

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF DELAWARE

EDF INTERNATIONAL S.A.,	:
	:
Petitioner,	:
	:
v.	: Civil Action No. 08-167-JJF
	:
YPF S.A.,	:
	:
Respondent.	:

ERRATA ORDER

WHEREAS, three clerical errors have come to the Court's attention in a decision issued in this case (D.I. 24);

NOW THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:

1. The sentence in page 4, footnote 2 reading, "Thus, EDFI contends that the Order of the Appeals Court was 'suspensive,' while YPF contends that the order had 'staying effect.'" is corrected to read: "Thus, YPF contends that the Order of the Appeals Court was 'suspensive,' while EDFI contends that the order had 'staying effect.'"
2. The sentence on page 9 reading, "YPF argues that this case is nonetheless like Alto Mar Girassol because the Argentine court analogized the situation to the appeal of an Argentinean judgement, where proceedings are almost always stayed pending appeal." is corrected to read: "EDFI argues that this case is nonetheless like Alto Mar Girassol because the Argentine court analogized the situation to the appeal of an

Argentinean judgement, where proceedings are almost always stayed pending appeal."

3. The sentence on page 11 reading "As a secondary argument, YPF contends that the Argentinean Appeals Court specifically considered YPF's 'precautionary' request to enjoin foreign enforcement of the arbitral award, but chose not to do so, leading to the conclusion that the Appeals Court countenanced foreign enforcement." is corrected to read: "As a secondary argument, EDFI contends that the Argentinean Appeals Court specifically considered YPF's 'precautionary' request to enjoin foreign enforcement of the arbitral award, but chose not to do so, leading to the conclusion that the Appeals Court countenanced foreign enforcement."

December 4, 2008
DATE


UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE