3581

Sabr-Transcript-000006359

GBS8SAB5 Klein - Direct

really rewarding, and I have a great team of colleagues that do that around the world.

Q. I assume you don't spend all of your time at Sabre. So I want to pull up a couple of other things that you do.

This is Demonstrative Exhibit 145. What else do you spend your time on when you're not working at Sabre? A. The first two things are things I spent time on in Washington. They are derivatives of being in the travel industry, but they don't have any direct ties to Sabre.

The first is I was recently appointed to President Obama's advisory council on doing business in Africa. It's been a big initiative of this administration to try to do more economic activity between the continent of Africa and the United States and get a chance to go to the White House once in a while and talk about the issues in Africa and the issues for US companies doing business there.

Brand USA is a public private partnership. Most countries have a vehicle to promote tourism to their country that is a government function. In the United States, that's not a tax payer funded initiative. It's a public private partnership. The board is appointed by the secretary of commerce, and I am chairman of that board. We promote the United States as a destination to people in 16 nations that make up about 80 percent of our visitors here in the US. For every 33 people that come to the United States, that creates

> SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C. (212) 805-0300

GBS8SAB5

US-centered company back then.

GBS8SAB5

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Klein - Direct

Klein - Direct

activities for both the Sabre Travel Network and Airline

the other things that roll up into the CEO.

milestones that you're sort of most proud of?

Solutions that carries through all of these three jobs. And

then the president role and eventually the CEO and director

role just broadened my responsibilities to be some of the more

corporate functions, like strategy and advance group and all of

Q. So you worked your way up from selling freight in the belly

A. One, this is a business where it's a global business. We

have customers around the world. We get to work with airlines

growth in Latin America. We were relatively small back then in

and hoteliers and travel agents to help make their business

better every day. I have enjoyed doing that. We had great

Latin America and the growth there was exciting. We are now

the largest provider of services. We provide both in Airline

Solutions and in Travel Network in the Latin American market.

change over the years, and that's been exciting for me and our

percent of our business is outside of the United States. That

family businesses to the biggest airlines in the world has been

SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

(212) 805-0300

team. We are a much more global business today. About 50

wasn't true when I joined the company. We were more of a

I think we have navigated through a lot of technology

And just getting a chance to work with everything from

of the airplanes to CEO. Tell us about some of the major

one job. It's a really important stimulator in the economy and it's an important function.

So those two things are appointments. They are unpaid appointments that I participate in.

The third one is the Executive Committee of World Travel and Tourism Council. This is just an organization that promotes the value of tourism to governments around the world and helps them understand the impact of travel and tourism on their economies.

Q. Let's go back to your work at Sabre now.

Today, and potentially into the morning tomorrow, I would like to focus on your work on sort of the GDS side of the business and talk about three topics.

First, I want to ask you some basic questions about the way this industry works, the competition Sabre faces, and generally how your company makes a living, so to speak. Are you prepared to talk about that?

- A. I am.
- Q. Second, I want to ask you about the relationships and the contracts that you have with airlines in connection with your GDS business. Are you prepared to talk about that?
- A. Yes.
- Q. And finally, I want to talk more specifically about your involvement in the actual contract negotiations with US Airways in 2006 and 2011. Are you prepared to talk about that?

SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C. (212) 805-0300

Sabr-Transcript-000006360

Sabr-Transcript-000006361

3582

GBS8SAB5 Klein - Direct

A. Tes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

23

24

25

Q. The GDS business, is it a challenging business to run? A. It is. It's an incredibly -- it's a global business, as I mentioned. It's incredibly competitive. It's a two-sided marketplace so you're always balancing the needs of both sets of customers and suppliers that want to sell more products through the network and the travel agents who sell products to consumers.

Q. What is the impact of that competition on your business? A. We have to compete on technology, we have to compete with

great services for our customers, and we have to compete on price on both sides of the marketplace.

How does Sabre compete for the airline's business?

an airline that works for them.

Q. You mentioned the airline side and the travel agent side.

A. You have to start with technology that meets all the needs of airlines that want to distribute what is an increasingly complex set of products to our travel agency customers to allow them to comparison shop and find the best alternatives for their customers. So it starts with the technology. Airlines do require a bunch of services of us. And then we have to compete on price to make sure we have a total proposition for

Q. It's been argued at this trial that every airline has to be in every GDS, and therefore there is really no competition for the airline's business. How does that compare with your

> SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C. (212) 805-0300

> > Sabr-Transcript-000006362

3585

Sabr-Transcrut-000006363

3586

GBS8SAB5 Klein - Direct

you will have a difficult time keeping their business.

Q. What would happen if Sabre couldn't or didn't offer travel agencies commissions?

A. I don't think we would have any business in the travel agency side of the business over time, and then there wouldn't be a network.

Q. Based on your 30 years in this business, or 28 I guess, and working with the travel agents and being in charge of Sabre's relationships with those travel agents, what choices do travel agents have in picking a distribution channel?

A. They both on a daily basis can go look for content anywhere. They do do that. They look for content wherever it might be to be able to serve their customers. Whenever we have a contract come up for bid at a travel agency, generally, we are in a competition to keep their business. They might evaluate what is new in our technology. They might evaluate our services package. And they cortainly talk to us about the commission that we pay them, and if somebody is paying them a higher commission, we have to negotiate through them.

Q. Tell us more about that process where you're in a bid or in a competition for a travel agent's business. What do you do to 22 retain a travel agent?

A. I mean, it's a negotiation process. Sometimes they put us through a formal bid process, if it's a bigger business. If it's a smaller family-owned business, sometimes they are just

> SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C. (212) 805-0300

GBS8SAB5

GB\$8SAB5

experience?

business?

travel agency customers.

1

2

3

4

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Klein - Direct

Klein - Direct

A. Yeah. It's not really how it works. With every airline,

we have to negotiate to have that airline participate in the

system. It's often part of their distribution strategy, but

competitivo package together, and we negotiate hard to get as

Q. Let's talk about the travel agents for a minute. Do you

MR. FRACKMAN: Maybe a little less leading.

Q. How does competition work for the travel agent's business?

A. On travel agency side of the business, they use our system

to run a big chunk of their business and to service and sell to

package that works for them. We have to have a set of services

that they can use when they need support and consulting around

how to use our system best. And we have to have competitive

Q. What role do those commissions that Sabre pays the travel

agents play in your competition for the travel agency business?

A. It plays an important role. It's part of the package, and

SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

(212) 805-0300

if you're not competitive on commissions, then at some point

commissions or else they won't use our product.

their customers. So, again, it starts with a technology

compete on the travel agency side for the travel agent's

THE COURT: Sustained.

that doesn't mean they have to do it. We have to put a

much content as we can from the airline to provide to our

talking to us about the offers that they have, or at least telling us what they want to tell us about the offers that they have, and see if we will do something different for them to be able to keep their business. And if we don't, then we will create a bid process and a competitive process and they will move business away from us.

Q. When a travel agent decides to stay with Sabre, if they have already been using Sabre, what does that say about the competitive process?

A. Look, I think if we have the business, we ought to be able to keep the business over time if we are doing a good job for the customer, but it means that we have competed fairly to keep the business.

Q. I am going to talk about full content now. We have talked in this trial a lot about the full content provisions in the contracts with the airlines, including US Airways.

Why do you negotiate for these full content

provisions? A. Well, it starts with what the travel agency needs to serve their customers. Travel agencies demand of us that we bring them as comprehensive a set of content as wo can. They want to find the best trip for their customer, and they have to comparison shop across our system to do that, and if they don't have comprehensive content, it's difficult for them to do their job. So our desire to have full content is because it's what

> SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C. (212) 805-0300

2

3

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

GBS8SAB5

Klein - Direct

we need to provide to our travel agencies so that they can serve consumers and corporations the way they need to. Q. We have heard that before the first round of the full content agreements that several airlines had withheld certain fares for their Web sites. I think we have heard them called Web fares. What was the reaction from your travel agency customers when that happened.

A. It was outrage.

MR. FRACKMAN: Objection. Hearsay.

THE COURT: Overruled. Just don't say what they said. A. The way that travel agents reacted was they talked to us about the services we provided and made it clear to us that if we didn't have comprehensive content, that their businesses were becoming less efficient and that their corporate customers were putting pressure on them. And we talked to corporations as well and corporations made it clear that they wanted to be able --

THE COURT: Let's just stop there. You're supposed to not say what they said. You can say what happened and what you did, but don't say what anyone said to you.

MR. FRACKMAN: I move to strike the hearsay.

THE COURT: It's stricken.

A. Let me talk about how corporate travel works.

Any big corporation that's purchasing travel requires that their employees follow some policy, often that says take

> SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C. (212) 805-0300

> > Sabr-Transcript-000006366

3589

Sabr-Transcript-000006367

Klein - Direct

segment -- consumers actually do shop differently. They look for the lowest fare first, and we have lots of data that says that. If a corporate travel agency is shopping, they will look for the best route first. For a consumer, typically, we look for the lowest fare, and then we decide if that's a good trip for us or not, and we might buy a higher fare if we choose to, but we shop by the lowest fare.

When an online travel agency -- and we owned one, Travelocity -- when Travelocity wasn't able to display the lowest fare, consumers just didn't trust the system. And you just have less customers coming through because they couldn't comparison shop effectively.

Q. How does Sabre's ability to offer full content from all the major airlines affect the travel agents and their customers,

A. It helps them find the bost trip at the lowest fare, at least in a source of content that's as comprehensive as anything out there. And again, that's what corporations and consumers want. It doesn't mean -- as I said, it doesn't mean they buy the lowest fare all the time, but they certainly want to see what all their options are, and they want to do it with some confidence.

content from all of the major airlines, how would that affect a

SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C. (212) 805-0300

GBS8SAB5

Klein - Direct

consumer, for instance, planning a trip on Travelocity for instance? A. Even a small gap in airline content creates lack of trust,

if a consumer has a feeling that they have to look at multiple places to see if they can kind of chase down the lowest fare. So the online travel agents really started as a way to create pricing -- the reason they were successful is consumers liked the idea that they can see all the prices, they can see a transparent view of this very complex airline pricing that's out there, and be able to have confidence that they are seeing

Q. You mentioned price transparency. What is price transparency?

all the options and buy something.

A. I just mean being able to see what is available at the time that you're looking to buy in an industry that's pretty complex. Because the airfares change pretty frequently and being able to see all the prices compared and all your options compared in one place, back in the early 90s, when the online travel agency started, was unique.

19 20 Q. How is offering or having the ability to offer price 21 transparency through Subre affect the price of airline tickets? 22 A. I think it allows consumers to find the lowest fares and be 23 able to compare, and again, decide whether they want to pick that trip or not. It creates price competition that wouldn't 24 25 be there if you didn't have that level of transparency.

SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

(212) 805-0300

Sabr-Transcript-000006368

Sabr-Transcript-000006369

3590

1

the consumers or the travelers?

Q. You mentioned Travelocity. If Sabre didn't have full content provisions and wasn't able to get access to full

a flight that's at the right time, but they always want to know

1

2

3

what it is. And when you're a big buyer of travel, you certainly don't want your suppliers to be playing shell games

GBS8SAB5

They expect the travel agency to be able to tell them that this 8 9 was the lowest fare, your traveler took this other fare, and

7

10 11 12

13 14 15

16 17 18

19 20

21 22

25

2

3

4

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

23

traditional travel agents for a minute, how important is

providing full content to your customers that service more the 24 leisure travelers or the OTAs?

A. That's absolutely critical because in the leisure travel

Klein - Direct

take your trip. They don't always buy the lowest fare, because

the lowest fare isn't sometimes flexible enough or it's not on

the lowest available fare that accomplishes your mission to

of, where's the lowest fare, I don't know where to find it.

you're able to evaluate whether your travel program is working.

On the consumer side of the market, travel agents were

So on the corporate side of the market, that's what was going

experiencing what you might expect. Consumers would call them

seeing a different fare. You're the expert, why don't you have

ability to be an expert makes our system less valuable to them

Q. You referenced the travel agency side or what I will call

the brick-and-mortar travel agency side. Putting aside the

and say, well, I'm looking over at this other source and I'm

that fare? And that deterioration in the travel agency's

and makes it harder for consumers to get what they need.

(212) 805-0300

SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

GBSBSAB5 Klein - Direct

Q. Price competition among whom?

A. Among the airlines.

1

2

3

4

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

20

21

22

23

24

25

to be delivered.

GBS8SAB5

Q. What would Sabre GDS be like if you had all non-full-content agreements?

5 A. The products -- I'm not sure that we would have a business 6 over time. If you didn't have full content agreements, and 7 nobody knew where they needed to go to get the best deals or 8

didn't know which airlines -- what airline had what content in your system, it would be not very useful for travel agencies and it wouldn't allow for comparison shopping.

Q. How does Sabre's ability to provide full content help the

airlines? A. The buyers of travel, when they are using a travel agency, have confidence that they are going to be able to -- they are talking to an expert and they are going to have the best shot at finding the best deal that meets their needs. When they have that confidence, they actually buy in a frictionless way. There is not a barrier to them buying because they trust the travel agent they are dealing with. They trust themselves if they are looking at an online travel agency and see all the options. So it allows airlines to distribute in a seamless way to some of their most valuable customers, and it also provides a bunch of services that those customers that buy through the travel agencies need. And our technology allows the services

> SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C. (212) 905-0300

> > Sabr-Transcript-000006370

Sabr-Transcript-000006372

3593

Sabr-Transcript-000006371

3594

3592

Klein - Direct

Q. I want to look at an exhibit that we have seen up on the screen a couple of times. This is a demonstrative exhibit from US Airways. It's their demonstrative exhibit 1096. And they

have shown the revenues from Sabre in the red, and then the booking fees on US Airways flights in the blue slice on the right.

Based on your experience, what would the likely impact be on Sabre of losing US Airways content, just those light blue bookings fee from US Airways?

A. No. I think we would be at significant risk of losing, first, the biggest travel management companies that do business across the United States. There's four or five agencies that make up a huge chunk of our business, almost half. We would be at significant risk of losing them. They all use other GDSs already. It would shift business. Those are the four or five up there. I think we would lose a significant amount of

lose other business as well. So this would be devastating. 18 19 Q. Let me ask about Sabre Demonstrative Exhibit 28 that I put

up. Tell us what this shows.

business in a relatively short period of time, and we would

A. That shows the five travel agents. So Expedia, American Express, Carlson Wagonlit, BCD, and Hogg Robinson make up about 48 percent of our business. Again, all those five agencies use at least one other GDS. I think they probably all use all

three GDSs, and I think we would lose a significant portion of

SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

(212) 805-0300

GBS8SAB5 Klein - Direct

that business.

would lose business.

GBSBSAB5

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24 25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

20

21

22

25

Klein - Direct

Q. What would happen to Sabre if a major airline like US

time, we would lose a significant amount of our business.

Airways pulled its content or pulled its fares out of Sabre?

Q. If you lost content from a major airline, would you just

lose those US Airway bookings or what would the effect be?

A. No. If we lost content -- let's just use a couple of

big in Pittsburgh. They were very big here operating the

shuttle market between New York and Boston and Washington.

Travel agents that sold in those markets wouldn't find our

use somebody else's system to get to US Airways.

system useful at all if we didn't have US Airways content, and

they would use somebody clae's system for everything, not just

Q. What about for travel agents even outside of those markets?

fourth largest airline in the United States at the time, one of

the biggest in the world, and we would lose business in other

places as well, but I use that as an example because in some

markets it would be a significant part of what needed to be

sold. But even if you had just a couple of markets, if you

content gap for the agents that have to sell in that market,

only had a couple of flights out of a market, it still is a big

and it would make our product much less valuable and I think we

SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

(212) 805-0300

A. These are big companies and big airlines. This was the

examples. US Airways was very big in Phoenix. They were very

A. If we didn't have any one major airline for some period of

Q. I want to switch topics to airline booking fees.

How does an airline's willingness to provide Sabre with full content affect the booking fees that Sabre is willing to negotiate?

A. One of the trades that we make in a negotiation with an airline is if they provide us with full content that drives value for our customers on the travel agency side of the marketplace, that we generally are more flexible with price, and they will get a lower price for providing us with full

Q. Why?

content.

A. We only have one place to get their content, it's from them. So I can't get US Airways' content from Delta. I have to go to US Airways. If they are offering -- if they will give full content as a trade for a lower price, that's generally a decent trade, and it's good for our travel agency customers

17 that need that content. 18

Q. How does the volume of bookings that an airline sends 19 through Sabre affect the booking fee?

higher volume producers get a lower price.

A. Like most businesses, it plays into it, and usually the

Q. How does the basket of services that an airline chooses to 23 purchase through Sabre affect the booking fee? 24

A. It at times does. Different airlines do use different sets

SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C. {212} 905-0300

or price.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

contracts?

ticket.

GBS8SA85

a particular big market.

advantage over its competitors?

competitors compete in this industry?

Klein - Direct

A. No, not necessarily. Most airlines, they can put their

A. We compote on the technology product that we provide, we

compete on the services side, and we compete with commissions

Q. We have heard that US Air paid Sabre a booking fee of 3.41

per booking or per segment, and it's been suggested here that

it may cost less for booking for US Air that comes through, for

instance, its Web site. Assuming that's true for a minute, why

broader set of services in the GDS system than you might see at

airline is big and the airline spends a little bit of marketing

product, and US Airways in this case all over the world, many,

many times a day. It puts the product on display, essentially

SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

(212) 805-0300

Klein - Direct

Q. What additional marketing costs or costs for that phone

The other thing that happens is the service load for

the airline Web site. The airline Web site captures a lot of

low-fare travelers that are generally in markets where the

money or is known. The Sabre GDS displays an airline's

markets it for the airline, and they only pay us when the

product is actually purchased, when they actually sell a

should US Airways pay 3.41 for booking through Sabre?

A. A little bit is you get what you pay for. There's a

full content anywhere they want, and generally they do.

Q. If there is no competitive advantage, how do the

GBS8SAB5 Klein - Direct

1

2

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

GBS8SAB5

of services. Sometimes they are buying up and paying us a little bit more because they want more services, and other times they are using a smaller set of services and don't pay us quite as much.

Q. We will talk about some specific booking fees as we move down the road here, but, generally, in your tenure in the last decade or more of being the president and the CEO, what has generally happened to the booking fee Sabre is charging?

A. In North America, it has come down significantly. In the United States market, it has come down around 30, 35 percent.

Q. What has caused that decline?

A. The airlines in the US have quite a bit of leverage. The airlines are bigger than they used to be. There has been new competitors that have at times tried to come into the market and given the airlines leverage to push price down, and they are good negotiators. We had an industry that was regulated back in the 2000s. Most of the time it was regulated was when airlines owned these systems. The market is now deregulated and what you would expect in a deregulated market happened. Prices came down. There was negotiations, like this negotiation, for content for price, and it's resulted as you would expect in a deregulated market.

Q. Let's spend a couple of minutes on the full content provisions themselves.

Do the full content provisions give Sabre any

SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C. (212) 805-0300

Klein - Direct

Sabr-Transcript-000006374

3597

Sabr-Transcript-000006375

3598

the airline for these higher value customers who demand services is off-loaded to the travel agencies who use our system to service the traveler. Our estimates are one phone call to an airline or a hotelier costs about \$25 for an airline or a hotel to take the call. It's just one call. So that service burden moves over to the travel agency. And there's a broad set of things that airlines do that are complex. Airlines share passengers across different airlines. So they do codeshare where one airline markets another airline. They do interline where a passenger gets to transfer from one flight to another flight on a different airline and the bag gets transferred seamlessly and all of those things. Selling that type of product is difficult on an airline Web site. It works seamlessly in Sabre in the travel agency market. Q. You mentioned the cost to an airline of processing a phone call, for instance, on a booking made through its Heb site. Are there additional costs for the airline on, for instance, a Web site booking compared to a booking that comes through Sabre? A. Yeah. I think they have to -- as I said, they do all the servicing. They have to keep the Web site current, which is getting more and more expensive, and they have to spend

SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C. (212) 805-0300

business everywhere, even if they don't have a big presence in

marketing money to market their product. It's increasingly

expensive to do that, especially airlines that want to get

call does US Airways incur for a booking through Sabre? A. None. Q. Let's talk specifically now about the negotiations. I want to start with the 2006 negotiations and then we will move to the 2011 negotiations. When Sabre and US Airways began the negotiations for the 2006 contract, what was your position at Sabre? A. I believe I was group president of Sabre Airline Solutions and Sabre Travel Notwork. Q. So Sabre Travel Network is the GDS side? A. Yes, it is. Q. Let's focus on that for a minute. Describe your responsibilities over the GDS business at that time? A. I was roally rosponsible for the operation of the business and the performance of the business and our relationships with our airline customers and travel agency customers. Q. In that 2005-2006 time frame, what was the status,

SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C. (212) 805-0300

before. They were coming up for renewal. So most of the big

airlines in the US had a contract that was going to expire

generally, of Sabre's contracts with the airlines, tho GDS

A. Our contracts were -- we had contracts that had a

three-year term that we had negotiated a couple of years

Sabr-Transcript-000006376

Gbs1sab6

1

2

3

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

BY MR. LIND:

contracts?

Klein - Direct

Q. What was your involvement in setting Sabre's negotiation

A. I mean, I was involved from the standpoint of, you know, as

trying to understand what our airline customers were asking for

and how we might navigate to a -- to agreements that got them

what they needed and got us what we needed for our customers,

on the travel industry side of the market. So at a high level

had relationships across the industry, I was involved with some

executive conversations at some of the airlines where -- where

Q. And at a high level -- again, we'll get in more detail, but

what was Sabre's strategy for the 2006 contract negotiations?

A. Well, we had -- we had a couple things that we were trying

to get done. One, we had airlines telling us that they wanted

and needed a lower price. They'd gotten a price reduction in

the price come down. They made it clear to us that they

content, as I talked about, because that's what our travel

agency customers needed, and there were some new types of

our DCA3 contracts which we had done three years prior. We saw

expected the price to come down again. We wanted to have full

I knew -- knew some of the executive teams.

I was involved in the strategy and I was also, you know -- I

best we could, trying -- I was involved in the strategy so

strategy for those negotiations for the 2006 round of

GBS8SAB5 Klein - Direct sometime in that 2005 or '06 time frame. 1 2 Q. Did that include US Airways? 3 A. It included US Airways' contract, yes. 4 (Continued on next page) 5 6 7 В 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C. (212) 805-0300

SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C. (212) 805-0300

content that -- that airlines were talking about withholding

Sabr-Transcript-000006378

Sabr-Transcript-000006379

3601 Gbslsab6 Klein - Direct from us. As an example, seats were one of the things that 1 2 airlines talked about as not being part of the full content 3 agreement. We thought they were. Airlines said they weren't, and so we had a debate about that. We thought we had to expand 5 our definition a bit and make sure we got as much content as we 6 could. Q. We'll get into the seats issue. The seats issue is primarily during which contract negotiation? 8 9 A. The 2011 contracts. 10 Q. Starting with 2006, what was your involvement specifically 11 with the negotiations with US Airways? A. I, you know, I was aware of the negotiation. I had a few 12 13 conversations with == with Mr. Kirby, who was president of the airline at that time. 14 15 Q. And at that time what was your relationship like with Mr. Kirby? 16 A. You know, my -- Mr. Kirby, he had started his career at 17 18 Sabre, he -- he had -- he had run America West. We had sold 19 products to America West, on the airline solution side of the 20 business so had a, you know, a good relationship with 21 Mr. Kirby, felt like we could pick up the phone and talk to 22 each other whenever we needed to. 23 Q. And what was Mr. Kirby like as a negotiator? 24 A. Tough negotiator. He, you know -- he's a hard-nosed

SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C. (212) 805-0300

executive. He's been very successful in the airline business,

25

3602 Klein - Direct Gbslsab6 and I think it's a tough business. You know, he's been 1 involved with a couple different smaller airlines that took 2 over big airlines. America West took over US Airways, US 3 Airways took over American. He's a tough negotiator. 4 5 MR. LIND: Your Honor, could I stretch for a minute? THE COURT: Yes. 7 MR. LIND: Thank you. 8 THE COURT: Everybody up. 9 Okay. 10 MR. LIND: Thank you. 11 BY MR. LIND: Q. And we're going to get into some detail on this, but what 12 generally did your discussions with Mr. Kirby regarding the 13 contract renewals back in the 2005 time frame for the 2006 14 15 contract involve? A. You know, again, we'd talk about what we thought we needed 16 for travel agents. Mr. Kirby generally was pretty focused on 17 18 price. Q. And what was the general gist of -- and we'll go again into 19 20 some detail, but -21 A. Right. 22 Q. -- but that approach at the negotiation? 23 A. Look, again, we had our teams negotiating, and my 24 discussions with Mr. Kirby were, again, generally around a full 25 content deal, and at the time America -- whon we first started

SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C. (212) 805-0300

Gbs1sab6

1

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

content?

Klein - Direct

A. Yeah, I -- once the merger was announced, the tone of the

conversations changed in one sense; they didn't change in the

distribution costs. He did -- he didn't ever bring up this

idea of nonfull content. America -- sorry -- US Airways was a

Q. During your negotiations, what, if anything, did you say to

A. We never had that conversation. It would have been counter

content agreement. We were excited about the combined airline

having a full content agreement, and we knew it would be tough

Airways used the Sabre reservation system internally. From an

reservation system. So we had -- we had -- we had no intent of

doing anything but try to get the full content to US Airways or

Mr. Kirby about whether Sabre intended to remove US Airways'

to what our objectives were. You know, we had a few things

going on. One, as I said, America West didn't have a full

to negotiate, but we were excited about that prospect. US

airline solutions team, airline solutions business, we were

hoping that the combined air -- airline would use the Sabre

this new bigger airline into the Sabre system.

full content agreement. The airline was a different type of

other. Mr. Kirby was consistent that he wanted lower

airline than America West. And -- and he seemed to be

confortable with full content agreement and was primarily

focused on -- on distribution costs, or the booking fee.

Gbslsab6 Klein - Direct these discussions, America West didn't have a full content deal 2 with Sabre. We wanted one. And Mr. Kirby was talking to me 3 about one. He wanted lower distribution costs, and secondly, that maybe there was a path towards -- towards full content, 5 and that was when, again, America West had a less than full 6 content deal. Q. And there came a time when America West and US Airways merged or at least announced their merger originally in --A. That's right. 10 Q. -- middle of 2005, I believe? 11 A. That's correct. 12 Q. And at that time did Mr. Kirby become your contact for the 13 sort of merged airlines, America West and US Air? 14 A. He did. He did. 15 Q. During your contract negotiations with Mr. Kirby for the 16 merged airline agreement, did he ever ask you for an agreement 17 that did not need full content? 18 MR. FRACKHAN: Objection. Leading. 19 THE COURT: Sustained. 20 Q. What, if anything, did Mr. Kirby say in your negotiations 21 about content and whether they wanted a nonfull content 22 agreement? 23 THE COURT: How about content?

SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C. (212) 905-0300

MR. LIND: Fine.

THE COURT: Okay. Go ahead.

24

25

1

2

3

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Sabr-Transcript-000006382

3605

Sabr-Transcript-000006383

Gbslsab6 Klein - Direct would bias US Airways flights if he didn't agree to certain terms. What, if anything, did you say to Mr. Kirby on that issue? A. I didn't say anything like that to Mr. Kirby. Again, it would have been counter to what we were trying to do, which is get US Airways content into the system. Q. How about zeroing out inventory? MR. FRACKMAN: Your Honor, it's all leading. THE COURT: Woll, the last question, the one just asked was, so sustained, but the prior one was not. MR. LIND: Your Honor, they came and made these accusations, and Mr. Klein has the right to rebut them. THE COURT: I understand. We had this discussion. And the form of your prior question was just fine, but if you could just reask that last one. MR. LIND: Thank you. BY MR. LIND: Q. There's been testimony that you threatened Mr. Kirby that Sabre would zero out inventory of US Airways flights and fares if he didn't agree to certain terms. What, if anything, did you say to Mr. Kirby on that issue? A. I never would say that to Mr. Kirby, and again, it was counter to the objectives we had.

> SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C. (212) 805-0300

kick Mr. Kirby or to kick US Airways out of the Sabre system if

Q. Well, and there's been testimony that you threatened to

Q. There has been testimony that you told Mr. Kirby that you SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C. (212) 805-0300 3606 Gbslsab6 Klein - Direct they didn't agree to certain terms. What, if anything, did you say about that with your conversation with Mr. Kirby? A. Never had a conversation like that with Mr. Kirby. In fact, it was quite the opposite. He talked about taking US Airways completely out of the Sabre system. Q. Now what did Sabre want to see in terms of whether US Airways succeeded as an airline? A. We wanted them to be successful. I mean, we wanted, you know -- this was a time when the airline industry in the United States was -- was consolidating, so this wasn't the first airline merger, it wouldn't be the last, over this past decade, and, you know, more competition in our view was better, better for consumers, it was better for our airline travel agency, Travelocity, and it's better for Sabre, so we wanted a strong fourth competitor, and this was a, you know, an airline that was coming together with a new strategy and we thought, you know, we could help them be successful and we were excited about that. Q. How would taking any action that would threaten US Airways' existence as an airline have fit with your strategy? A. It wouldn't have. It would have been counter to our Q. What did Mr. Kirby say to you during the negotiations regarding whether or not US Airways intended to continue providing flights and fares to Sabre?

> SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C. (212) 805-0300

Gbslsab6

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

Klein - Direct

A. Mr. Kirby made it clear that he had a price objective that he wanted to get from us, he wanted a booking fee at a certain price, and that if he couldn't get the economics that he wanted, that he would be compelled to take US Airways out of the Sabre system.

- Q. How did you take his statements?
- A. I took them very seriously, and he's not a -- he's not a -- somebody that you wouldn't take seriously.
- Q. What effect would that have had on Sabre?
- 10 A. As -- as we talked about, it would have been devastating
- for our business, had -- it would have been devastating for
- Travelocity, our online travel agency, and it would have been devastating for our GDS business.
- Q. All right. We're going to come back and walk through those negotiations in some detail, but as you went into the 2006
- 16 contract negotiations, how did you view your negotiating
- position or strength compared to the airlines?
- A. These are big entities. There's only one place for us to get content, and that's from the airline. Again, we can only
- 20 get US Airways content from US Airways. US Airways could put
- 21 their content in a lot of different places. That creates an 22 imbalance in the negotiation, and we always feel like we have
- 23 to do our best to get as much content for our customers as we
- can at a price that's fair.

 25 Q. How did the size of US Airways at the time affect the

SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C. (212) 805-0300

Sabr-Transcript-000006386

3609

A. I think we met -- we met in early 2005. I believe that was before the -- the merger was announced. But that was the first time wo met.

Klein - Direct

- Q. Do you remember where that meeting took place?
- A. I do. It was in Callas at a restaurant called Pappasito's, which is a taco joint in Dallas.
- Q. Why do you remember it was there?

Gbslsab6

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

13

14

15

16

25

- A. It was -- it's one of Mr. Kirby's favorite places. He used to live in Dallas.
- Q. All right. Let's look at Exhibit 1452 in evidence. This is a calendar invite for February 16, 2005. Just tell us what this is.
 - A. It's just a note from my assistant to -- to two people at Travelocity. Michelle Peluso is the CEO there; Tracey Weber was the COO, the chief operating officer there; and Toni Beeny was somebody on our Travel Network team. That was the primary
- contact for US Airways.

 Q. Why do you have Travelocity people at this kickoff meeting?

 A. Well, we -- both companies, you know -- we're both -- we're
- 20 all one company. Travelocity and Sabre were one company at the time. We both had relationships with -- with -- with US
- 22 Birman or at this point Braying West Translocity upg
- 22 Airways or at this point America West. Travelocity was
 23 was -- the way that travel agencies work, they're given a
- 24 ticketing agreement by the airline, so America West provided
 - Travelocity with a ticketing agreement and they were a ticket

SCUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

(212) 805-0300

Gbslsab6 Klein - Direct

negotiations?

1

2 A. They had just gotten quite a bit bigger, so that's more leverage.

- Q. If you didn't have US Airways content, presumably you could
- 5 still sell Delta or United, so why does it matter whother you
- have US Airways too?
- 7 A. As I said, you know, I mean, travel agencies proposition to
- 8 their customers or what they -- promise that travel agencies
- 9 made to their customers, that they're experts, that they're
- 10 going to comparison shop, and they're going to find the best
- 11 trip, the lowest fare, or the best itinerary for the traveler,
- 12 and if you don't have content of the fourth largest airline in
- 13 the United States, you can't deliver on that promise to
- customers, and so if our travel agencies can't use our
- 15 technology to deliver to their customers, to consumers, then we
- 16 don't have a business over time.
- 17 Q. And how did the risk that you might not get content from US
- 18 Airways affect the negotiation?
- 19 A. It gave them a fair amount of leverage and it certainly
- 20 informed our, you know, our offer that, as we went to the
- 21 negotiating process and, you know, resulted in a much lower
- 22 price for US Airways.
- 23 Q. Let's go through those negotiations, starting with 2006.
- When did you first meet with Mr. Kirby to sort of kick off the negotiations for the 2006 agreement?

SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

(212) 805-0300

Sabr-Transcript-000006387

3610

3608

Gbslsab6 Klein

slsab6 Klein - Direct

- agent for America West. And they received commissions from
 America West, and we had the booking arrangement with America
 - West for the GDS.

3

- Q. Did both Sabre GDS and Travelocity need separate agreements
 with America Hest and US Airways?
- 6 A. Yeah, they had separate agreements, yea.
- 7 Q. And what was the just general purpose of this meeting?
- A. This was really a kickoff session to just talk about what our mutual objectives were, what America West needed from us, how we thought about the business and where it was going and
- what we needed from them; really just a cordial kickoff.
- Q. In this initial meeting what did Mr. Kirby say about his goals in the next round of contract negotiations?
- A. We talked about the fact that America West might be willing to do something different than they had been doing, which was,
- 16 you know, they were, as I said, not a full content carrier at
- this time, but we talked about the idea of full content, and
- 18 Mr. Kirby made it clear that he wanted a to have lower costs
 19 and in the form of a lower booking fee.
- Q. At some point -- this is in February. In the months I
 think pretty soon after this did US Airways and America West
- 22 announce they were merging?
 23 A. Yes, they did.
- Q. How did that merger announcement affect the timing or the approach to this next round of contract negotiations?

SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

(212) 805-0300

point?

A. I an.

A. Yes, I did.

1

2

3

4

5

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

6

7

9

10

15

23

24

Gbslsab6

1

2

3

6

7

θ

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Klein - Direct

A. Well, it was important, you know, again, smaller airline, America West, Mr. Kirby and Mr. Parker, were backed by investors to take over US Airways, so it was going to be a bigger airline. The bigger airline in the merger had a full content agreement, and we hoped to have a negotiation that would allow us to get full content from the new airline.

Q. In terms of when these airline agreements were expiring, sort of the last version, how did US Airways and America West fit in and how did that affect the timing?

A. Yeah, I think -- I believe that US Airways was -- was one of the earlier agreements expiring so we -- it was one of the

MR. LIND: And your Honor, depending on your timing today, I know we're three minutes away from our 4:45 at least ending.

THE COURT: 5:02.

MR. LIND: Okay. Good.

ones that we would have to get done soonest.

Q. So at some point -- this is February now. The merger I believe was announced in May. At some point in the summer of 2005, after the merger, did you talk to Mr. Kirby about a new agreement for both the airlines?

A. I did.

MR. FRACKMAN: Well, your Honor, objection, leading.

MR. LIND: This is just background.

THE COURT: This is just foundation, directing him to

SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C. (212) 805-0300

Sabr-Transcript-000006390

Sabr-Transcript-000006391

3614

3613

Gbslaab6 Klein - Direct

be clear that we weren't thinking that. And I also wanted to convey to Mr. Kirby that there were a couple options with the existing US Airways contract.

Q. All right. So let's walk through those.

First you say, "Let me clear the air on this one -there has been absolutely no discussion about kicking US
Airways out of Sabre." What did you mean by that?

A. Just -- just what I said. I -- as I mentioned, our team
came to me and -- and told me that one of the folks at America
West had suggested that that was what we were thinking. I
wanted to make it clear to Mr. Kirby we weren't thinking that
at all.

Q. You also wrote that, "We have a contract that expires -- it can renew for 12 months or snap back to preDCA3 price points."

Explain what you were saying to Mr. Kirby there.

A. Yeah. There's -- there were two options. One was, we had a contract in place with US Airways, it had full content in it, that was their obligation, and -- and a lower price point for that full content. If neither one of us canceled that contract, it would go forward for another year. And that was one option. We weren't going to cancel it.

And the second option was that they could choose to go to a nonfull content agreement at -- it says preDCA price point. That's a higher price.

So the first option is stay in your full content

SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C. (212) 805-0300

Gbs1sab6 Klein - Direct

agreement, low price; take a nonfull content agreement for US Airways to higher price.

Klein - Direct

Q. And what was Mr. Kirby's role in the negotiation at that

responsible for the agreement between the Sabre GDS and the

evidence. I'm showing you an email from you to Mr. Kirby dated

Q. The subject line here says, US Airways. Tell us at a high

A. Yeah. Mr. Kirby was going to be the responsible executive

for the combined airline. As I mentioned, we were still having

happened yet, and I was making -- I wanted to make it clear to

discussions with -- with America West, that we had been talking

to US Airways, their contract was coming up soon, that we had

no intention of doing any -- anything irrational or counter to

Sabre, which somebody on the team had mentioned as one of our

mentioned that we might be thinking that. We were -- wanted to

SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

(212) 805-0300

our objectives or their objectives, like kicking US out of

options. One of the airline folks at Aperica West had

Mr. Kirby, based on something that our team had picked up in

conversations with both airlines because the merger hadn't

A. He was the executive responsible for -- eventually

Q. Let's look at Defendant's Exhibit 1334. This is in

July 18th of 2005. Are you familiar with this?

a point in time. It's allowed. Go ahead.

combined -- the new combined airline.

level what this email relates to.

Q. And let's walk through -- first I want to ask you about -just jump back here. When you said there's absolutely no
discussion about kicking US Airways out of Sabre, how did that
email that you sent to Mr. Kirby compare with your oral
discussions with him?

8 A. It was consistent.

Q. I want to break this down on two options that you talked about.

First, you talked about it can renew for 12 months.

12 That was a DCA3 agreement?

13 A. That was a DCA3 agreement, yes.

Q. And what was that in terms of full content versus nonfull

16 A. Full content agreement.

17 Q. And then you say, or US Airways could snap back to the

18 preDCA3 price points. And the preDCA3 agreement, is that a

19 | full content or nonfull content?

20 A. It was a nonfull content agreement.

content; what kind of agreement was that?

21 Q. But how does the price --

22 A. Higher booking fee.

Q. Next, let's focus on the next line for a minute. You say,

"We also are suggesting that any deal cut with HP -- first of

25 | all, who's HP?

SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

(212) 805-0300

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

6

7

9

21

Gbslsab6

A. Yes.

A. No.

model?

A. No.

guess, merged airline?

Klein - Direct

agreement. It's a higher price point. It was essentially the

Q. And that would be for both airlines, or the combined, I

Q. What was Mr. Kirby's response to your offer to put the new

Q. Did Mr. Kirby say anything to you about wanting to convert

this new merged airline to the America West nonfull content

Q. And based on your conversations with Mr. Kirby, what was

your understanding of why the new merged airline negotiated for

a full content agreement after at least the America West arm of

A. A couple reasons. One, US Airways was a different kind of

travelers. I mentioned exampling the shuttle markets hired on

travelers typically buy their services through travel agencies.

SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C. (212) 805-0300

the East Coast, heavy business markets, and those business

So the distribution strategy for the new airline would be

airline than America West, and the combined airline would be

more interested and was set up more to handle business

merged airline under a nonfull content agreement?

Q. Did he express any interest in that option to you?

A. He never talked to me about that option.

it had had a nonfull content agreement?

same kind of deal that America West had.

3615 Gbslsab6 Klein - Direct 1 A. That's the airline code for America West. Makes no sense, 2 but that's what it is. 3 Q. So you're saying that, "We're also suggesting that any deal 4 cut with America West would have a roll-in provision for US 5 Airways." What did you mean by that or what are you referring 6 to? 7 A. Well, since -- since Mr. Kirby's team at America West had В already started negotiating with us and since he was going to 9 be responsible, we were saying that, look, if that negotiation 10 continues, then we'll certainly create a provision so that 11 the -- the new merger airline would roll into the new contract. 12 Q. In torms of the two options, the merged airline together 13 would have either way?

A. Yes. 14

15

16

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

14

15

16

19

20

24

25

Gbs1sab6

Q. And how did your offer to Mr. Kirby regarding going to the preDCA3 agreement compare to the type of agreement that America West had at the time?

18

17 A. It was very similar. Q. Let me look briefly at a demonstrative Exhibit 156, which 19 20 we went through with Mr. Webb, but this just lays out the 21 different contracts and the full content versus nonfull content 22 contracts. How does the preDCA3 agreement that you offered 23 Mr. Kirby for the combined airline fit in here?

A. It would just extend that bottom red line all the way

across, so it's a -- it's a less than full -- nonfull content

SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C. (212) 805-0300

Sabr-Transcript-000006394

3617

Sabr-Transcript-000006395

3618

3616

different. I think the second reason was, Mr. Kirby expressed and said publicly that they needed to take a lot of cost out of the merged airline. That was what they committed to investors and this was a way to -- full content came with a load of costs, so it was a revenue benefit on the customer side and a cost benefit on the booking fee side. Q. Go back to your email real quick, Defendant's 1334. So after this email exchange in July of 2005 with Mr. Kirby, what happened to the US Airways contract?

Klein - Direct

11 A. It actually did roll over. Neither party canceled it, so 12 it extended for a one-year period. 13

Q. And did you continue having conversations with Mr. Kirby that summer about negotiating a new agreement for the new merged airline?

λ. Yes, we did. And I did. 16

17 Q. Let's move to Exhibit 1333, which is in evidence.

Defendant's 1333. This is an email from Mr. Kirby to you dated

August 8 of 2005. Can you tell me -- and we'll go through this in some detail, but can you tell me at a high level generally

21 what this email related to, or this discussion.

22 A. Yes. It relates to the -- that -- the rollover of the

23 contract that we just talked about, so -- and it relates to

Mr. Kirby's expressing a desire for a lower price point on the booking fee.

(212) 805-0300

SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

Gbs1sab6 Klein - Direct

Q. It references a press release relating to the rollover.

Why did you put out a press release announcing the rollover? A. There was, you know -- the market knew, our travel agency customers knew, and I think investors knew too that the US

Airways contract was coming up for renewal. That's big newa. We couldn't be silent about it. We had executed a one-year extension. And we just put out a short release that let -- let our customers know and let our investors know that we had done

Q. Mr. Kirby also says here, he references, because US Airways 10

11 had been in bankruptcy, we can therefore simply reject the Sabre contract. What did you understand that to mean? 12

13 A. It meant that he was serious -- I took it to mean that he

14 was seriously considering rejecting our contract. That's -- he had that right. And pulling the airline out of Sabre. 15

Q. And how did Mr. Kirby's message that US Air could simply 16 17 reject this contract with Sabre affect your position or your

approach to the negotiations? 18

A. It created additional leverage for US Airways, and I think 19 20 it resulted in us responding in the next round with a --- with a

lower price.

Q. How did you take the threat? 22

23 A. I -- I took it seriously.

24 Q. What would it have meant to your company if US Airways had

25 simply rejected the contract?

> SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C. (212) 805-0300

1

2

3

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

25

1

2

5

6

7

12

13

14

15

17

20

21

22

23

24

25

A. Again, an airline of this size, this is a -- this is a -- a

newly formed fourth largest airline in the United States. If they pulled out of -- out of the Sabre system, it would have been devastating for our business, and I think we would have, again, lost a lot of business pretty immediately.

Klein - Direct

Q. Lot's move to the next paragraph. He says to you, "While we are anxious to discuss new terms with you and would like to develop a better long-term partnership with Sabre, we unequivocally will not affirm the existing contract." What did

unequivocally will not affirm the existing contract. " What did you understand that to mean?

A. I understood it to mean that, again, that he was very willing to exercise his right to — to not accept the contract through the court, as he said in the first paragraph, on the new terms and would like to develop a long-term partnership.

Again, I — we hoped to get full content for the combined airline, and that's — that's what he and I had been talking about, and I assume that's what he meant there.

Q. And at the end he says, "We would very much like to complete a new deal with Sabre but certainly aren't interested in the old economics." What did you understand that to mean in terms of how the negotiation would go forward?

A. That, well, he wanted a lower price, and we would need to be responsive to it if we wanted to keep his airline in our system.

Q. And what did you understand the old economics to mean?

SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C. (212) 805-0300

Sabr-Transcript-000006398

3521

Sabr-Transcript-000006399

3622

protection. The right to, that is, reject the Sabre contract in its entirety if it chooses and drop out of the Sabre system. What are you referring to there?

A. That's -- it's the right that he expressed to me that he

had. I was just telling him that I understood that that was very much within his legal rights and that, you know, that those two things were tied, that they could stop, you know they could reject the contract and they could pull their content out of the system.

Q. And what would it have meant to Sabre if they dropped out of the system at this point?

A. Again, it would -- it would have been devastating to us and -- and we would have lost business pretty much immediately.

Q. All right. The last line of your email, you say, "Thanks, and let's talk soon." This is in August 2005. Did you talk to

16 Mr. Kirby shortly thereafter?

A. I believe I did, yes.

Q. And tell us generally what you discussed in your phone call with him following this email exchange.

with him following this email exchange.

A. We talked about a variety of things, which I wound up documenting because they were — I thought they were important to the negotiation. We talked about his -- his -- he made it clear to me that not only was -- was he serious in his threats here but that he now had investor support to pull US Airways out of Sabre. These are the new investors who invested in the

SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C. (212) 805-0300

Q. Was this email consistent with your conversations with Mr. Kirby? A. It was. Q. Did you end up responding to him in this email? A. I did. Q. Lot's look at Defendant's Exhibit 1463. This is in evidence. This is an email dated August 8, 2005, from you to Mr. Kirby. I think that's the same day, a couple hours later. And we'll walk through this in a little bit of detail, but generally, what did this email relate to? A. I -- it was, you know -- I responded to his comments on the press release, just said that we thought it was factual, and affirmed that we understood his right, US Airways' right to not assume the contract or to be able to cancel the contract, and wanted to make sure that we had a chance to talk soon. Q. And let's focus on -- and I tried to make that bigger but it didn't get any bigger. You also write, we are certainly aware that US Airways has that right under the bankruptcy SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C. (212) 805-0300

Klein - Direct

A. The existing US Airways contract or the existing America

Q. And what did Mr. Kirby say about content in his email or

Q. And at the time what kind of contract did US Airways have?

your discussions with him at this time?

A. He didn't mention it at all.

A. A full content agreement.

Gbslsab6

West contract.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

7

8

9

24

25

Gbslsab6 Klein - Direct

merged airline. He made it clear that he wanted to continue conversations but that -- that a lower price point was the only way that we were going to be able to get to -- get US Airways content in our system.

Q. Did he make any explicit suggestions about pulling out of Sabro?

A. He -- yeah, he made it clear that he had the support of his investors to pull out of Sabro, and for some -- even, for some of his investors, that it was the preferred option.

10 Q. How did you take that threat?

11 A. I took it extremely seriously.

Q. In your call with him following up on these emails, what, if anything, did Mr. Kirby say about providing content or wanting nonfull content, or his desire to withhold content?

15 A. Yeah, we -- we didn't have a discussion about anything 16 other than full content. He mentioned that -- I believe he 17 mentioned that -- that the airline would look at kind of 18 industry standard terms, which meant what the other big 19 airlines were doing, which were full content agreements. He 20 mentioned a most favored nations clause, which is something he 21 desired, which means that if we negotiated with some other airlines a lower price, that he would like access to that lower 22 23 price. So that was the gist of the conversation. I documented

it and sent it around to our team because I — it was really

important to the discussions we were having at the time.

SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C. (212) 805-0300

```
Gbslsab6
                               Kloin - Direct
     Q. Why did you document your call with him?
1
2
     A. It's pretty much whenever I -- I mean, that type of call
      where we were talking about very specific things and the type
      of threat that he made, I thought it was important to document
      that and send it around to our team. It's kind of normal
 6
      course of business.
7
               MR. LIND: And your Honor, I'm going to walk through
 8
      that. It might take more than a couple minutes, so I'd rather
9
     do that all at once, if we can break today.
               THE COURT: That's fine.
10
11
               Okay, ladies and gentlemen. We will come back
12
      tomorrow, same time, same place. Please don't talk about the
13
      case.
               (Continued on next page)
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
                     SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.
```

(212) 805-0300

Kloin - Direct Gbs1sab6 (Jury not present) 1 2 THE COURT: I have only a telephone conference this 3 evening, so if you want to leave materials on the tables, 4 you're free to do that. 5 ALL COUNSEL: Thank you, your Honor. 6 (Adjourned to November 29, 2016, at 9:15 a.m.) 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25

> SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C. (212) 805-0300

Sabr-Transcript-000006402

3625

Sabr-Transcript-000006403

```
INDEX OF EXAMINATION
1
  Examination of:
2
                     Page
  GREG WEBB
  Cross By Mr. Frackman _____.3428
  5
6
  Cross By Mr. Frackman _____.3541
  7
  Recross By Mr. Frackman .3565
8
  Redirect By Ms. Giulianelli .3566
9
  TOM KLEIN
10
  11
12
           PLAINTIFF EXHIBITS
                      Received
  Exhibit No.
13
14
  15
     1 1 1 1 1 1 - - - - - - - - 1 1 1 .3442
16
  17
  16
    1 ( ) ( ) ( ) = = = = = ( ) ( ) ( ) ( ) .3477
19
     20
     21
    22
     23
           DEFENDANT EXHIBITS
24
  Exhibit No.
                     Received
25
```

SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C. (212) 805-0300